IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FIRST CHOICE FEDERAL CREDIT

UNION, individually and on behalf of a class

of all similarly situated financial institutions,

Plaintiff,

v.

TARGET CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff First Choice Federal Credit Union, through its undersigned counsel, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated financial institutions, files this Class Action Complaint against Defendant Target Corporation ("Target" or "Defendant").

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action on behalf of credit unions who suffered injury as a result of a security breach compromising Target store customers' names, credit and debit card numbers, card expiration dates, personal identification numbers ("PINs"), and card verification values ("CVVs") (hereinafter the "Target Data Breach"), forcing these institutions to (a) cancel or reissue any access device affected by the Target Data Breach; (b) close any deposit, transaction, share draft, or other accounts affected by the breach, including but not limited to stopping payments or blocking transactions with respect to the accounts; (c) open or reopen any deposit, transaction, share draft, or other accounts affected by the Target Data Breach; (d) refund or credit any cardholder to cover the cost of any unauthorized transaction relating to the Target Data Breach; or (e) notify cardholders affected by the Target Data Breach.

- 2. As alleged herein, the injuries to Plaintiff and the Class were caused by Defendant's failure to maintain adequate computer data security of customer information, including credit and debit card data, as well as personally identifying information. Upon information and belief, Defendant also failed to remove or delete card security code data, the PIN verification code number, and/or the full contents of any track of magnetic stripe data, subsequent to the authorization of the transaction or in the case of a PIN debit transaction, subsequent to 48 hours after authorization of the transaction, in express violation of Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64 Subd. 2.
- 3. As a result of Defendant's wrongful actions, customer information was stolen from Target's computer network. Millions of Defendant's customers have had their personal financial information compromised, have had their privacy rights violated, have been exposed to the risk of fraud and identify theft, and have otherwise suffered damages. Additionally, Plaintiff and members of the Class have incurred and will continue to incur significant costs associated with, among other things, notifying its members of issues related to the Target Data Breach, closing out and opening new customer accounts, reissuing members' cards, and/or refunding members' losses resulting from the unauthorized use of their accounts.
- 4. Plaintiff, on behalf of the Class seeks to recover damages caused by Defendant's unfair and/or deceptive acts or practices in violation of Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325F.69 Subd. 1 (Count I); acts in violation of Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64 (Count II), and negligence (Count III).
- 5. Plaintiff, on behalf of the Class also seeks a finding that Defendant improperly retained customer data and injunctive relief enjoining Defendant from such improper retention of information.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy in this action exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and there are more than 100 members of the Class defined below, many of whom reside in different states than Defendant.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because Plaintiff maintains its principal place of business in this District, Defendant regularly transacts business in this District, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to this Complaint arose in this District.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff First Choice Federal Credit Union is a federally chartered credit union with its principal place of business located in New Castle, Pennsylvania.
- 9. Defendant Target Corporation is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business located in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Target operates a chain of retail stores that sell merchandise, including home goods, electronics, and clothing. Target owns over 1,790 stores in the United States.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Target Data Breach Unravels

10. On December 18, 2013, respected security blogger, Brian Krebs reported that "Target is investigating a data breach potentially involving millions of customer credit and debit card records." *See* Krebs on Security December 18, 2013 Blog Post, *available at*

http://krebsonsecurity.com/2013/12/sources-target-investigating-data-breach/¹ (attached hereto as Exhibit A).

- 11. Following Mr. Kreb's announcement, on December 19, 2013, Target issued a statement confirming that a security breach occurred and asserted that 40 million credit and debit card accounts may have been impacted between November 27, 2013 and December 15, 2013. *See* "Target Confirms Unauthorized Access to Payment Card Data in U.S. Stores," *available at* http://pressroom.target.com/news/target-confirms-unauthorized-access-to-payment-card-data-in-u-s-stores (hereinafter "December 19, 2013 Press Release") (attached hereto as Exhibit B).
- 12. Not until December 20, 2013, over three weeks after the data breach began, did Target reach out to its impacted customers to inform them of the issue. *See* December 20, 2013 Target Email to Customers, *available at* https://corporate.target.com/discover/article/Important-Notice-Unauthorized-access-to-payment-ca (attached hereto as Exhibit C).
- 13. In the December 20, 2013 Target Email to Customers, Target admitted that the security breach "included customer name, credit or debit card number, and the card's expiration date and CVV." *See* Exhibit C.
- Target further acknowledged that "encrypted debit card PIN data was among the information stolen when its systems were breached during the peak holiday shopping period." Target noted that "its investigation now shows that encrypted PIN data was 'removed' from its systems." *See* "Target Says Encrypted PIN Data Taken in Breach," THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, Dec. 27, 2013, *available at* http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB1000142 4052702303345104579284440022934198?cb=logged0.0365547111723572 (attached hereto as Exhibit D).

¹ All cited websites were last visited on January 22, 2014.

- Then, on January 10, 2014, Target made another announcement, this time conceding that its "investigation has determined that the stolen information includes names, mailing addresses, phone numbers or email addresses for up to 70 million individuals." *See* "Target Provides Update on Data Breach and Financial Performance," *available at* http://pressroom.target.com/news/target-provides-update-on-data-breach-and-financial-performance (hereinafter "January 10, 2014 Target Press Release") (attached hereto as Exhibit E).
- Reports have shown that the information for the 70 million individuals was stored separately from the 40 million credit and debit card accounts that Target previously admitted was impacted. *See* "Target Now Says 70 Million People Hit in Data Breach," THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, Jan. 10, 2014, *available at* http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001 424052702303754404579312232546392464 (attached hereto as Exhibit F).
- 17. In combination with the initially reported 40 million customers whose credit and debit card accounts were affected, the Target data breach impacted approximately up to 110 million consumers. *See* Exhibit F.
- As a result of Target's wrongful conduct, sensitive customer information was accessed from Target's computer systems. Indeed, "[f]raud experts said the information stolen from Target's systems quickly flooded the black market. On Dec. 11, shortly after hackers first breached Target, Easy Solutions, a company that tracks fraud, noticed a 10 to twentyfold increase in the number of high-value stolen cards on black market websites, from nearly every bank and credit union." *See* "For Target, the Breach Numbers Grow," THE NEW YORK TIMES, Jan. 10, 2014, *available at* http://www.nytimes.com/2014/01/11/business/target-breach-affected-70-million-customers.html? r=0 (attached hereto as Exhibit G).

19. As a direct and proximate result of the Target Data Breach, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been damaged, because Target's wrongful conduct has caused Class members to incur significant losses associated with credit and debit card cancellation and/or reissuance; customer reimbursement for fraud losses; lost interest and transaction fees; lost customers; administrative expenses associated with monitoring and preventing fraud and administrative expenses in dealing with customer confusion; and claims alleging fraudulent activity.

Target Data Retention Practices Violate Applicable Laws

- 20. Defendant, at all times relevant to this action, represented and had a duty to Plaintiff and members of the Class to: (a) properly secure credit card magnetic stripe information; (b) not retain or store such information subsequent to authorization of a transaction; and (c) not disclose such information to unauthorized third parties.
- 21. As outlined in numerous reports, Defendant retained magnetic stripe information and data from millions of credit and debit cards issued by Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 22. Defendant negligently allowed credit card magnetic stripe information to be compromised.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant negligently utilized a computer system that retained, stored, and/or disclosed (or allowed to be disclosed) credit card magnetic stripe information.
- 24. Data from the magnetic stripe on millions of credit cards, issued by banks and credit unions to their customers and members, was used by those customers at Target stores, and was accessed or obtained by third parties from Defendant.

- 25. Third parties were able to access, obtain, and use the credit card magnetic stripe information to fraudulently make transactions and to sell, transfer, use, or attempt to use such information for fraudulent purposes.
- As a result of the events detailed herein, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been and continue to be forced to protect their members and avoid fraud losses by cancelling and reissuing cards with new account numbers and magnetic stripe information.
- 27. As a result of Defendant's failure to safeguard customer information, to date, Plaintiff has been forced to cancel and reissue approximately 75 cards and incur related costs for notification and reissuance of cards to its members.
- 28. The cancellation and reissuance of cards resulted in significant damages and losses to Plaintiff and members of the Class. Moreover, as a result of the events detailed herein, Plaintiff and members of the Class suffered losses resulting from the Target Data Breach related to: (a) reimbursement of fraudulent charges or reversal of customer charges; (b) lost interest and transaction fees, including lost interchange fees; and (c) administrative expenses and overhead charges associated with monitoring and preventing fraud, as well as cancelling compromised cards and purchasing and mailing new cards to their members.
- 29. These costs and expenses will continue to accrue as additional fraud alerts and fraudulent charges are discovered and occur.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

30. Plaintiff brings this action on its own and on behalf of all other credit unions similarly situated. The proposed class is defined as:

All banks, credit unions and other financial institutions in the United States, that as a result of the Target security breach, were forced to communicate with their customers, close out or open new customer accounts, reissue credit and/or debit cards, absorb

unauthorized charges to members' accounts, or were in any other way forced to pay for issues related to the Target security breach (the "Class").

- 31. Plaintiff First Choice Federal Credit Union is a member of the Class it seeks to represent.
 - 32. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
 - 33. The members of the Class are readily ascertainable.
 - 34. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all members of the Class.
- 35. The conduct of Defendant has caused injury to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 36. Prosecuting separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.
 - 37. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class.
- 38. Plaintiff is represented by experienced counsel who are qualified to litigate this case.
- 39. Common questions of law and fact predominate over individualized questions. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 40. There are questions of law and fact common to all members of the Class, the answers to which will advance the resolution of the claims of the Class members and that include, without limitation:
 - a) Whether Defendant failed to provide adequate security and/or protection for its computer systems containing customers' financial and personal data;

- Whether the conduct of Defendant resulted in the unauthorized breach of its computer systems containing customers' financial and personal data;
- c) Whether Defendant improperly retained customer personal and financial information despite representations that it would not keep such information;
- d) Whether Defendant disclosed, either directly or indirectly, the private financial information of customers;
- e) Whether Defendant violated Minn. Stat. Ann. §325E.64;
- f) Whether Defendant engaged in unfair and deceptive acts or practices as set forth in Minn. Stat. Ann. §325F.69 Subd. 1;
- g) Whether Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured by Defendant's violations of Minnesota law;
- h) Whether Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to injunctive relief; and
- Whether Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to damages and the measure of such damages.

COUNT ONEVIOLATION OF MINN. STAT. ANN. § 325F.69 SUBD. 1

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
 - 42. Target is engaged in trade or commerce in the State of Minnesota.
- 43. Plaintiff and members of the Class are credit unions engaged in trade or commerce.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Defendant's computer systems that process and store information related to credit and debit card transactions on which customer data was

retained and from which customer data was improperly accessed are located in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

- 45. Defendant's practice of retaining, failing to safeguard, and allowing access to confidential customer data constitutes deceptive acts and unfair trade practices within the meaning of Minn. Stat. Ann. §325F.69 Subd. 1.
- 46. Defendant's actions in connection with its failures to adequately protect its customers' data, and its misconduct regarding the confidential debit and credit cardholders' information constitute deceptive acts and unfair trade practices, having a direct and substantial effect in Minnesota and throughout the United States causing substantial damages to Plaintiff and members of the Class.

COUNT TWO VIOLATION OF MINN. STAT. ANN. § 325E.64

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendant had a duty under Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64 Subd. 2, to provide notification of the data breach to Plaintiff and members of the Class. The statute specifically requires that:

No person or entity conducting business in Minnesota that accepts an access device in connection with a transaction shall retain the card security code data, the PIN verification code number, or the full contents of any track of magnetic stripe data, subsequent to the authorization of the transaction or in the case of a PIN debit transaction, subsequent to 48 hours after authorization of the transaction. A person or entity is in violation of this section if its service provider retains such data subsequent to the authorization of the transaction or in the case of a PIN debit transaction, subsequent to 48 hours after authorization of the transaction.

49. Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64 Subd. 3 details Defendant's responsibilities following the breach. Specifically, this subdivision provides that:

Whenever there is a breach of the security of the system of a person or entity that has violated this section, or that person's or entity's service provider, that person or entity shall reimburse the financial institution that issued any access devices affected by the breach for the costs of reasonable actions undertaken by the financial institution as a result of the breach in order to protect the information of its cardholders or to continue to provide services to cardholders, including but not limited to, any cost incurred in connection with:

- (1) the cancellation or reissuance of any access device affected by the breach;
- (2) the closure of any deposit, transaction, share draft, or other accounts affected by the breach and any action to stop payments or block transactions with respect to the accounts;
- (3) the opening or reopening of any deposit, transaction, share draft, or other accounts affected by the breach;
- (4) any refund or credit made to a cardholder to cover the cost of any unauthorized transaction relating to the breach; and
- (5) the notification of cardholders affected by the breach.

The financial institution is also entitled to recover costs for damages paid by the financial institution to cardholders injured by a breach of the security of the system of a person or entity that has violated this section. Costs do not include any amounts recovered from a credit card company by a financial institution. The remedies under this subdivision are cumulative and do not restrict any other right or remedy otherwise available to the financial institution.

50. Defendant breached the duties it owed to Plaintiff and members of the Class under Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64 by failing to remove or delete card security code data, the PIN verification code number, and/or the full contents of any track of magnetic stripe data, subsequent to the authorization of the transaction or in the case of a PIN debit transaction, subsequent to 48 hours after authorization of the transaction.

51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duties under Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64, Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered substantial losses as detailed herein.

COUNT THREE NEGLIGENCE

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 53. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and the Class to use and exercise reasonable and due care in obtaining and retaining their members' personal and financial information.
- 54. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and the Class to provide adequate security to protect their members' personal and financial information.
- 55. Defendant breached its duties, by (1) retaining customer data beyond the period allowed under Minn. Stat. Ann. § 325E.64; (2) allowing an unlawful intrusion into its computer system; (3) failing to protect against such an intrusion; and (4) allowing the personal and financial information of customers from Plaintiff and the Class to be accessed by third parties.
- 56. Defendant knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in retaining such information, and the importance of providing adequate security.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligent conduct, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered substantial losses as detailed herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter a judgment against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and award the following relief:

A. That this action be certified as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, declaring Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Class;

- B. Monetary damages;
- C. Damages pursuant to Defendant's willful and knowing violations of Minn. Stat.Ann. § 325F.69 Subd. 1;
- D. A finding that Defendant violated Minn. Stat. Ann. §325E.64 and an order enjoining Defendant from any further improper retention of customer data;
- E. Reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, including those related to experts and consultants;
- F. Costs;
- G. Pre and post judgment interest; and
- H. Such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

DATED: January 31, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gary F. Lynch
Gary F. Lynch
CARLSON LYNCH LTD
R. Bruce Carlson
Jamisen Etzel
PNC Park
115 Federal Street, Suite 210
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
Tel: (412) 322-9243

Fax: (412) 231-0246

Benjamin J. Sweet Edwin J. Kilpela, Jr. DEL SOLE CAVANAUGH STROYD LLC 200 First Avenue, Suite 300 Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Tel: (412) 261-2393 Fax: (412) 261-2110

Shanon J. Carson (PA 85957) Alexandra L. Koropey (PA 315240) BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C. 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 875-4656

Fax: (215) 875-4604

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS FIRST CHOICE FEDERA a class of all similarly situ			ehalf of	DEFENDANTS TARGET CORPOR	RATION		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lawrence Co. (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hennepin, Co. (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, 2) Gary F. Lynch, Carlson L PNC Park, 115 Federal St Pittsburgh, PA 15212; (4)	ynch LTD treet, Suite 210	·		Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	© 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Vot a Party)	i	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	Another State	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 D 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						Onurs on a	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacation Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	O 69 O 69 O 70 O 72 O 75 C O 75	PREFIURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other I.ABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Lebor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title X VI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUFES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	in One Box Only) smoved from	Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Reir Reo	pened Anoth	er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Class Action Fair	ness Act ("CAFA"),	, 28 U.S				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTIO		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES on JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint: D: 🔀 Yes 🗆 No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 01/31/2014		signature of at s/ Gary F. Lyn		OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. J	UDGE .	

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A
This case belongs on the ($igcirc$ Erie $igcirc$ Johnstown $igcirc$ Pittsburgh) calendar.
 ERIE CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of sai counties.
 JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that theresides inCounty.
4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that theresides inCounty.
PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)
1. O This case is related to Number Short Caption
2. This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.
DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:
CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transaction as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS &CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.
PARTC
 CIVIL CATEGORY (Place x in only applicable category).
1. Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2. Labor-Management Relations
3. C Habeas corpus 4. Civil Rights
5. Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6. O Eminent Domain
 All other federal question cases All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious
<pre>prosecution, and false arrest g.</pre>
Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)
I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct
Date: 01/31/2014 s/ Gary F. Lynch
ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		ioi the
	Western Distr	ict of Pennsylvania
FIRST CHOICE FEDERA individually and on behalf of situated financial i	a class of all similarly)))
Plaintiff(s))
v.) Civil Action No.
TARGET CORPO	ORATION	
Defendant	(s)	ý
	SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Target Corporation 1000 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55403	
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ed States agency, or an off serve on the plaintiff an a	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you icer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. Inswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
	CARLSON LYNCH LTD PNC Park	
	115 Federal Street, Suite Pittsburgh, PA 15212	e 210
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	e of individual and title, if any)		
ceived by me on (date)	· .		
☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)	
·		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with (name)	
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who re-	sides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	ne individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)		, who
designated by law to a	ccept service of process on behal		
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; (
☐ Other (specify):			
,			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information i	is true	
r deciare under penany	of perjury that this information i	is true.	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: