3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JACKSON LEWIS P.C. Joshua A. Sliker, Nevada Bar No. 12493 Joshua.Sliker@jacksonlewis.com 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 921-2460 Facsimile: (702) 921-2461 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP John C. Hueston (pro hac vice forthcoming) jhueston@hueston.com Robert N. Klieger (pro hac vice forthcoming) rklieger@hueston.com Marshall A. Camp (pro hac vice forthcoming) mcamp@hueston.com Allison L. Libeu (pro hac vice forthcoming) alibeu@hueston.com 523 West 6th Street, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90014 Telephone: (213) 788-4340 Facsimile: (888) 775-0898 Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla, Inc.	DISTRICT COURT
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15 16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
17	TESLA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No.
18	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
19	vs.	
20	MARTIN TRIPP, an individual,	
21	Defendant.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	COM	PLAINT
	5336561	I LAINI

SUMMARY OF DISPUTE

- 1. This suit arises from the misconduct of Martin Tripp ("Tripp"), a former employee of Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla") who unlawfully hacked the company's confidential and trade secret information and transferred that information to third parties.
- 2. Tesla has only begun to understand the full scope of Tripp's illegal activity, but he has thus far admitted to writing software that hacked Tesla's manufacturing operating system ("MOS") and to transferring several gigabytes of Tesla data to outside entities. This includes dozens of confidential photographs and a video of Tesla's manufacturing systems.
- 3. Beyond the misconduct to which Tripp admitted, he also wrote computer code to periodically export Tesla's data off its network and into the hands of third parties. His hacking software was operating on three separate computer systems of other individuals at Tesla so that the data would be exported even after he left the company and so that those individuals would be falsely implicated as guilty parties.
- 4. Tripp also made false claims to the media about the information he stole. For example, Tripp claimed that punctured battery cells had been used in certain Model 3 vehicles even though no punctured cells were ever used in vehicles, batteries or otherwise. Tripp also vastly exaggerated the true amount and value of "scrap" material that Tesla generated during the manufacturing process, and falsely claimed that Tesla was delayed in bringing new manufacturing equipment online.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court has original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the Defend Trade Secrets Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1836, *et seq.*, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining claims under 29 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 6. This Court also has original jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) in that the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and Tesla, on one hand, and Tripp, on the other, are citizens of different States.
- 7. This district is the proper venue for this action, as a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this district.

1 **PARTIES** 8. Plaintiff Tesla, Inc. is a publicly traded Delaware corporation with its principal place 2 of business in Palo Alto, California. 9. 4 Defendant Martin Tripp is an individual who, on information and belief, resides in 5 Sparks, Nevada. **BACKGROUND** 6 7 10. Tripp joined Tesla in October 2017 at the Nevada Gigafactory as a process technician, a job which Tripp later complained was not a sufficiently senior role for him. As part of his job, Tripp had access to highly sensitive information relating to, among other things, certain facets of the 10 manufacturing process for the company's battery modules. 11 11. Before joining Tesla, and as a condition to his continuing employment, Tripp agreed 12 not to use or disclose Tesla's confidential and proprietary information except in connection with his work with Tesla. This obligation is memorialized in the Employee Proprietary Information and 13 14 Inventions Agreement that Tripp signed electronically on October 6, 2017 (the "Proprietary 15 Information Agreement"). In addition to his contractual obligations, Tripp owed a duty of undivided loyalty to Tesla under Nevada law and was legally required to act with good faith towards the 17 company. 18 12. Within a few months of Tripp joining Tesla, his managers identified Tripp as having problems with job performance and at times being disruptive and combative with his colleagues. As 19 a result of these and other issues, on or about May 17, 2018, Tripp was assigned to a new role. Tripp 20 21 expressed anger that he was reassigned. 22 13. Thereafter, Tripp retaliated against Tesla by stealing confidential and trade secret 23 information and disclosing it to third parties, and by making false statements intended to harm the company. 24 25 14. On June 14 and 15, 2018, Tesla investigators interviewed Tripp regarding his misconduct. After Tripp initially stated that no misconduct had occurred, Tesla investigators 26 27 confronted him with evidence to the contrary. At that point, Tripp admitted to writing software that 28 hacked Tesla's MOS and to transferring several gigabytes of confidential and proprietary Tesla data

proprietary, and trade secret information is not generally known to the public and not readily

5336561

ascertainable through proper means. Tesla has taken, and continues to take, reasonable measures to

COMPLAINT

- 27. Tripp's misappropriation and disclosure of Tesla's trade secrets entitles Tesla to monetary damages, fees, and costs, as provided in 18 U.S.C. § 1836(b)(3)(B). Tesla is also entitled to recover for Tripp's unjust enrichment.
- 28. Tripp's misappropriation of Tesla's trade secrets was willful and malicious and was undertaken for the purpose of harming Tesla. Tesla therefore seeks exemplary and punitive damages as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 1836(b)(C).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Nevada Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 600A.10 et seq.

- 29. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. As set forth above, Tesla owns and possesses data, compilations, programs, techniques, methods, products, systems, processes, designs, procedures, and computer programming instructions and code that derive independent economic value from not being known generally to, and not being readily ascertainable through proper means by, the public or any other persons who can obtain commercial or economic value from the information. Tesla has made, and continues to make, reasonable efforts to maintain the secrecy of these trade secrets.
- 31. At all relevant times, Tripp had a duty to maintain the secrecy of Tesla's trade secrets. However, in violation of this duty and Nevada law, Tripp disclosed that information to others, and by doing so misappropriated Tesla's trade secrets. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 600A.030(2).
- 32. As a direct result of Tripp's conduct, Tesla has suffered, and if the conduct is not enjoined, will continue to suffer, harm. Tesla requests injunctive relief pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. § 600A.040.
- 33. Tripp's conduct entitles Tesla to its damages, as well as Tripp's unjust enrichment, in an amount to be proven at trial. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 600A.050(1).
- 34. Tesla requests that the Court take affirmative action to protect its trade secrets, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 1836(b)(3)(A)(ii), including by ordering the inspection of Tripp's computers, personal USB and electronic storage devices, email accounts, "cloud"-based storage accounts, and

1	mobile phone call and message history to determine the extent to which Tesla trade secrets wer		
2	wrongfully taken and/or disseminated to others.		
3	35. Tripp's misappropriation of Tesla's trade secret information was willful, wanto		
4	and/or reckless, and Tesla accordingly requests exemplary damages, as well as its attorney's fee		
5	Nev. Rev. Stat. § 600A.050(2); § 600A.060.		
6	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
7	Breach of Contract		
8	36. Tesla realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as		
9	though fully set forth herein.		
10	37. Tesla and Tripp are parties to the Proprietary Information Agreement that Tripp		
11	signed electronically on October 6, 2017. The contract is governed by California law.		
12	38. Tesla has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on its part to be		
13	performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Proprietary Information Agreement.		
14	39. Pursuant to Section 1 of the Proprietary Information Agreement, Tripp agreed to "hold		
15	in strictest confidence" and "not disclose, use, lecture upon or publish" any of Tesla's Proprietary		
16	Information without express authorization.		
17	40. Per the Proprietary Information Agreement, Proprietary Information includes		
18	"information relating to products, processes, know-how, designs, formulas, methods, developments		
19	or experimental work, improvements, discoveries, inventions, ideas, source and object codes, data		
20	programs, other works of authorship, and plans for research and development."		
21	41. Despite the express terms of the Proprietary Information Agreement, Tripp breached		
22	his contractual obligations to Tesla by, among other things:		
23	a. Writing software to hack Tesla's MOS;		
24	b. Divulging confidential and proprietary information, combined with fabricated		
25	data and other false information, about Tesla's Model 3 operations at the		
26	Gigafactory to third parties;		
27	c. Transferring confidential and proprietary data from Tesla's MOS to third		
28	parties;		
	<u>-6-</u>		
	COMPLAINT		

1		d.	Sending third parties a confidential code or "query";
2		e.	Taking and sharing with third parties dozens of photographs of Tesla's
3			manufacturing systems; and
4		f.	Taking and sharing with third parties a video of Tesla's manufacturing
5			systems.
6	42.	Throu	igh his conduct described herein, Tripp breached his contractual obligations to
7	Tesla.		
8	43.	As a	direct and proximate result of the foregoing breaches, Tesla has suffered, and
9	will continue to suffer, damages in an amount to be proven at trial.		
10			FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
11			Breach of Fiduciary Duty of Loyalty
12	44.	Tesla	realleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as
13	though fully	set forth	n herein.
14	45.	As an	employee of Tesla, under Nevada law, Tripp owed a duty of loyalty to act solely
15	in the interes	ts of his	s employer within the business area for which he is employed.
16	46.	As a	trusted employee, Tripp owed Tesla a duty that required him to, among other
17	things, refrain	n from	conducting activities in any manner inimical to Tesla's best interests.
18	47.	Tripp	breached his fiduciary duty of loyalty to Tesla by engaging in the wrongful
19	conduct alleg	ged here	in while still employed by Tesla, including, but not limited to:
20		a.	Writing software to hack Tesla's MOS;
21		b.	Divulging confidential and proprietary information in violation of his
22			Proprietary Information Agreement and duties to Tesla;
23		c.	Providing third parties with unauthorized access to proprietary information
24			contained in Tesla's electronic devices and systems;
25		d.	Taking and sharing with third parties dozens of photographs of Tesla's
26			manufacturing systems;
27		e.	Taking and sharing with third parties a video of Tesla's manufacturing
28			systems;
			- 7 - COMPLAINT
	Ī		COLLI LILLIA

COMPLAINT

1	obtaining or attempting to obtain access to, and permitting access to Tesla's computers, computer		
2	systems, and/or computer networks.		
3	54. As described above, Tripp wrote software that hacked Tesla's MOS and transferred		
4	to third parties confidential data (including photographs and a video of Tesla's manufacturing		
5	systems), combined with fabricated data and other false information, that was not authorized to be		
6	disclosed regarding, among other things, Tesla's financials, the process for manufacturing batterio		
7	for Model 3, and the amount of scrap and raw materials used at the Gigafactory.		
8	55. As a direct and proximate result of Tripp's unlawful conduct within the meaning		
9	of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 205.4765, Tripp has caused damage to Tesla in an amount to be proven at trial		
10	Tesla is also entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §		
11	1 205.511(1)(c).		
12	56. Tesla is informed and believes that the aforementioned acts were willful and		
13	malicious in that Tripp's acts described above were done with the deliberate intent to injure Tesla		
14	business. Tesla is therefore entitled to punitive damages under Nev. Rev. Stat. § 205.511(1)(c).		
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
16	WHEREFORE, Tesla respectfully prays for relief as follows:		
17	A. For injunctive relief enjoining Tripp and all persons or entities acting in concert or		
18	participation with him from obtaining, using, or disclosing any of Tesla's confidential information		
19	or trade secrets;		
20	B. For compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;		
21	C. For punitive and exemplary damages;		
22	D. For prejudgment interest according to law;		
23	E. For recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action; and		
24	F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 9 -		

1	Dated: June 19, 2018	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
2		
3		By: /s/ Joshua A. Sliker Joshua A. Sliker
4		Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla, Inc.
5		,
6	Dated: June 19, 2018	HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
7		
8		By: /s/John C. Hueston John C. Hueston (pro hac vice
9		By: /s/John C. Hueston John C. Hueston (pro hac vice forthcoming) Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla, Inc.
10		Tesla, Inc.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 10 -
	5336561	COMPLAINT
	ı	· ·

1	DEMAN	D FOR JURY TRIAL	
2		Plaintiff Tesla, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.	
3	·		
4	Dated: June 19, 2018	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.	
5	,		
6		By: <u>/s/ Joshua A. Sliker</u> Joshua A. Sliker	
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		Tesla, Inc.	
9	Dated: June 19, 2018	HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP	
10			
11		By: /s/John C. Hueston John C. Hueston (pro hac vice	
12		John C. Hueston (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla, Inc.	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		- 11 -	
	533(5)	COMPLAINT	

1	STA	ΓEMENT REGARDING LR IA 11-2
2	Counsel for Plaintiff Tesl	a, Inc. will comply with LR IA 11-2 within 21 days of this filing.
3		
4	Dated: June 19, 2018	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
5		
6		By: <u>/s/ Joshua A. Sliker</u> Joshua A. Sliker
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff Tesla, Inc.
8		
9	Dated: June 19, 2018	HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
10		
11		By: <u>/s/John C. Hueston</u> John C. Hueston (pro hac vice
12		forthcoming) Attorneys for Plaintiff
13		Tesla, Inc.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 12 -
		COMPLAINT