1		The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR19-159RSL	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE	
12		TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL	
13		MOTIONS DEADLINE	
14	V.	Noting date: June 4, 2020	
15	DAICE A THOMPSON		
16	PAIGE A. THOMPSON,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	Defendant, Paige A. Thompson, and the United States of America, by and through		
20	their respective counsel, hereby file this <i>stipulated</i> motion for a continuance of the trial		
21	date and the pretrial motions deadline.		
22	Trial in this matter currently is scheduled for October 19, 2020. Pretrial motions		
23	are due no later than August 24, 2020. For the reasons stated below, the parties jointly		
24	request a continuance of the trial date until February 8, 2021. The parties likewise		
25	request that the pretrial motions deadline be extended to November 20, 2020. A		
26	proposed order prepared and presented by the parties is attached.		
27	//		
28	//		

1

2

3

4

6 7

9 10

8

11

1213

1415

17

16

18 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

I. DISCUSSION

The parties stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. This case stems from an investigation into alleged computer hacking activity. As set forth in the files on record, incorporated herein, Thompson is charged with breaching the computers of multiple entities, including a federally-insured financial institution.
- 2. On July 29, 2019, federal authorities conducted a search of Thompson's residence, located in Seattle, Washington. Various items, including computers and electronic devices, were seized. The same day, Thompson made her initial appearance in federal court and was charged by Complaint.
- 3. On August 28, 2019, a grand jury returned a two-count Indictment charging Thompson with wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, and computer fraud and abuse, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1030(a)(2)(A) and (C), and (c)(2)(A) and (B).
- 4. Trial currently is scheduled for October 19, 2020. Although Thompson initially was ordered detained, following an order of this Court, Thompson has been released on a pretrial bond.
- 5. The parties, by and through counsel, stipulate to and jointly request a continuance of the trial date and motions deadline as set forth herein. For multiple reasons, including, but not limited to, the nature of the charges and prosecution, the potential consequences, the possible questions of law and fact, the size, scope, and technical nature of discovery, and the unusual complexity of the case, this continuance and extension of the pretrial motions deadline are necessary and warranted.
- 6. This case involves an immense amount of electronic discovery. The government has produced a significant amount of electronic data, estimated to approach 20 terabytes, including material obtained from online service providers, investigative reports, and images of several computers and electronic devices recovered from Thompson's residence. The government's investigation is continuing, and the government is continuing to generate and produce additional discovery.

- 7. The discovery includes sensitive material. For instance, the electronic data contains malware, as well as a large amount of protected information of alleged victims, including personally identifiable information (PII) of individuals, and internal and proprietary company records and information. As a result, at the parties' request, the Court entered a Protective Order, dated October 30, 2019. Accordingly, the review and processing of discovery have required, and continue to require, additional time to comply with the handling and storage of such protected material.
- 8. Undersigned defense counsel requires additional time to review the evidence, including with Thompson, to conduct follow-up investigation, and to retain and consult with experts, in order effectively to represent Thompson.
- 9. Since February of this year, Seattle, Washington, and the entire country have experienced a pandemic that has resulted in the closure of large parts of society. The pandemic has resulted in Chief Judge Martinez of this Court issuing a series of General Orders that have essentially closed the Court, and continued all proceedings beginning March 9, 2020, and continuing until at least August 3, 2020. This pandemic has interfered substantially with the parties' ability to investigate the case, and to prepare for trial.
- 10. This case involves serious allegations and charges, set forth above. The maximum penalties for wire fraud includes a term of imprisonment of up to 20 years. The government has indicated that, once grand jury proceedings resume, it expects to add additional charges in this case, as forensic investigation continues and additional entities it believes are victims are identified.
- 11. A trial in this case likely would span multiple weeks and include testimony from many fact and expert witnesses and hundreds of exhibits. The government anticipates that its evidence would include testimony from representatives of numerous companies alleged to be victims, including some from overseas, and expert testimony regarding multiple topics, including forensic analyses of computers and other electronic

1

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

evidence, and malware and computer scripts, among other things. The defense likewise may present similar evidence at a trial.

- 12. Given the circumstances, including the charges, the complexity of the case, the technical nature and size of discovery, the foreseeable trial evidence, and the potential consequences of conviction, a continuance is warranted and necessary in this case.
- 13. Undersigned counsel respectfully request a new trial date of February 8, 2021 (a date on which the parties understand the Court is available). The parties further request a continuance of the pretrial motions deadline to November 20, 2020.
- 14. Undersigned defense counsel have discussed with their client the proposed continuance and her rights under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 *et seq*. The defendant agrees with this course and has executed a waiver through the proposed trial date.
- 15. The parties agree that (a) the failure to grant a continuance in this case will deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for trial and other pretrial proceedings, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; (b) the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial; and, (c) that failure to grant a continuance in this proceeding could create a risk of a miscarriage of justice; all within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

II. CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the ends of justice served by continuing the trial date outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a more speedy trial.

It is further requested that the Court find, for the purpose of computing the time limitations imposed by the Speedy Trial Act, that the period of delay from the date of the filing of this motion until the new trial date is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.

 $\S 3161(h)(7)(A)$ and (h)(7)(B)(i), (ii), and (iv).

Therefore, the parties ask that the trial date be continued until February 8, 2021.

1	The parties further ask that the deadline to file pretrial motions be reset to	
2	November 20, 2020, and that any pretrial motions be noted for consideration on the	
3	fourth Friday thereafter, with responses due December 11, 2020, and replies due	
4	December 18, 2020.	
5	DATED: this 4 th day of June, 2020.	
6	Respectfully submitted,	
7	BRIAN T. MORAN	
8	United States Attorney	
9	/s/ Andrew C. Friedman	
10	ANDREW C. FRIEDMAN	
11	STEVEN T. MASADA	
	Assistant United States Attorneys	
12	United States Attorney's Office	
13	/s/ (by email authorization)	
14	MOHAMMAD ALI HAMOUDI	
15	CHRISTOPHER SANDERS NANCY TENNEY	
16	Assistant Federal Public Defenders	
	Tissistant Federal Fuence Belenders	
17	BRIAN KLEIN	
18	MELISSA MEISTER	
19	Baker Marquart LLP Attorneys for Paige A. Thompson	
20	Attorneys for Large 13. Thompson	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on June 4, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the attorneys of record for the defendant. /s/ Salee Porter SALEE PORTER Paralegal United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 Phone: (206) 553-7970

1	Honorable Robert S. Lasni	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR19-159RSL
11	Plaintiff,	
12		[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING
13	V.	TRIAL DATE AND
14		PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE
15	PAIGE A. THOMPSON,	
16	Defendant.	
17		_
18	THE COURT, having considered the Stipulated Motion to Continue Trial Date	
19	and Pretrial Motions Deadline, and all the files and records herein, finds as follows:	
20	1. The facts and circumstances are as set forth in the Stipulated Motion to	
21	Continue Trial Date and Pretrial Motions Deadline;	
22	2. The case is complex due to such factors as the volume of discovery and the	
23	complexity of the legal and factual issues involved;	
24	3. Failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel the	
25	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of trial and other pretrial proceedings.	
26	taking into account the exercise of due diligence;	
27	4. For these reasons, failure to gran	nt a continuance could result in a
28	miscarriage of justice;	
'	Order Continue Trial Date - 1	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

1	5. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best		
2	interest of the public and the defendant in a more speedy trial; and		
3	6. All these findings are made within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.		
4	§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i),(ii) and (iv).		
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:		
6	1. The trial in this matter is continued to February 8, 2021, at 9:00 a.m.;		
7	2. Pre-trial motions are due no later than November 20, 2020, and any pretrial		
8	motion shall be noted for consideration no earlier than the fourth Friday after the filing		
9	date;		
10	3. Responses to any pre-trial motions are due on December 11, 2020 (or		
11	twenty-one (21) days after filing of any motion filed prior to November 20, 2020), and		
12	any replies thereto are due on December 18, 2020 (or seven (7) days after filing of any		
13	response filed prior to prior to December 11, 2020);		
14	4. The defendant shall immediately file with the Court a waiver of rights		
15	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq., through the aforementioned trial		
16	date, consistent with this Order; and		
17	5. The period of time from the filing date of the Stipulated Motion to		
18	Continue Trial Date and Pretrial Motions Deadline until the new trial date, set forth		
19	below, shall be excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.		
20	§ 3161(h)(7)(A).		
21	DATED: this day of June, 2020.		
22			
23	HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK		
24	U.S. District Court Judge		
25	Presented by:		
26	/s/ Andrew C. Frieman		
27	ANDREW C. FRIEDMAN STEVEN T. MASADA		
28	Assistant United States Attorneys		
,	Order Continue Trial Date - 2 U.S. v. Thompson, CR19-159RSL UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 STEWART STREET, SUITE 5220 SEATTLE WASHINGTON 08101		