NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

COUNTY OF KINGS		
	X	
KIYA JOHNSON,		
Plaintiff,		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
- VS-		Index No.:
ONE BROOKLYN HEALTH,		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	_X	

Plaintiff Kiya Johnson ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant One Brooklyn Health ("Defendant" or "OBH"). Plaintiff seeks to obtain damages, restitution, and injunctive relief for the Class, as defined below, from OBH as a result of its recently announced data breach. Plaintiff makes the following allegations upon information and belief, except as to her own actions which are based upon the investigation of her counsel.

## **NATURE OF THE CASE**

- 1. Healthcare providers that handle sensitive, personally identifying information ("PII") or protected health information ("PHI") owe a duty to the individuals to whom that data relates. This duty arises because it is foreseeable that the exposure of PII or PHI to unauthorized persons—and especially hackers with nefarious intentions—will result in harm to the affected individuals, including, but not limited to, the invasion of their private health matters.
- 2. The harm resulting from a data and privacy breach manifests in a number of ways, including identity theft and financial fraud, and the exposure of a person's PII or PHI through a data breach ensures that such person will be at a substantially increased and certainly impending

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023 06:34 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

risk of identity theft crimes compared to the rest of the population, potentially for the rest of their lives. Mitigating that risk—to the extent it is even possible to do so—requires individuals to devote significant time and money to closely monitor their credit, financial accounts, health records, and email accounts, and take a number of additional prophylactic measures.

- 3. As a comprehensive local healthcare provider, OBH knowingly obtains sensitive patient PII and PHI and has a resulting duty to securely maintain such information in confidence.
- 4. Indeed, OBH's Notice of Privacy Practices states that it "values the privacy of each of its patients and residents." OBH further assures patients that it will "keep[] your information private and confidential."<sup>2</sup> Its Privacy Practices delineates certain limited situations where patient data entrusted to OBH may be shared, such as where necessary to facilitate medical treatment.
- 5. OBH breached these promises set forth in its own Privacy Practices, and as established as a matter of law because by failing to implement measures sufficient to adequately safeguard the highly sensitive personal and medical data entrusted to it. As such, Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of herself and similarly situated patients whose PII and/or PHI was accessed and exposed to unauthorized third parties during a data breach of Defendant's system on or about November 19, 2022, and which OBH belatedly announced on or about April 20, 2023. (the "Data Breach").<sup>3</sup>
- 6. Based on the public statements of OBH to date, a wide variety of PII and PHI was implicated in the breach, including but not limited to: name, date of birth, Social Security Numbers,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notice of Privacy Practices, One Brooklyn Health, https://onebrooklynhealth.org/media/abab33wi/obhs-notice-of-privacy-practices-04112023-4.docx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Notice of Data Security Event (April 20, 2023), https://dojmt.gov/wp-content/uploads/Consumernotification-letter-201.pdf.

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

driver's license and state ID numbers, financial account and payment card information, medical

information, and health insurance information.<sup>4</sup>

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

7. As a direct and proximate result of OBH's inadequate data security, and its breach

of its duty to handle PII and PHI with reasonable care, Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI

has been accessed by hackers, and exposed to an untold number of unauthorized individuals.

8. Plaintiff and Class Members are now at a significantly increased and certainly

impending risk of fraud, identity theft, misappropriation of health insurance benefits, intrusion of

their health privacy, and similar forms of criminal mischief, risk which may last for the rest of

their lives. Consequently, Plaintiff and Class Members must devote substantially more time,

money, and energy to protect themselves, to the extent possible, from these crimes.

9. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, and the Class as defined herein, bring claims for

negligence, negligence per se, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of confidences, breach of an

implied contract, unjust enrichment, violations of both the consumer protection laws of New York

(N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW §§ 349 and 350), and the common law of New York and declaratory

judgment, seeking actual and putative damages, with attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, and

appropriate injunctive and declaratory relief.

10. To recover from OBH for their sustained, ongoing, and future harms, Plaintiff and

the Class seek damages in an amount to be determined at trial, declaratory judgment, and injunctive

relief requiring Defendant to: 1) disclose, expeditiously, the full nature of the Data Breach and the

types of PII and PHI accessed, obtained, or exposed by the hackers; 2) implement improved data

security practices to reasonably guard against future breaches of PII and PHI possessed by

<sup>4</sup> One Brooklyn Health Notice of Data Security Event,

https://onebrooklynhealth.org/media/p4abqfwk/obh-website-notice.pdf. (last visited April 26,

2023).

3

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

Defendant; and 3) provide, at its own expense, all impacted victims with lifetime identity theft protection services.

## **PARTIES**

## **Plaintiff**

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

11. Plaintiff Kiya Johnson is an adult who at all relevant times is a resident and citizen of the borough of Brooklyn, located in Kings County in the State of New York.

## **Defendant**

- Defendant One Brooklyn Health is a non-profit incorporated in Kings County in 12. the State of New York with a principal place of business located at 1545 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, 11213.
- Defendant OBH provides comprehensive local healthcare at 3 hospitals in Eastern 13. Brooklyn and is comprised of more than 600 providers with over 50 specialties.<sup>5</sup>

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant One Brooklyn Health because it conducts business within the State of New York and this judicial district.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to CPLR § 503 because Defendant One Brooklyn Health regularly advertises and markets its services and conducts business and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in Brooklyn.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

One Brooklyn Health and the Services it Provides. A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See OBH Linked In Page, https://www.linkedin.com/company/one-brooklyn-health-system-inc/ (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

16. OBH is a voluntary 501(c)(3) enterprise providing inpatient acute care, community-

based ambulatory care and long-term care services throughout East & Central Brooklyn.<sup>6</sup>

17. While administering healthcare services, Defendant receives, creates, and handles

PII and PHI, which includes, inter alia, patients' full name, address, date of birth, Social Security

Number, driver's license or state ID number, financial account and payment card information,

medical information, and health insurance information.

18. In order to receive healthcare services from OBH, Plaintiff and Class Members are

required to entrust their highly sensitive PII and PHI to Defendant. Plaintiff and Class Members

entrusted this information to OBH with the reasonable expectation and mutual understanding that

Defendant would comply with its obligations to keep such information confidential and secure

from unauthorized access.

19. Indeed, OBH contains a comprehensive Notice of Privacy Practices that

acknowledges its obligations to keep Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI confidential and

secure from unauthorized access.<sup>7</sup> The notice states "OBH is required by law to maintain

confidentiality of your protected health information (PHI)."8 It later acknowledges that the Notice

of Privacy Practices "tells [patients] how [their] PHI may be used and how OBH keeps [patients]

information private and confidential and applies regardless on which campus or facility in which

[patients] receive care."9

20. By obtaining, collecting, and storing Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI,

OBH assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that Defendant was

<sup>6</sup> *Id*.

<sup>7</sup> *Notice of Privacy Practices, supra* note 1.

<sup>8</sup> *Id*.

<sup>9</sup> *Id*.

5

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

disclosure.

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

responsible for protecting Plaintiff's and Class Members PII and PHI from unauthorized

21. Despite OBH purporting that it "prioritizes its responsibility to safeguard the information it collects in providing services,"10 OBH nevertheless employed inadequate data security measures to protect and secure the PII and PHI patients entrusted to it, resulting in the Data Breach and compromise of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI.

## В. **OBH Knew the Risks of Storing Valuable PII and PHI and the Foreseeable Harm to** its Patients.

- 22. At all relevant times, Defendant knew it was storing sensitive PII and PHI and that, as a result, its systems would be an attractive target for cybercriminals.
- 23. Defendant also knew that a breach of its systems, and exposure of the information stored therein, would result in the increased risk of identity theft and fraud against the individuals whose PII and PHI was compromised, as well as intrusion into their highly private health information.
- 24. These risks are not theoretical. The healthcare industry has become a prime target for threat actors: "High demand for patient information and often-outdated systems are among the nine reasons healthcare is now the biggest target for online attacks."11
- 25. "Hospitals store an incredible amount of patient data. Confidential data that's worth a lot of money to hackers who can sell it on easily – making the industry a growing target."<sup>12</sup>
- 26. The healthcare sector suffered about 337 breaches in the first half of 2022 alone, according to Fortified Health Security's mid-year report released in July 2022. The percentage of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> One Brooklyn Health Notice of Data Security Event, supra note 5.

<sup>11</sup> The healthcare industry is at risk, SwivelSecure https://swivelsecure.com/solutions/ healthcare/healthcare-is-the-biggest-target-for-cyberattacks/ (last visited Apr. 17, 2023). <sup>12</sup> *Id*.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

healthcare breaches attributed to malicious activity rose more than 5 percentage points in the first six months of 2022 to account for nearly 80 percent of all reported incidents.<sup>13</sup>

- 27. Further, a 2022 report released by IBM Security stated that for 12 consecutive years the healthcare industry has had the highest average cost of a data breach and as of 2022 healthcare data breach costs have hit a new record high.<sup>14</sup>
- 28. Indeed, cyberattacks against the healthcare industry have been common for over the past ten years with the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") warning as early as 2011 that cybercriminals were "advancing their abilities to attack a system remotely" and "[o]nce a system is compromised, cyber criminals will use their accesses to obtain PII." The FBI further warned that that "the increasing sophistication of cyber criminals will no doubt lead to an escalation in cybercrime."15
- Cyberattacks have become so notorious that the FBI and U.S. Secret Service have 29. issued a warning to potential targets so they are aware of, and prepared for, a potential attack. As one report explained, "[e]ntities like smaller municipalities and hospitals are attractive to ransomware criminals... because they often have lesser IT defenses and a high incentive to regain access to their data quickly.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>13</sup> Jill McKeon, Health Sector Suffered 337 Healthcare Data Breaches in First Half of Year, Cybersecurity News (July 19, 2022), https://healthitsecurity.com/news/health-sector-suffered-337-healthcare-data-breaches-in-first-half-of-year.

Cost of Data Breach Report 2022, **IBM** Security, https://www.ibm.com/downloads/cas/3R8N1DZJ (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Gordon M. Snow, Statement before the House Financial Services Committee, Subcommittee on Institutions and Consumer (Sept. Financial Credit. **FBI** 2011). https://archives.fbi.gov/archives/news/testimony/cyber-security-threats-to-the-financial-sector.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Ben Kochman, FBI, Secret Service Warn of Targeted Ransomware, LAW360 (Nov. 18, 2019), https://www.law360.com/articles/1220974/fbi-secret-service-warn-of-targeted-ransomware.

KINGS COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

30. In tandem with the increase in data breaches, the rate of identity theft complaints has also increased over the past few years. For instance, in 2017, 2.9 million people reported some form of identity fraud compared to 5.7 million people in 2021.<sup>17</sup>

- The breadth of data compromised in the Data Breach makes the information 31. particularly valuable to thieves and leaves Defendant's patients especially vulnerable to identity theft, tax fraud, medical fraud, credit and bank fraud, and more.
- PII/PHI is a valuable property right.<sup>18</sup> The value of PII/PHI as a commodity is 32. measurable. 19 "Firms are now able to attain significant market valuations by employing business models predicated on the successful use of personal data within the existing legal and regulatory frameworks."20 American companies are estimated to have spent over \$19 billion on acquiring personal data of consumers in 2018.<sup>21</sup> It is so valuable to identity thieves that once PII/PHI has been disclosed, criminals often trade it on the "cyber black-market," or the "dark web," for many years.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Insurance Information Institute, Facts + Statistics: Identity theft and cybercrime, Insurance https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-Information Institute. cybercrime#Identity%20Theft%20And%20Fraud%20Reports,%202015-2019%20 (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Marc Van Lieshout, The Value of Personal Data, 457 IFIP ADVANCES IN INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION **TECHNOLOGY** 26 2015), ("The https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283668023 The Value of Personal Data value of [personal] information is well understood by marketers who try to collect as much data about personal conducts and preferences as possible . . . . ").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Robert Lowes, Stolen EHR [Electronic Health Record] Charts Sell for \$50 Each on Black Market, MEDSCAPE (Apr. 28, 2014), http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle./824192.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Exploring the Economics of Personal Data: A Survey of Methodologies for Measuring Monetary Value, OECD 4 (Apr. 2, 2013), https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-andtechnology/exploring-the-economics-of-personal-data 5k486qtxldmq-en.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> U.S. Firms to Spend Nearly \$19.2 Billion on Third-Party Audience Data and Data-Use Solutions in 2018, Up 17.5% from 2017, INTERACTIVE ADVERTISING BUREAU (Dec. 5, 2018), https://www.iab.com/news/2018-state-of-data-report/.

KINGS COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

33. As a result of their real value and the recent large-scale data breaches, identity thieves and cyber criminals have openly posted credit card numbers, Social Security numbers, PII/PHI, and other sensitive information directly on various Internet websites, making the information publicly available. This information from various breaches, including the information exposed in the Data Breach, can be aggregated, and becomes more valuable to thieves and more damaging to victims.

PHI is particularly valuable and has been referred to as a "treasure trove for 34. criminals." <sup>22</sup> As indicated by Jim Trainor, former second in command at the FBI's cyber security division: "Medical records are a gold mine for criminals—they can access a patient's name, DOB, Social Security and insurance numbers, and even financial information all in one place. Credit cards can be, say, five dollars or more where PHI records can go from \$20 say up to—we've even seen \$60 or \$70."23 A complete identity theft kit that includes health insurance credentials may be worth up to \$1,000 on the black market, whereas stolen payment card information sells for about  $$1.^{24}$ 

#### 35. According to Experian:

Having your records stolen in a healthcare data breach can be a prescription for financial disaster. If scam artists break into healthcare networks and grab your medical information, they can impersonate you to get medical services, use your

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Andrew Steger, What Happens to Stolen Healthcare Data?, HEALTHTECH MAGAZINE (Oct. 30, 2019), https://healthtechmagazine.net/article/2019/10/what-happens-stolen-healthcare-dataperfcon (quoting Tom Kellermann, Chief Cybersecurity Officer, Carbon Black, stating "Health information is a treasure trove for criminals.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> You Got It, They Want It: Criminals Targeting Your Private Healthcare Data, New Ponemon Study Shows, IDX (May 14, 2015), https://www.idexpertscorp.com/knowledge-center/single/yougot-it-they-want-it-criminals-are-targeting-your-private-healthcare-dat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Managing cyber risks in an interconnected world, Key findings from The Global State of Survev Information Security<sup>®</sup> PriceWaterhouseCoopers, 2015, https://www.pwc.com /gx/en/consulting-services/information-security-survey/assets/the-global-state-of-informationsecurity-survey-2015.pdf (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

data open credit accounts, break into your bank accounts, obtain drugs illegally, and even blackmail you with sensitive personal details.

ID theft victims often have to spend money to fix problems related to having their data stolen, which averages \$600 according to the FTC. But security research firm Ponemon Institute found that healthcare identity theft victims spend nearly \$13,500 dealing with their hassles, which can include the cost of paying off fraudulent medical bills.

Victims of healthcare data breaches may also find themselves being denied care, coverage or reimbursement by their medical insurers, having their policies canceled or having to pay to reinstate their insurance, along with suffering damage to their credit ratings and scores. In the worst cases, they've been threatened with losing custody of their children, been charged with drug trafficking, found it hard to get hired for a job, or even been fired by their employers.<sup>25</sup>

- 36. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches: "[I]n some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the [Dark] Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm."26
- Even if stolen PII or PHI does not include financial or payment card account 37. information, that does not mean there has been no harm, or that the breach does not cause a substantial risk of identity theft. Freshly stolen information can be used with success against victims in specifically targeted efforts to commit identity theft known as social engineering or spear phishing. In these forms of attack, the criminal uses the previously obtained PII about the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Brian O'Connor, Healthcare Data Breach: What to Know About them and What to Do After One, Experian (June 14, 2018), https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/healthcare-databreach-what-to-know-about-them-and-what-to-do-after-one/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> United States Government Accountability Office, Report to Congressional Requesters, Personal Information, June 2007: https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

individual, such as name, address, email address, and affiliations, to gain trust and increase the likelihood that a victim will be deceived into providing the criminal with additional information.

- 38. Consumers place a high value on the privacy of that data. Researchers shed light on how much consumers value their data privacy—and the amount is considerable. Indeed, studies confirm that "when privacy information is made more salient and accessible, some consumers are willing to pay a premium to purchase from privacy protective websites." <sup>27</sup>
- 39. Given these facts, any company that transacts business with a consumer and then compromises the privacy of consumers' PII/PHI has thus deprived that consumer of the full monetary value of the consumer's transaction with the company.
- 40. Based on the value of its patients' PII and PHI to cybercriminals and cybercriminals' propensity to target healthcare providers, OBH certainly knew the foreseeable risk of failing to implement adequate cybersecurity measures.

### C. Defendant Breached its Duty to Protect its Patients' PII and PHI.

- On April 20, 2023, Defendant announced that it experienced a security incident 41. disrupting access to its systems.<sup>28</sup>
- 42. According to OBH, it engaged external specialists to commence an investigation into the nature and scope of the Data Breach.<sup>29</sup>
- The investigation confirmed that data containing PII and PHI may have been 43. accessed or acquired by an unauthorized third party.<sup>30</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Janice Y. Tsai et al., The Effect of Online Privacy Information on Purchasing Behavior, An Information Research (June 2011), Experimental Study, 22(2) Systems 254 https://www.guanotronic.com/~serge/papers/weis07.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> One Brooklyn Health Notice of Data Security Event, supra note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id*.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

44. After the investigation revealed that PII and PHI may have been accessed or acquired by an unauthorized third party, OBH then conducted a review process to confirm what it already knew—that PII and PHI of current and former patients had been compromised.<sup>31</sup> This

review process took an additional four months and was completed on March 21, 2023.<sup>32</sup>

45. The patient PII and PHI compromised in the Data Breach includes patient names, dates of birth, Social Security Numbers, driver's license or state ID numbers, financial account

and/or payment information, medical information, and health insurance information.<sup>33</sup>

46. Despite OBH discovering the cybersecurity incident on November 19, 2022, OBH

did not report the Data Breach to the Attorney General of Montana ("AG MT") until April 20,

 $2023.^{34}$ 

47. On or about the same date that OBH reported the Data Breach to AG MT, OBH

provided notice to Plaintiff indicating that her PII and PHI may have been compromised or

accessed during the Data Breach, approximately six months after OBH first discovered the Data

Breach.

48. Like Plaintiff, the Class Members received similar notices informing them that their

PII and/or PHI was exposed in the Data Breach.

49. All in all, more than 235,000 patients of OBH had their PII and/or PHI breached.<sup>35</sup>

50. The Data Breach occurred as a direct result of Defendant's failure to implement

and follow basic security procedures in order to protect its patients' PII and PHI.

<sup>31</sup> *Id*.

<sup>32</sup> *Id*.

<sup>33</sup> *Id*.

<sup>34</sup>Reported Data Breach Incidents, Montana Attorney General,

https://doimt.gov/consumer/databreach/ (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

<sup>35</sup>Notice of Data Security Event, <u>https://apps.web.maine.gov/online/aeviewer/ME/40/73e780c9-</u>

<u>ea42-4b82-9d46-41bc962aceb5.shtml</u> (last visited April 26, 2023).

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023 06:34 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

**OBH** is Obligated Under HIPAA to Safeguard Personal Information D.

51. OBH is required by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, 42

U.S.C. § 1302d, et seq. ("HIPAA") to safeguard patient PHI. Under HIPAA health insurance

providers have an affirmative duty to keep patients' PHI private.

52. OBH is an entity covered by under HIPAA, which sets minimum federal standards

for privacy and security of PHI. As a covered entity, Defendant has a statutory duty under HIPAA

to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI.

HIPAA establishes national standards for the protection of PHI. HIPAA requires 53.

"compl[iance] with the applicable standards, implementation specifications, and requirements" of

HIPAA "with respect to electronic protected health information." 45 C.F.R. § 164.302. This

includes compliance with the HIPAA Privacy Rule, 45 C.F.R. Part 160 and Part 164, Subparts A

and E (Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information"), and the Security

Rule ("Security Standards for the Protection of Electronic Protected Health Information"), 45

C.F.R. Part 160 and Part 164, Subparts A and C.

Under 45 C.F.R. § 160.103, HIPAA defines "protected health information" or PHI 54.

as "individually identifiable health information" that is "transmitted by electronic media;

maintained in electronic media; or transmitted or maintained in any other form or medium."

55. Under C.F.R. § 160.103, HIPAA defines "individually identifiable health

information" as "a subset of health information, including demographic information collected from

an individual" that is (1) "created or received by a health care provider;" (2)"[r]elates to the past,

present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health

care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an

individual;" and (3) either (a) identifies the individual; or (b) with respect to which there is a

reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual."

13

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

56. HIPAA requires OBH to: (a) ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability

of all electronic PHI it creates, receives, maintains, or transmits; (b) identify and protect against

reasonably anticipated threats to the security or integrity of the electronic PHI; (c) protect against

reasonably anticipated, impermissible uses, or disclosures of the PHI; and (d) ensure compliance

by its workforce to satisfy HIPAA's security requirements. 45 CFR § 164.102, et. seq.

57. HIPAA also requires OBH to "review and modify the security measures

implemented . . . as needed to continue provision of reasonable and appropriate protection of

electronic protected health information" under C.F.R. § 164.306(e), and to "[i]implement technical

policies and procedures for electronic information systems that maintain electronic protected

health information to allow access only to those persons or software programs that have been

granted access rules." 45 C.F.R. § 164.312(a)(1).

58. Further, the HIPAA Breach Notification Rule, 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.400-414, also

requires Defendant to provide notice of the Data Breach to each affected individual "without

unreasonable delay and in no case later than 60 days following discovery of the breach."<sup>36</sup>

59. While HIPAA permits healthcare providers to disclose PHI to third parties under

certain circumstances, HIPAA does not permit healthcare providers to disclose PHI to

cybercriminals nor did Plaintiff or the Class Members consent to the disclosure of their PHI to

cybercriminals.

60. As such, OBH is required under HIPAA to maintain the strictest confidentiality of

Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI that it requires, receives, and collects, and Defendant is further

Breach **Notification** Rule, U.S. Dep't of Health Human Services. https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/breach-notification/index.html.

14

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

required to maintain sufficient safeguards to protect that information from being accessed by

unauthorized third parties.

61. Given the application of HIPAA to OBH, and that Plaintiff and Class Members

entrusted their PHI to Defendant in order to receive healthcare services, Plaintiff and Class

Members reasonably expected that Defendant would safeguard their highly sensitive information

and keep their PHI confidential.

E. FTC Guidelines Prohibit OBH from Engaging in Unfair or Deceptive Acts or

Practices.

62. OBH is prohibited by the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45 ("FTC

Act") from engaging in "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce." The

Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has concluded that a company's failure to maintain reasonable

and appropriate data security for consumers' sensitive personal information is an "unfair practice"

in violation of the FTC Act.

63. The FTC has promulgated numerous guides for businesses that highlight the

importance of implementing reasonable data security practices. According to the FTC, the need

for data security should be factored into all business decision-making.<sup>37</sup>

64. The FTC provided cybersecurity guidelines for businesses, advising that businesses

should protect personal customer information, properly dispose of personal information that is no

longer needed, encrypt information stored on networks, understand their network's vulnerabilities,

and implement policies to correct any security problems.<sup>38</sup>

<sup>37</sup> Start with Security – A Guide for Business, United States Federal Trade Comm'n (2015), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf0205-startwithsecurity.pdf.

<sup>38</sup> Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business, United States Federal Trade Comm'n, https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136\_proteting-

personalinformationpdf.

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023 06:34 PM

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

65. The FTC further recommends that companies not maintain PII longer than is

needed for authorization of a transaction; limit access to private data; require complex passwords

to be used on networks; use industry-tested methods for security; monitor for suspicious activity

on the network; and verify that third-party service providers have implemented reasonable security

measures.39

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

66. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing to

adequately and reasonably protect customer data, treating the failure to employ reasonable and

appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data as an

unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act. Orders resulting from these actions

further clarify the measures businesses must take to meet their data security obligations.

67. OBH failed to properly implement basic data security practices. OBH's failure to

employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to patient PII

and PHI constitutes an unfair act of practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act.

68. OBH was at all times fully aware of its obligations to protect the PII and PHI of

patients because of its position as a healthcare provider, which gave it direct access to reams of

patient PII and PHI. Defendant was also aware of the significant repercussions that would result

from its failure to do so.

F. Cyberattacks and Data Breaches Cause Disruption and Put Consumers at an

Increased Risk of Fraud and Identity Theft.

69. Cyberattacks and data breaches at healthcare companies like OBH are especially

problematic because they can negatively impact the overall daily lives of individuals affected by

the attack.

70. Researchers have found that among medical service providers that experience a

<sup>39</sup> *Id*.

16

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

data security incident, the death rate among patients increased in the months and years after the attack.40

- 71. Researchers have further found that at medical service providers that experienced a data security incident, the incident was associated with deterioration in timeliness and patient outcomes, generally.<sup>41</sup>
- 72. The United States Government Accountability Office released a report in 2007 regarding data breaches ("GAO Report") in which it noted that victims of identity theft will face "substantial costs and time to repair the damage to their good name and credit record."<sup>42</sup>
- 73. That is because any victim of a data breach is exposed to serious ramifications regardless of the nature of the data. Indeed, the reason criminals steal personally identifiable information is to monetize it. They do this by selling the spoils of their cyberattacks on the black market to identity thieves who desire to extort and harass victims, and to take over victims' identities in order to engage in illegal financial transactions under the victims' names. Because a person's identity is akin to a puzzle, the more accurate pieces of data an identity thief obtains about a person, the easier it is for the thief to take on the victim's identity, or otherwise harass or track the victim. For example, armed with just a name and date of birth, a data thief can utilize a hacking technique referred to as "social engineering" to obtain even more information about a victim's

<sup>40</sup> See Nsikan Akpan, Ransomware and Data Breaches Linked to Uptick in Fatal Heart Attacks,

PBS (Oct. 24, 2019), https://www.pbs.org/newshour /science/ransomware-and-other-databreaches-linked-to-uptick-in-fatal-heart-attacks. <sup>41</sup> See Sung J. Choi et al., Data Breach Remediation Efforts and Their Implications for Hospital

HEALTH **SERVICES** RESEARCH Ouality. 971, 971-980 (2019),https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1475-6773.13203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> See U.S. Gov. Accounting Office, GAO-07-737, Personal Information: Data Breaches Are Frequent, but Evidence of Resulting Identity Theft Is Limited; However, the Full Extent Is Unknown (2007), https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf.

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

identity, such as a person's login credentials or Social Security number. Social engineering is a form of hacking whereby a data thief uses previously acquired information to manipulate

individuals into disclosing additional confidential or personal information through means such as

spam phone calls and text messages or phishing emails.

74. Theft of PII/PHI is serious. The FTC warns consumers that identity thieves use

PII/PHI to exhaust financial accounts, receive medical treatment, open new utility accounts, and

incur charges and credit in a person's name.

The FTC recommends that identity theft victims take several steps to protect their 75.

personal and financial information after a data breach, including contacting one of the credit

bureaus to place a fraud alert (and consider an extended fraud alert that lasts for 7 years if someone

steals their identity), reviewing their credit reports, contacting companies to remove fraudulent

charges from their accounts, placing freezes on their credit, and correcting their credit reports.<sup>43</sup>

76. Identity thieves use stolen personal information such as Social Security numbers

for a variety of crimes, including credit card fraud, phone or utilities fraud, and bank/finance fraud.

According to Experian, one of the largest credit reporting companies in the world, "[t]he research

shows that personal information is valuable to identity thieves, and if they can get access to it, they

will use it" to among other things: open a new credit card or loan, change a billing address so the

victim no longer receives bills, open new utilities, obtain a mobile phone, open a bank account and

write bad checks, use a debit card number to withdraw funds, obtain a new driver's license or ID,

and/or use the victim's information in the event of arrest or court action.

77. Identity thieves can also use the victim's name and Social Security number to obtain

43 See IdentityTheft.gov, Federal Trade Commission, https://www.identitytheft.gov/Steps (last

accessed Feb. 24, 2023).

18

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

government benefits; or file a fraudulent tax return using the victim's information. In addition, identity thieves may obtain a job using the victim's Social Security number, and/or rent a house or

receive medical services in the victim's name.

78. Moreover, theft of PII/PHI is also gravely serious because PII/PHI is an extremely

valuable property right.<sup>44</sup>

79. Theft of PHI, in particular, is gravely serious: "[a] thief may use your name or

health insurance numbers to see a doctor, get prescription drugs, file claims with your insurance

provider, or get other care. If the thief's health information is mixed with yours, your treatment,

insurance and payment records, and credit report may be affected."45

80. Drug manufacturers, medical device manufacturers, pharmacies, hospitals, and

other healthcare service providers often purchase PII/PHI on the black market for the purpose of

target-marketing their products and services to the physical maladies of the data breach victims

themselves. Insurance companies purchase and use wrongfully disclosed PHI to adjust their

insureds' medical insurance premiums.

81. Each year, identity theft causes tens of billions of dollars of losses to victims in the

United States. For example, with the PII/PHI stolen in the Data Breach, which includes Social

Security numbers, identity thieves can open financial accounts, commit medical fraud, apply for

credit, file fraudulent tax returns, commit crimes, create false driver's licenses and other forms of

identification and sell them to other criminals or undocumented immigrants, steal government

<sup>44</sup> See, e.g., John T. Soma, et al., Corporate Privacy Trend: The "Value" of Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") Equals the "Value" of Financial Assets, 15 Rich. J.L. & Tech. 11, at \*3-4 (2009) ("PII, which companies obtain at little cost, has quantifiable value that is rapidly reaching a level comparable to the value of traditional financial assets.") (citations omitted).

<sup>45</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, Medical Identity Theft, http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0171-medical-identity-theft (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

19

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

benefits, give breach victims' names to police during arrests, and many other harmful forms of identity theft. These criminal activities have and will result in devastating financial and personal

losses to Plaintiff and Class Members.

As discussed above, PII/PHI is such a valuable commodity to identity thieves, and 82.

once the information has been compromised, criminals often trade the information on the "cyber

black-market" for years.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

Social security numbers are particularly sensitive pieces of personal information. 83.

As the Consumer Federation of America explains:

**Social Security number:** This is the most dangerous type of personal information in the hands of identity thieves because it can open the gate to serious fraud, from obtaining credit in your name to impersonating you to get medical services, government benefits, your tax refund, employment—even using your identity in bankruptcy and other legal matters. It's hard to change your Social Security number and it's not a good idea because it is connected

to your lift in so many ways. 46

84. For instance, with a stolen Social Security number, which is only one subset of the

PII compromised in the Data Breach, someone can open financial accounts, get medical care, file

fraudulent tax returns, commit crimes, and steal benefits.<sup>47</sup>

85. The Social Security Administration has warned that identity thieves can use an

individual's Social Security number to apply for additional credit lines. 48 Such fraud may go

undetected until debt collection calls commence months, or even years, later. Stolen Social

Security numbers also make it possible for thieves to file fraudulent tax returns, file for

unemployment benefits, or apply for a job using a false identity.<sup>49</sup> Each of these fraudulent

<sup>46</sup> See, e.g., Christine DiGangi, 5 Ways an Identity Thief Can Use Your Social Security Number (Nov. 2, 2017), https://blog.credit.com/2017/11/5-things-an-identity-thief-can-do-with-yoursocial-security-number-108597/ (emphasis added).

<sup>47</sup> *Id*.

<sup>48</sup> *Id*.

<sup>49</sup> *Id.* at 4.

20

KINGS COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

activities is difficult to detect. An individual may not know that his or her Social Security number was used to file for unemployment benefits until law enforcement notifies the individual's

employer of the suspected fraud. Fraudulent tax returns are typically discovered only when an

individual's authentic tax return is rejected.

86. An individual cannot obtain a new Social Security number without significant

paperwork and evidence of actual misuse. Even then, a new Social Security number may not be

effective, as "[t]he credit bureaus and banks are able to link the new number very quickly to the

old number, so all of that old bad information is quickly inherited into the new Social Security

number."50

87. This was a financially motivated Data Breach, as the only reason the cybercriminals

go through the trouble of running a targeted cyberattack against companies like OBH is to get

information that they can monetize by selling on the black market for use in the kinds of criminal

activity described herein. This data demands a much higher price on the black market. Martin

Walter, senior director at cybersecurity firm RedSeal, explained, "[c]ompared to credit card

information, personally identifiable information and Social Security Numbers are worth more than

10x on the black market."

88. Indeed, a social security number, date of birth, and full name can sell for \$60 to \$80

on the digital black market.<sup>51</sup> "[I]f there is reason to believe that your personal information has

been stolen, you should assume that it can end up for sale on the dark web."52

<sup>50</sup> Brian Naylor, Victims of Social Security Number Theft Find It's Hard to Bounce Back, NPR (Feb. 9, 2015), http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-hasmillions-worrying-about-identity-theft.

<sup>51</sup> Michael Kan, Here's How Much Your Identity Goes for on the Dark Web, (Nov. 15, 2017), https://www.pcmag.com/news/heres-how-much-your-identity-goes-for-on-the-dark-web.

<sup>52</sup> Dark Web Monitoring: What You Should Know, Consumer Federation of America (Mar. 19, 2019), https://consumerfed.org/consumer\_info/dark-web-monitoring-what-you-should-know/.

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

89. The medical information, PHI, which was exposed is also highly valuable. PHI can sell for as much as \$363 according to the Infosec Institute.<sup>53</sup>

- 90. These risks are both certainly impending and substantial. As the FTC has reported, if hackers get access to PII, they will use it. 54
- "Medical identity theft is a growing and dangerous crime that leaves its victims 91. with little to no recourse for recovery," reported Pam Dixon, executive director of World Privacy Forum.<sup>55</sup> "Victims often experience financial repercussions and worse yet, they frequently discover erroneous information has been added to their personal medical files due to the thief's activities."56
- 92. Data breaches involving medical information "typically leave[] a trail of falsified information in medical records that can plague victims' medical and financial lives for years."<sup>57</sup> It "is also more difficult to detect, taking almost twice as long as normal identity theft."58 In warning consumers on the dangers of medical identity theft, the FTC states that an identity thief may use PHI "to see a doctor, get prescription drugs, buy medical devices, submit claims with your insurance provider, or get other medical care." 59 The FTC also warns, "If the thief's health

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

<sup>55</sup> Michael Ollove, *The Rise of Medical Identity Theft in Healthcare*, Kaiser Health News, (Feb. 7, 2014), https://khn.org/news/rise-of-indentity-theft/. <sup>56</sup> *Id*.

Center for Internet Security, Data Breaches: In the Healthcare https://www.cisecurity.org/blog/data-breaches-in-the-healthcare-sector/ (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Pam Dixon and John Emerson, The Geography of Medical Identity Theft, WORLD PRIVACY FORUM 6 (Dec. 12, 2017), https://www.worldprivacyforum.org/2017/12/new-report-thegeography-of-medical-identity-theft/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> FBI Cyber Division Bulletin: Health Care Systems and Medical Devices at Risk for Increased Cyber Intrusions (May 6, 2014), https://publicintelligence.net/fbi-health-care-cyber-intrusions.

What to Know About Medical Identity Theft, Federal Trade Commission, https://consumer.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/articles/pdf/973a-medical-idtheft-what-to-know-whatto-do-508 0.pdf.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

information is mixed with yours, it could affect the medical care you're able to get or the health insurance benefits you're able to use. It could also hurt your credit."60

- 93. A report published by the World Privacy Forum and presented at the US FTC Workshop on Informational Injury describes what medical identity theft victims may experience:
  - Changes to their health care records, most often the addition of falsified information, through improper billing activity or activity by imposters. These changes can affect the healthcare a person receives if the errors are not caught and corrected.
  - Significant bills for medical goods and services not sought nor received.
  - Issues with insurance, co-pays, and insurance caps.
  - Long-term credit problems based on problems with debt collectors reporting debt due to identity theft.
  - Serious life consequences resulting from the crime; for example, victims have been falsely accused of being drug users based on falsified entries to their medical files; victims have had their children removed from them due to medical activities of the imposter; victims have been denied jobs due to incorrect information placed in their health files due to the crime.
  - As a result of improper and/or fraudulent medical debt reporting, victims may not qualify for mortgage or other loans and may experience other financial impacts.
  - Phantom medical debt collection based on medical billing or other identity information.
  - Sales of medical debt arising from identity theft can perpetuate a victim's debt collection and credit problems, through no fault of their own.<sup>61</sup>
- 94. There may also be a time lag between when sensitive personal information is stolen, when it is used, and when a person discovers it has been used. Fraud and identity theft resulting from the Data Breach may go undetected until debt collection calls commence months, or even

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> *Id*.

<sup>61</sup> FTC Informational Injury Workshop, (October 2018), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/ftc-informational-injury-workshop-be-bcp-staff-perspective/informational injury workshop staff report - oct 2018 0.pdf.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

years, later. An individual may not know that his or her Social Security Number was used to file

for unemployment benefits until law enforcement notifies the individual's employer of the

suspected fraud. Fraudulent tax returns are typically discovered only when an individual's

authentic tax return is rejected.

95. For example, on average it takes approximately three months for consumers to

discover their identity has been stolen and used, and it takes some individuals up to three years to

learn that information.<sup>62</sup>

96. Cybercriminals can post stolen PII/PHI on the cyber black-market for years

following a data breach, thereby making such information publicly available.

97. Approximately 21% of victims do not realize their identity has been compromised

until more than two years after it has happened. 63 This gives thieves ample time to seek multiple

treatments under the victim's name. Forty percent of consumers found out they were a victim of

medical identity theft only when they received collection letters from creditors for expenses that

were incurred in their names.<sup>64</sup>

98. Identity theft victims must spend countless hours and large amounts of money

repairing the impact to their credit as well as protecting themselves in the future.<sup>65</sup>

6

<sup>62</sup> John W. Coffey, *Difficulties in Determining Data Breach Impacts*, 17 JOURNAL OF SYSTEMICS, CYBERNETICS AND INFORMATICS 9 (2019),

http://www.iiisci.org/journal/pdv/sci/pdfs/IP069LL19.pdf.

63 See Medical ID Theft Checklist, https://www.identityforce.com/blog/medical-id-theft-checklist-

2 (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

<sup>64</sup> Experian, *The Potential Damages and Consequences of Medical Identify Theft and Healthcare Data Breaches ("Potential Damages"*), https://www.experian.com/assets/data-breach/white-papers/consequences-medical-id-theft-healthcare.pdf (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

65 Guide for Assisting Identity Theft Victims, Fed. Trade Comm'n, 4 (Sept. 2013), http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/pdf-0119-guide-assisting-id-theft-victims.pdf.

CLERK 04/26/2023 COUNTY

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

99. It is within this context that Plaintiff and all other Class Members must now live with the knowledge that their PII/PHI is forever in cyberspace and was taken by people willing to use the information for any number of improper purposes and scams, including making the information available for sale on the black market.

100. A study by the Identity Theft Resource Center shows the multitude of harms caused by fraudulent use of personal and financial information.



- Victims of the Data Breach, like Plaintiff and Class Members, must spend many hours and large amounts of money protecting themselves from the current and future negative impacts to their privacy and credit because of the Data Breach.<sup>66</sup>
- 102. As a direct and proximate result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have had their PII/PHI exposed, have suffered harm as a result, and have been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from fraud and identity theft. Plaintiff

25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> *Id*.

NYSCEE DOC NO 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

and Class Members must now take the time and effort (and spend the money) to mitigate the actual

and potential impact of the Data Breach on their everyday lives, including purchasing identity theft

and credit monitoring services every year for the rest of their lives, placing "freezes" and "alerts"

with credit reporting agencies, contacting their financial institutions and healthcare providers,

closing or modifying financial accounts, and closely reviewing and monitoring bank accounts,

credit reports, and health insurance account information for unauthorized activity for years to

come.

103. Moreover, Plaintiff and Class Members have an interest in ensuring that their

PII/PHI, which remains in the possession of OBH,, is protected from further public disclosure by

the implementation of better employee training and industry standard and statutorily compliant

security measures and safeguards. OBH has shown itself to be wholly incapable of protecting

Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII/PHI.

104. Plaintiff and Class Members also have an interest in ensuring that their personal

information that was provided to OBH is removed from OBH's unencrypted files.

105. OBH acknowledged, in its letter to Plaintiff and Class Members, that, in response

to the Data Breach, OBH has "implemented enhanced security measures and additional monitoring

tools to reduce any risk associated with this incident and to better prevent similar incidents in the

future."67

106. Because of the value of its collected and stored data, the medical industry has

experienced disproportionally higher numbers of data theft events than other industries. For this

reason, OBH knew or should have known about these dangers and strengthened its data security

<sup>67</sup> See Notice of Data Security Event, supra note 3.

26

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

accordingly. OBH was put on notice of the substantial and foreseeable risk of harm from a data

breach, yet it failed to properly prepare for that risk.

G. Plaintiff and Class Members Suffered Damages

107. OBH received Plaintiff's PII/PHI in connection with providing certain medical

services to them. In requesting and maintaining Plaintiff's PII/PHI for business purposes, OBH

expressly and impliedly promised, and undertook a duty, to act reasonably in its handling of

Plaintiff's PII/PHI. OBH did not, however, take proper care of Plaintiff's PII/PHI, leading to its

exposure to and exfiltration by cybercriminals as a direct result of OBH's inadequate security

measures.

108. For the reasons mentioned above, Defendant's conduct, which allowed the Data

Breach to occur, caused Plaintiff and Class Members significant injuries and harm in several ways.

Plaintiff and members of the Class must immediately devote time, energy, and money to: 1) closely

monitor their medical statements, bills, records, and credit and financial accounts; 2) change login

and password information on any sensitive account even more frequently than they already do; 3)

more carefully screen and scrutinize phone calls, emails, and other communications to ensure that

they are not being targeted in a social engineering or spear phishing attack; and 4) search for

suitable identity theft protection and credit monitoring services, and pay to procure them. Plaintiff

has taken or will be forced to take these measures in order to mitigate their potential damages as a

result of the Data Breach.

109. Once PII and PHI is exposed, there is little that can be done to ensure that the

exposed information has been fully recovered or obtained against future misuse. For this reason,

Plaintiff and Class Members will need to maintain these heightened measures for years, and

possibly their entire lives as a result of Defendant's conduct.

27

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023 06:34 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

110. Further, the value of Plaintiff and Class Members' PII and PHI has been diminished

by its exposure in the Data Breach. Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive the full benefit of

their bargain when paying for medical services, and instead received services that were of a

diminished value to those described in their agreements with OBH for the benefit and protection

of Plaintiff and Class Members and their respective PII/PHI. Plaintiff and Class Members were

damaged in an amount at least equal to the difference in the value between the services they

thought they paid for (which would have included adequate data security protection) and the

services they actually received.

Plaintiff and Class Members would not have obtained medical services from OBH, 111.

or paid the amount they did to receive such, had they known that OBH would negligently fail to

adequately protect their PII/PHI. Indeed, Plaintiff paid for medical services with the expectation

that OBH would keep their PII/PHI secure and inaccessible from unauthorized parties. Plaintiff

and Class Members would not have obtained services from OBH had they known that Defendant

failed to properly train its employees, lacked safety controls over its computer network, and did

not have proper data security practices to safeguard their PII/PHI from criminal theft and misuse.

112. As a result of Defendant's failures, Plaintiff and Class Members are also at

substantial and certainly impending increased risk of suffering identity theft and fraud or misuse

of their PII and PHI.

113. Further, because Defendant delayed in notifying Plaintiff and the Class about the

Data Breach for nearly six months, Plaintiff was unable to take affirmative steps during that time

period to attempt to mitigate any harm or take prophylactic steps to protect against injury.

From a recent study, 28% of consumers affected by a data breach become victims 114.

of identity fraud—this is a significant increase from a 2012 study that found only 9.5% of those

28

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

affected by a breach would be subject to identity fraud. Without a data breach, the likelihood of identify fraud is only about 3%.68

115. With respect to health care breaches, another study found "the majority [70%] of data impacted by healthcare breaches could be leveraged by hackers to commit fraud or identity theft."69 Indeed, in 2013 alone, "medical-related identity theft accounted for 43 percent of all identity thefts reported in the United States," which is more than identity thefts involving banking, finance, the government and the military, or education.<sup>70</sup>

- "Actors buying and selling PII and PHI from healthcare institutions and providers in underground marketplaces is very common and will almost certainly remain so due to this data's utility in a wide variety of malicious activity ranging from identity theft and financial fraud to crafting of bespoke phishing lures."<sup>71</sup>
- The reality is that cybercriminals seek nefarious outcomes from a data breach and 117. "stolen health data can be used to carry out a variety of crimes."<sup>72</sup>
- Health information in particular is likely to be used in detrimental ways—by 118. leveraging sensitive personal health details and diagnoses to extort or coerce someone, and serious and long-term identity theft.<sup>73</sup> This is especially true where here, the medical information at issue

Sjouwerman, 28 Percent of Data Breaches Lead to Fraud, KnowBe4, https://blog.knowbe4.com/bid/252486/28-percent-of-data-breaches-lead-to-fraud (last visited Apr. 17, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Jessica David, 70% of Data Involved in Healthcare Breaches Increases Risk of Fraud, HealthITSecurity (Sept. 25, 2019) https://healthitsecurity.com/news/70-of-data-involved-inhealthcare-breaches-increases-risk-of-fraud.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Michael Ollove, *supra* note 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> David, *supra* note 82.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Andrew Steger, What Happens to Stolen Healthcare Data?, HealthTech (Oct. 30, 2019), https://healthtechmagazine.net/article/2019/10/what-happens-stolen-healthcare-data-perfcon. <sup>73</sup> *Id*.

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

involves patients' drug and alcohol abuse treatments and this information has already been leaked

A study by Experian found that the average total cost of medical identity theft is "about \$20,000" per incident, and that a majority of victims of medical identity theft were forced to pay out-of-pocket costs for healthcare they did not receive in order to restore coverage. <sup>74</sup> Almost half of medical identity theft victims lose their healthcare coverage as a result of the incident, while nearly one-third saw their insurance premiums rise, and forty percent were never able to resolve their identity theft at all.<sup>75</sup>

120. "Medical identity theft is a great concern not only because of its rapid growth rate, but because it is the most expensive and time consuming to resolve of all types of identity theft. Additionally, medical identity theft is very difficult to detect which makes this form of fraud extremely dangerous."76

121. Plaintiff and Class Members are also at a continued risk because their information remains in Defendant's computer systems, which have already been shown to be susceptible to compromise and attack and is subject to further attack so long as Defendant fails to undertake the necessary and appropriate security and training measures to protect its patients' PII and PHI.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

on the dark web.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Elinor Mills, Study: Medical Identity Theft is Costly for Victims, CNET (Mar. 3, 2010), https://www.cnet.com/news/study-medical-identity-theft-is-costly-for-victims/.

<sup>75</sup> Id.; see also Brian O'Connor, Healthcare Data Breach: What to Know About them and What to Do After One, Experian (Mar. 31, 2023), /www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/healthcare-databreach-what-to-know-about-them-and-what-to-do-after-one/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> The Potential Damages and Consequences of Medical Identity theft and Healthcare Data https://www.experian.com/assets/data-breach/white-Breaches, 2010), Experian (Apr. papers/consequences-medical-id-theft-healthcare.pdf.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

122. In addition, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered emotional distress as a result of the Data Breach, the increased risk of identity theft and financial fraud, and the unauthorized exposure of their private medical information to strangers.

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 123. Plaintiff sues on her own behalf, and on behalf of a Class for damages and injunctive relief under CPLR § 901, et seq.
  - 124. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of persons to be defined as follows:

All individuals whose PII and/or PHI was compromised in the One Brooklyn Health Data Breach which was announced on or about April 20, 2023 (the "Class").

- 125. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its subsidiaries and affiliates, officers and directors, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, the legal representative, heirs, successors, or assigns of any such excluded party, the judicial officer(s) to whom this action is assigned, and the members of their immediate families.
- 126. This proposed class definition is based on the information available to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff may modify the class definition in an amended pleading or when they move for class certification, as necessary to account for any newly learned or changed facts as the situation develops and discovery gets underway.
- 127. **Numerosity CPLR § 901(a)(1).** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that there are at minimum, thousands of members of the Class described above. The exact size of the Class and the identities of the individual members are identifiable through Defendant's records, including but not limited to the files implicated in the Data Breach, but based on public information, the Class includes approximately 235,251 individuals.
- 128. Commonality CPLR § 901(a)(2). This action involves questions of law and fact common to the Class. Such common questions include, but are not limited to:

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023 06:34 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

Whether Defendant failed to timely notify Plaintiff and Class Members of a. the Data Breach;

- b. Whether Defendant had a duty to protect the PII and PHI of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- Whether Defendant was negligent in collecting and storing Plaintiff's and c. Class Members' PII and PHI, and breached its duties thereby;
- d. Whether Defendant breached its fiduciary duty to Plaintiff and the Class;
- Whether Defendant breached its duty of confidence to Plaintiff and the e. Class;
- f. Whether Defendant entered a contract implied in fact with Plaintiff and the Class;
- Whether Defendant breached that contract by failing to adequately g. safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI;
- h. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched;
- i. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct; and
- j. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.
- 129. Typicality – CPLR § 901(a)(3). Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. The claims of the Plaintiff and members of the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful and willful conduct. Plaintiff and members of the Class were all patients of Defendant, each having their PII and PHI exposed and/or accessed by an unauthorized third party.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

130. Adequacy of Representation - CPLR § 901(a)(4). Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the other Class Members Plaintiff seeks to represent; Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation; Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously; and Plaintiff's

counsel has adequate financial means to vigorously pursue this action and ensure the interests of

the Class will not be harmed. Furthermore, the interests of the Class Members will be fairly and

adequately protected and represented by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel.

Superiority – CPLR § 901(a)(5). A class action is superior to any other available 131.

method for adjudicating this controversy. The proposed Class is the surest way (i) to fairly and

expeditiously compensate so large a number of injured persons that constitute the Class, (ii) to

keep the courts from being inundated by dozens or hundreds of repetitive cases, and (iii) to reduce

transactions costs so that the injured class members can obtain the most compensation possible.

Accordingly, class treatment presents a superior mechanism for fairly resolving similar issues and

claims without repetitious wasteful litigation

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION **VIOLATION OF NEW YORK GENERAL BUSINESS LAW § 349** (Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

132. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth

Plaintiff brings this claim under N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349 on her own behalf and 133.

on behalf of the Class.

herein.

134. N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349 prohibits "[d]eceptive acts or practices in the conduct of

any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state."

33

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

135. The acts of OBH described herein are consumer-oriented in that they are directed at members of the consuming public.

136. The misrepresentations and material omissions of OBH with respect to its privacy practices and failure to adequately safeguard the information entrusted to it violate the New York General Business Law.

137. The aforementioned acts are willful, unfair, unconscionable, deceptive, and contrary to the public policy of New York, which aims to protect consumers.

138. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury and monetary damages in an amount to be determined at the trial of this action. Plaintiff does not seek to recover on her own behalf or on behalf of the members of the Class any penalties or minimum measures of recovery provided by N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 349.

139. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class further seek equitable relief against OPH.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF NEW YORK GENERAL BUSINESS LAW § 350 (Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

- 140. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 141. Plaintiff brings this claim under N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 350 on their own behalf and on behalf of each member of the Class.
- 142. N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 350 prohibits "[f]alse advertising in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state."

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

143. The misrepresentations and omissions and false, deceptive, and misleading advertisements of Defendant as set forth herein violate the New York General Business Law.

144. The aforementioned acts are willful, unfair, unconscionable, deceptive, and

contrary to the public policy of New York, which aims to protect consumers.

145. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful deceptive acts and

practices, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury and monetary damages in an amount to be

determined at the trial of this action. Plaintiff does not seek to recover on her own behalf or on

behalf of the members of the Class any penalties or minimum measures of recovery provided by

N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW § 350.

146. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered an ascertainable loss caused

by Defendants' false advertisements because they would not have chosen to provide their highly

sensitive information to OBH had they known that it lacked adequate security measures to

protect it, contrary to its own privacy policies and common law legal requirements.

147. Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class further seek equitable relief against

OBH.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE

(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

148. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth

herein.

149. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

150. OBH owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise reasonable care in

safeguarding and protecting their PII and PHI in its possession, custody, and control.

35

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

151. OBH's duty to use reasonable care arose from several sources, including but not

limited to those described below.

152. As discussed above, OBH had a common law duty to prevent foreseeable harm to

others. This duty existed because Plaintiff and Class Members were the foreseeable and probable

victims of any inadequate security practices on the part of the OBH. By collecting and storing

valuable PII and PHI that is routinely targeted by criminals for unauthorized access, OBH was

obligated to act with reasonable care to protect against these foreseeable threats.

OBH's duty also arose from its position as a healthcare provider. OBH holds itself 153.

out as a trusted provider of healthcare, and thereby assumes a duty to reasonably protect its

patients' information. Indeed, OBH was in a unique and superior position to protect against the

harm suffered by Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of the Data Breach.

OBH breached the duties owed to Plaintiff and Class Members and thus was 154.

negligent. As a result of a successful attack directed towards OBH that compromised Plaintiff's

and Class Members' PII and PHI, Defendant breached its duties through some combination of the

allowed following omissions errors and that the data compromise to occur:

(a) mismanaging its system and failing to identify reasonably foreseeable internal and external

risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of customer information that resulted in the

unauthorized access and compromise of PII and PHI; (b) mishandling its data security by failing

to assess the sufficiency of its safeguards in place to control these risks; (c) failing to design and

implement information safeguards to control these risks; (d) failing to adequately test and monitor

the effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures; (e) failing to evaluate

and adjust its information security program in light of the circumstances alleged herein; (f) failing

to detect the breach at the time it began or within a reasonable time thereafter; (g) failing to follow

36

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

its own privacy policies and practices published to its patients; and (h) failing to adequately train and supervise employees and third party vendors with access or credentials to systems and

databases containing sensitive PII or PHI.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

But for OBH's wrongful and negligent breach of its duties owed to Plaintiff and 155.

Class Members, their PII and PHI would not have been compromised.

156. As a direct and proximate result of OBH's negligence, Plaintiff and Class Members

have suffered injuries, including, but not limited to:

a. Theft of their PII and/or PHI;

Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft and b.

unauthorized use of their PII and PHI;

c. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft

protection services;

d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following fraudulent

activities;

Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking e.

time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal with the actual

and future consequences of the Data Breach – including finding fraudulent

charges, cancelling and reissuing cards, enrolling in credit monitoring and

identity theft protection services, freezing and unfreezing accounts, and

imposing withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised accounts;

f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the increased

risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PII and/or PHI being

placed in the hands of criminals;

37

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

g. Damages to and diminution in value of their PII and PHI entrusted, directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding that Defendant

would safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' data against theft and not

allow access and misuse of their data by others;

h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PII and/or PHI,

which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further breaches

so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures

to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' data; and

i. Emotional distress from the unauthorized disclosure of PII and PHI to

strangers who likely have nefarious intentions and now have prime

opportunities to commit identity theft, fraud, and other types of attacks on

Plaintiff and Class Members.

157. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and Class

Members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or nominal damages, in

an amount to be proven at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE PER SE

(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

158. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth

herein, and further alleges as follows:

159. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

160. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce"

including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by entities such as

38

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

OBH for failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII and PHI. Various FTC publications and

orders also form the basis of Defendant's duty.

161. OBH violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable measures to

protect PII and PHI and not complying with the industry standards. Defendant's conduct was

particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII and PHI it obtained and stored and

the foreseeable consequences of a data breach involving PII and PHI of its patients.

162. Plaintiff and members of the Class are consumers within the class of persons

Section 5 of the FTC Act was intended to protect.

163. OBH's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence per se.

164. OBH is an entity covered under HIPAA which sets minimum federal standards for

privacy and security of PHI.

165. Pursuant to HIPAA, 42 U.S.C. § 1302d, et. seq., and its implementing regulations,

Defendant had a duty to implement and maintain reasonable and appropriate administrative,

technical, and physical safeguards to protect Plaintiff's and the Class Members' electronic PHI.

166. Specifically, HIPAA required OBH to: (a) ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and

availability of all electronic PHI it creates, receives, maintains, or transmits; (b) identify and

protect against reasonably anticipated threats to the security or integrity of the electronic PHI; (c)

protect against reasonably anticipated, impermissible uses, or disclosures of the PHI; and (d)

ensure compliance by their workforce to satisfy HIPAA's security requirements. 45 C.F.R. §

164.102, et. seg.

167. OBH violated HIPAA by actively disclosing Plaintiff's and the Class Members'

electronic PHI and by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data

security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI.

39

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

168. Plaintiff and the Class Members are patients within the class of persons HIPAA was intended to protect.

- 169. OBH's violation of HIPAA constitutes negligence per se.
- 170. OBH violated Part 2 by actively disclosing Plaintiff's and the Class Members' electronic PHI to unknown cyber criminals.
- 171. Plaintiff and the Class Members are patients within the class of persons Part 2 was intended to protect.
  - 172. OBH's violation of Part 2 constitutes negligence per se.
- 173. The harm that has occurred as a result of OBH's conduct is the type of harm that the FTC Act, HIPAA, and Part 2 was intended to guard against.
- 174. As a direct and proximate result of OBH's negligence, Plaintiff's and Class Members have been injured as described herein, and are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

- 175. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:
- 176. Plaintiff and Class Members have an interest, both equitable and legal, in the PII and PHI about them that was conveyed to, collected by, and maintained by OBH and that was ultimately accessed or compromised in the Data Breach.
- 177. As a healthcare provider, and recipient of patients' PII and PHI, OBH has a fiduciary relationship to its patients, including Plaintiff and the Class Members.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

178. Because of that fiduciary relationship, OBH was provided with and stored private and valuable PHI and PII related to Plaintiff and the Class. Plaintiff and the Class were entitled to

expect their information would remain confidential while in Defendant's possession.

179. OBH owed a fiduciary duty under common law to Plaintiff and Class Members to

exercise the utmost care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting

their PII and PHI in Defendant's possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed, and

misused by unauthorized persons.

180. As a result of the parties' fiduciary relationship, OBH had an obligation to maintain

the confidentiality of the information within Plaintiff's and the Class Members' medical records.

181. OBH's patients, including Plaintiff and Class Members, have a privacy interest in

personal medical matters, and OBH had a fiduciary duty not to disclose medical data concerning

its patients.

182. As a result of the parties' relationship, OBH had possession and knowledge of

confidential PII and PHI of Plaintiff and Class Members, information not generally known.

183. Plaintiff and Class Members did not consent to nor authorize OBH to release or

disclose their PII and PHI to unknown criminal actors.

184. OBH breached its fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiff and Class Members by, among

other things:

a. mismanaging its system and failing to identify reasonably foreseeable

internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of

customer information that resulted in the unauthorized access and

compromise of PII and PHI;

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

b. mishandling its data security by failing to assess the sufficiency of its safeguards in place to control these risks;

- failing to design and implement information safeguards to control these c. risks;
- d. failing to adequately test and monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards' key controls, systems, and procedures;
- failing to evaluate and adjust its information security program in light of the e. circumstances alleged herein;
- f. failing to detect the breach at the time it began or within a reasonable time thereafter;
- failing to follow its own privacy policies and practices published to its g. patients; and
- h. failing to adequately train and supervise employees and third-party vendors with access or credentials to systems and databases containing sensitive PII or PHI.
- 185. But for OBH's wrongful breach of its fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiff and Class Members, their PII and PHI would not have been compromised.
- As a direct and proximate result of OBH's negligence, Plaintiff and Class Members 186. have suffered injuries, including:
  - Theft of their PII and/or PHI; a.
  - Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft and b. unauthorized use of their PII and PHI;

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

c. Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft protection services;

- d. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following fraudulent activities;
- e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal with the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards, enrolling in credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, freezing and unfreezing accounts, and imposing withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised accounts;
- f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the increased risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PII and/or PHI being placed in the hands of criminals;
- g. Damages to and diminution in value of their PII and PHI entrusted, directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' data against theft and not allow access and misuse of their data by others;
- h. Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PII and/or PHI, which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' data; and
- i. Emotional distress from the unauthorized disclosure of PII and PHI to strangers who likely have nefarious intentions and now have prime

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

opportunities to commit identity theft, fraud, and other types of attacks on Plaintiff and Class Members.

187. As a direct and proximate result of OBH's breach of its fiduciary duties, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or nominal damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION **BREACH OF CONFIDENCE** (Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

- 188. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:
- 189. Plaintiff and Class Members have an interest, both equitable and legal, in the PII and PHI about them that was conveyed to, collected by, and maintained by OBH and that was ultimately accessed or compromised in the Data Breach.
- 190. As a healthcare provider, OBH has a special relationship to its patients, like Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 191. Because of that special relationship, OBH was provided with and stored private and valuable PHI related to Plaintiff and the Class, which it was required to maintain in confidence.
- 192. Plaintiff and the Class provided OBH with their personal and confidential PHI under both the express and/or implied agreement of OBH to limit the use and disclosure of such PHI.
- Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise the utmost care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting their PHI in its possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed by, misused by, or disclosed to unauthorized persons.

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

194. Defendant had an obligation to maintain the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and the

Class Members' PHI.

195. Plaintiff and Class Members have a privacy interest in their personal medical

matters, and Defendant had a duty not to disclose confidential medical information and records

concerning its patients.

196. As a result of the parties' relationship, Defendant had possession and knowledge of

confidential PHI and confidential medical records of Plaintiff and Class Members.

Plaintiff's and the Class's PHI is not generally known to the public and is 197.

confidential by nature.

198. Plaintiff and Class Members did not consent to nor authorize Defendant to release

or disclose their PHI to an unknown criminal actor.

Defendant breached the duties of confidence it owed to Plaintiff and Class 199.

Members when Plaintiff's and the Class's PHI was disclosed to unknown criminal hackers.

200. Defendant breached its duties of confidence by failing to safeguard Plaintiff's and

Class Members' PHI, including by, among other things: (a) mismanaging its system and failing to

identify reasonably foreseeable internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and

integrity of customer information that resulted in the unauthorized access and compromise of PII

and PHI; (b) mishandling its data security by failing to assess the sufficiency of its safeguards in

place to control these risks; (c) failing to design and implement information safeguards to control

these risks; (d) failing to adequately test and monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards' key

controls, systems, and procedures; (e) failing to evaluate and adjust its information security

program in light of the circumstances alleged herein; (f) failing to detect the breach at the time it

began or within a reasonable time thereafter; (g) failing to follow its on privacy policies and

45

SCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

practices published to its patients; (h) storing PHI and medical records/information in an unencrypted and vulnerable manner, allowing its disclosure to hackers; and (i) making an unauthorized and unjustified disclosure and release of Plaintiff and the Class Members' PHI and medical records/information to a criminal third party.

- But for Defendant's wrongful breach of its duty of confidences owed to Plaintiff 201. and Class Members, their privacy, confidences, and PHI would not have been compromised.
- 202. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of Plaintiff's and the Class's confidences, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered injuries, including:
  - The erosion of the essential and confidential relationship between a. Defendant – as a health care services provider – and Plaintiff and Class Members as patients;
  - Loss of their privacy and confidentiality in their PHI; b.
  - Theft of their PII and/or PHI; c.
  - d. Costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft and unauthorized use of their PII and PHI;
  - Costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft e. protection services;
  - f. Lowered credit scores resulting from credit inquiries following fraudulent activities;
  - Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking g. time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal with the actual and future consequences of the OBH Data Breach - including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards, enrolling in credit

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

accounts;

SCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

monitoring and identity theft protection services, freezing and unfreezing accounts, and imposing withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised

- The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the increased h. risk of potential fraud and identity theft posed by their PII and/or PHI being placed in the hands of criminals;
- i. Damages to and diminution in value of their PII and PHI entrusted, directly or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding that Defendant would safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' data against theft and not allow access and misuse of their data by others;
- Continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their PII and/or PHI, j. which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further breaches so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' data;
- Loss of personal time spent carefully reviewing statements from health k. insurers and providers to check for charges for services not received, as directed to do by Defendant; and
- 1. Mental anguish accompanying the loss of confidences and disclosure of their confidential and private PHI.
- 203. Additionally, OBH received payments from Plaintiff and Class Members for services with the understanding that OBH would uphold its responsibilities to maintain the confidences of Plaintiff's and Class Members' private medical information.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

204. Defendant breached the confidence of Plaintiff and Class Members when it made an unauthorized release and disclosure of their confidential medical information and/or PHI and, accordingly, it would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit at Plaintiff's and Class Members' expense.

205. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duty of confidences, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or nominal damages, and/or disgorgement or restitution, in an amount to be proven at trial.

# SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION/INVASION OF PRIVACY (Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

- 206. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:
- 207. Plaintiff and Class Members had a reasonable expectation of privacy in the PII/PHI OBH mishandled.
- 208. OBH's conduct as alleged above intruded upon Plaintiff's and Class Members' seclusion under common law.
- 209. By intentionally failing to keep Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII/PHI safe, and by intentionally misusing and/or disclosing said information to unauthorized parties for unauthorized use, OBH intentionally invaded Plaintiff's and Class Members' privacy by:
  - Intentionally and substantially intruding into Plaintiff's and Class
    Members' private affairs in a manner that identifies Plaintiff and Class
    Members and that would be highly offensive and objectionable to an
    ordinary person;

COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

b. Intentionally publicizing private facts about Plaintiff and Class Members, which is highly offensive and objectionable to an ordinary person; and

Intentionally causing anguish or suffering to Plaintiff and Class Members. c.

210. OBH knew that an ordinary person in Plaintiff's or Class Members' position would

consider Defendant's intentional actions highly offensive and objectionable.

OBH invaded Plaintiff's and Class Members' right to privacy and intruded into 211.

Plaintiff's and Class Members' private affairs by intentionally misusing and/or disclosing their

PII/PHI without their informed, voluntary, affirmative, and clear consent.

Defendant intentionally concealed from and delayed reporting to Plaintiff and Class 212.

Members a security incident that misused and/or disclosed their PII/PHI without their informed,

voluntary, affirmative, and clear consent.

The conduct described above was at or directed at Plaintiff and the Class Members. 213.

As a proximate result of such intentional misuse and disclosures, Plaintiff's and 214.

Class Members' reasonable expectations of privacy in their PII/PHI was unduly frustrated and

thwarted. Defendant's conduct amounted to a substantial and serious invasion of Plaintiff's and

Class Members' protected privacy interests causing anguish and suffering such that an ordinary

person would consider OBH's intentional actions or inaction highly offensive and objectionable.

215. In failing to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII/PHI, and in intentionally

misusing and/or disclosing their PII/PHI, OBH t acted with intentional malice and oppression and

in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's and Class Members' rights to have such information kept

confidential and private. Plaintiff, therefore, seeks an award of damages on behalf of themselves

and the Class.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

As a direct and proximate result of OBH's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members 216. are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or nominal damages, in an amount

to be proven at trial.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION **BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT** (Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth 217.

herein, and further alleges as follows:

218. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

When Plaintiff and members of the Class provided their PII and PHI to OBH in 219.

exchange for healthcare services, they entered into implied contracts with Defendant, under which

OBH agreed to take reasonable steps to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI,

comply with it statutory and common law duties to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and

PHI, and to timely notify them in the event of a data breach.

220. OBH solicited and invited Plaintiff and Class Members to provide their PII and PHI

as part of Defendant's provision of healthcare services. Plaintiff and Class Members accepted

Defendant's offers and provided their PII and PHI to Defendant.

221. When entering into implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably

believed and expected that OBH's data security practices complied with its statutory and common

law duties to adequately protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI and to timely notify

them in the event of a data breach.

222. OBH's implied promise to safeguard patient PII and PHI is evidence by, e.g., the

representations in Defendant's Notice of Privacy Practices set forth above.

50

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

223. Plaintiff and Class Members paid money to Defendant in order to receive healthcare

services. Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably believed and expected that Defendant would use

part of those funds to obtain adequate data security. OBH failed to do so.

224. Plaintiff and Class Members would not have provided their PII and PHI to OBH

had they known that Defendant would not safeguard their PII and PHI, as promised, or provide

timely notice of a data breach.

225. Plaintiff and Class Members fully performed their obligations under their implied

contracts with OBH.

226. OBH breached its implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to

safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI and by failing to provide them with timely

and accurate notice of the Data Breach.

227. As a direct and proximate result of OBH's breach of contract, Plaintiff and Class

Members are entitled to damages, including compensatory, punitive, and/or nominal damages, in

an amount to be proven at trial.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNJUST ENRICHMENT

(Plaintiff on behalf of the Class)

228. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth

herein.

229. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the Class in the alternative

to Plaintiff's Implied Contract claim.

230. Upon information and belief, Defendant funds its data security measures entirely

from its general revenue, including payments made by or on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class

Members.

51

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

231. As such, a portion of the payments made by or on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class

Members is to be used to provide a reasonable level of data security, and the amount of the portion

of each payment made that is allocated to data security is known to Defendant.

232. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a monetary benefit on Defendant.

Specifically, they purchased healthcare services from Defendant and/or its agents and in so doing

provided Defendant with their PII and PHI. In exchange, Plaintiff and Class Members should have

received from Defendant the goods and services that were the subject of the transaction and have

their PII and PHI protected with adequate data security.

233. Defendant knew that Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a benefit which

Defendant accepted. Defendant profited from these transactions and used the PII and PHI of

Plaintiff and Class Members for business purposes.

234. In particular, Defendant enriched itself by saving the costs it reasonably should

have expended on data security measures to secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and PHI.

Instead of providing a reasonable level of security that would have prevented the Data Breach,

Defendant instead calculated to increase its own profits at the expense of Plaintiff and Class

Members by utilizing cheaper, ineffective security measures. Plaintiff and Class Members, on the

other hand, suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's decision to prioritize its own

profits over the requisite security.

235. Under the principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be

permitted to retain the money belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members, because Defendant failed

to implement appropriate data management and security measures that are mandated by its

common law and statutory duties.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

236. Defendant failed to secure Plaintiff and Class Members' PII and PHI and, therefore, did not provide full compensation for the benefit Plaintiff and Class Members provided.

237. Defendant acquired the PII and PHI through inequitable means in that it failed to

disclose the inadequate security practices previously alleged.

If Plaintiff and Class Members knew that Defendant had not reasonably secured 238.

their PII and PHI, they would not have agreed to provide their PII and PHI to Defendant.

239. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class

Members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm.

240. Defendant should be compelled to disgorge into a common fund or constructive

trust, for the benefit of Plaintiff and Class Members, proceeds that they unjustly received from

them. In the alternative, Defendant should be compelled to refund the amounts that Plaintiff and

Class Members overpaid for Defendant's services.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, pray for relief as follows:

> For an order certifying the Class defined above, appointing the Plaintiff as a.

Class representative, and designating her undersigned attorneys as Class

Counsel;

For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all counts asserted b.

herein;

For damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact; c.

For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief; d.

e. Declaratory and injunctive relief as described herein;

f. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;

KINGS COUNTY CLERK 04/26/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded; and g.

Awarding such other and further relief as may be just and proper. h.

#### JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: April 26, 2023 Respectfully Submitted:

By: /s/ J. Burkett McInturff

Jonathan Shub

J. Burkett McInturff

#### WITTELS MCINTURFF PALIKOVIC

305 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, NY 10007 Telephone: (910) 476-7253 Facsimile: (914) 775-8862 jbm@wittelslaw.com

Benjamin F. Johns Samantha E. Holbrook **SHUB & JOHNS LLC** Four Tower Bridge 200 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 400 West Conshohocken, PA 19428 (610) 477-8380 jshub@shublawyers.com bjohns@shublawyers.com sholbrook@shublawyers.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Kiya Johnson

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 512485/2023
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/26/2023

#### **VERIFICATION**

J. Burkett McInturff, an attorney duly admitted to practice before the Courts of this State affirms under penalty of perjury and pursuant to CPLR § 2106 that the following facts are true.

I am counsel for Plaintiffs in the above-entitled action. I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof. The same are true to my knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

The grounds for my belief as to all matters not stated upon my own knowledge are as follows: these matters were made known to the undersigned from the Plaintiffs and from documents reviewed.

Dated: April 26, 2023 Brooklyn, New York

By: /s/ J. Burkett McInturff

J. Burkett McInturff