	Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1	Filed 06/17/22 Page 1 of 35
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Paul R. Kiesel, State Bar No. 119854 kiesel@kiesel.law Jeffrey A. Koncius, State Bar No. 189803 koncius@kiesel.law Nicole Ramirez, State Bar No. 279017 ramirez@kiesel.law KIESEL LAW LLP 8648 Wilshire Boulevard Beverly Hills, CA 90211-2910 Tel: 310-854-4444 Fax: 310-854-0812 Jason 'Jay' Barnes (to be admitted pro hac vice) jaybarnes@simmonsfirm.com An Truong (to be admitted pro hac vice) atruong@simmonsfirm.com Eric Johnson (to be admitted pro hac vice) ejohnson@simmonsfirm.com SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC 112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor New York, NY 10016 Tel.: (212) 784-6400 Fax: (212) 213-5949 	Stephen M. Gorny [to be admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>] steve@gornylawfirm.com GORNY DANDURAND, LC 4330 Belleview Avenue, Suite 200 Kansas City, MO 64111 Tel.: 816-756-5071 Fax: 816-756-5067 Amy Gunn [to be admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>] agun@simonlawpc.com THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C. 800 Market St., Ste. 1700 St. Louis, MO 63101 Tel.: 314-241-2929
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Tel.: 314-241-2929 Fax: 314-241-2029
15	Anomeys for Trainings	
16	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 19	others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:22-cv-3580 CLASS ACTION
20	Plaintiffs,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
21	v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
22	META PLATFORMS, INC.,	
23	Defendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CLASS ACTIO	N COMPLAINT

	0	Case 5:2	22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 2 of 35
1			TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	I.	INTR	ODUCTION1
3	II.	JURIS	DICTION AND VENUE
4	III.	PART	TIES TO THE LITIGATION
5	IV.	FACT	S COMMON TO ALL COUNTS
6		A.	HEALTH PRIVACY LAWS IN THE UNITED STATES
7		В.	FACEBOOK'S CONTRACTUAL PROMISES 8
8		C.	HOW THE PIXEL WORKS 10
9		D.	FACEBOOK PUBLICLY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT HEALTH-BASED ADVERTISING IS INAPPROPRIATE
10		E.	FACEBOOK CHANGED ITS CONTRACTUAL PRIVACY PROMISES IN
11		E.	2018
12	V.	CLAS	S ACTION ALLEGATIONS 18
13	VI.	TOLL	ING 19
14	VII.	CAUS	SES OF ACTION
15		FIRST	CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF CONTRACT
16		SECO	ND CAUSE OF ACTION - GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING
17		THIR	D CAUSE OF ACTION - INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION— CONSTITUTIONAL INVASION OF PRIVACY
18 19		FOUF	TH CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT
20		FIFTH	I CAUSE OF ACTION - THE CALIFORNIA INVASION OF PRIVACY ACT 27
21		SIXTI	H CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
22		SEVE	NTH CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR
23	3.7111		COMPETITION LAW
24	VIII.		YER FOR RELIEF
25	IX.	DEM	AND FOR JURY TRIAL
26			
27			
28			
			CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff John Doe, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, alleges as follows
 upon personal knowledge as to his own conduct and on information and belief as to all other matters
 based on an investigation by counsel, such that each allegation has evidentiary support or is likely
 to have evidentiary support upon further investigation and discovery:

5

I.

INTRODUCTION

6 1. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and millions of other Americans 7 whose medical privacy has been violated by Facebook's Pixel tracking tool. As explained herein, 8 Facebook knows (or should have known) that its Pixel tracking tool is being improperly used on 9 hospital websites resulting in the wrongful, contemporaneous, re-direction to Facebook of patient 10 communications to register as a patient, sign-in or out of a supposedly "secure" patient portal, 11 request or set appointments, or call their provider via their computing device. This unlawful collection of data is done without the knowledge or authorization of the patient, like Plaintiffs, in 12 13 violation of federal and state laws as well as Facebook's own contract with its users.

2. When a patient communicates with a health care provider's website where the
Facebook Pixel is present on the patient portal login page, the Facebook Pixel source code causes
the exact content of the patient's communication with their health care provider to be re-directed
to Facebook in a fashion that identifies them as a patient.

3. For example, Plaintiff John Doe is a patient of the Medstar Health System in
Baltimore, Maryland. In the course of receiving medical care at MedStar, Plaintiff Doe has used
the "MyMedStar" patient portal to review his lab results, make appointments, and communicate
with his providers.

4. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff John Doe, and millions of other patients around the
country, when he signed-in to the patient portal, the Facebook Pixel secretly deployed on the
webpage sent the fact that he has clicked to sign-in to the patient portal to Facebook.

25 5. The data that the Facebook Pixel causes to be re-directed from the patient's
26 computing device to Facebook includes:

a. The patient was communicating with Medstar via its
www.MedStarHealth.org property;

Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 4 of 35
 b. The patient engaged in an 'ev' or event called a SubscribedButtonClick; c. The content of the button the patient clicked was "Login to myMedstar" d. The page from which the button the patient clicked was Patient Portal – <i>i.e.</i> Home; e. The patient had previously been at a Medstar page about breast health; f. The patient's Internet Protocol address; g. Identifiers that Facebook uses to identify the patient and his/her device, including cookies named c-user, datr, fr, and fbp (<i>i.e.</i> Facebook Pixel); and h. Browser attribute information sufficient to fingerprint the patient's device.
cd[buttonFeatur margin-10", "destination": "https://mymedstar.iqhealth.com/home?opt_id=&_ga=to myMedstar", "numChildButtons": 0, "tag": "a", "name": ""} cd[buttonText] Logn to myMedstar cd[buttonText] Logn to myMedstar cd[formFeaturee] cd[formFeaturee] cd[pageFeaturee ("ttdle": "Patient Portal - Home"} cd[parameters] cd[parameters] cd cd https://www.mymedstar.org/?ReturnUrl=%2Fdefault.aspx&opt_id=&_ga= es automatic ev SubscribedButtonCick %ga=
 6. As explained in further detail below, patient-status is protected by HIPAA, which requires a valid HIPAA-compliant authorization before it is collected by Facebook. 7. Neither Facebook nor any of the hospitals that deployed the Facebook Pixel on their web properties ("Facebook Partner Medical Providers") procured HIPAA authorizations for the disclosure of patient status and health information to Facebook. 8. Facebook's collection of patient status and the content of patient communications with their medical providers, including when they register, log-in and logout of patient portals and 2 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

to set up appointments, in the absence of a HIPAA authorization violates Facebook's privacy
 promises to users.

3 9. Facebook promises users, that "publishers can send us information through Meta
4 Business Tools [such as] the Meta Pixel" but Facebook "require[s] each of these partners to have
5 lawful rights to collect, use, and share your data before providing any data to us."

6

7

8

10. However, Facebook knowingly receives patient data—including patient portal usage information— from hundreds medical providers in the United States that have deployed the Facebook Pixel on their web properties.

9 11. To date, through experts, Plaintiffs have identified at least 664 hospital systems or
10 medical provider web properties where Facebook has received patient data via the Facebook Pixel.

11 12. Despite knowingly receiving health-related information from medical providers,
12 Facebook has not taken any action to enforce or validate its requirement that medical providers
13 obtain adequate consent from patients before providing patient data to Facebook.

14 13. Facebook monetizes the information it receives through the Facebook Pixel
15 deployed on medical providers' web properties by using it to generate highly-profitable targeted
16 advertising on- and off-Facebook.

17 14. The targeted advertising Facebook offers for sale includes the ability to target18 patients based on specific actions that a patient has taken on the medical providers' websites.

19 15. Facebook also offers the ability to engage in remarketing based on positive targeting
20 - that is, serving specific ad campaigns to patients based on the specific actions those patients took
21 on the medical providers' website. For example, Facebook could target ads to a patient who had
22 (1) used the patient portal and (2) viewed a page about a specific condition, such as cancer.

16. Facebook also offers medical providers the ability to engage in remarketing based
on negative targeting – that is, ensuring that ads are not shown to users who have taken specific
action. This could mean that Facebook would exclude existing patients from a medical provider's
advertising campaign in order to establish new patients.

27 17. Facebook employs thousands of account managers or representatives to help
28 partners, including medical providers, use the Facebook Pixel and other tools.

3

1 18. Through its account managers and representatives, Facebook is aware that it is
 2 receiving patient data from hundreds of different medical providers in the United States without
 3 patient knowledge, consent, or valid HIPAA authorizations.

- 4 19. Facebook also utilizes "The Facebook Crawler" that scans pages of partner apps and
 5 websites and through which Facebook gathers information about the app or website, including its
 6 title and description.
- 7

20. Through the Facebook Crawler, Facebook is aware that it is receiving patient data.

8 21. Facebook has also been served subpoenas in other actions regarding disclosure of
9 patient information through the Facebook Pixel.

10 22. Facebook is also aware of every web property where the Facebook Pixel is deployed
11 and fully capable of conducting the same types of expert analysis that Plaintiffs conducted to
12 identify at least 664 hospitals or medical provider properties where the Facebook Pixel is present.

13 23. Facebook's actions described herein give rise to causes of action for: (1) breach of
14 contract; (2) breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing; (3) intrusion upon seclusion /
15 violation of Article I, section 1 of the California Constitution; (4) federal and state electronic
16 communications privacy and wiretap claims; (5) the California Invasion of Privacy Act, Cal. Penal
17 Code §§ 631 and 632; (6) Negligent Misrepresentation; and (7) Violation of California's Unfair
18 Competition Law.

19 **II**.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

20 24. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because it has sufficient
21 minimum contacts with this District in that it operates and markets their services throughout the
22 country and in this District. Additionally, Defendant is headquartered in this District.

23 25. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 because this
24 action arises under 18 U.S.C. §2510, et. seq., (the Electronic Communications Privacy Act). This
25 Court further has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d) (the Class Action
26 Fairness Act) because the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and
27 costs, and a member of the Class is a citizen of a State different from any Defendant.

28 ////

26. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claims
 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 because the state law claims form part of the same case or controversy
 under Article III of the United States Constitution.

4

4 27. Venue is proper in this district because a substantial part of the events or omissions
5 giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district and because Facebook's Terms of Use
6 governing its relationship with its users and developers adopt California law and choose California
7 as the venue for disputes.

8

III. PARTIES TO THE LITIGATION

9 28. Plaintiff John Doe is a Maryland resident, Facebook user, and a patient of MedStar
10 Health, Inc. ("MedStar") who used MedStar's myMedStar patient portal, currently located at
11 https://www.medstarhealth.org/mymedstar-patient-portal, to view medical records, lab results, and
12 otherwise communicate with his provider. Plaintiff's use of the myMedStar patient portal included
13 the time during which the Facebook Pixel was secretly deployed on the portal login page.

14 29. Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. (referred to herein by its previous name of
15 "Facebook") is a publicly traded Delaware corporation headquartered in Menlo Park, California,
16 and does business throughout the United States and the world, deriving substantial revenue from
17 interstate commerce.

18

IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

19

A. HEALTH PRIVACY LAWS IN THE UNITED STATES

30. Patient health care information in the United States is protected by federal law under
the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA") and its implementing
regulations promulgated by the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS").

31. The HIPAA Privacy Rule establishes "national standards to protect individuals'
medical records and other individually identifiable health information (collectively defined as
"protected health information") and applies to health plans, health care clearinghouses, and those
health care providers that conduct certain health care transactions electronically. The Rule requires
appropriate safeguards to protect the privacy of protected health information and sets limits and
conditions on the uses and disclosures that may be made of such information without an

Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 8 of 35

individual's authorization. The Rule also gives individuals rights over their protected health 1 2 information, including rights to examine and obtain a copy of their health records, to direct a 3 covered entity to transmit to a third party an electronic copy of their protected health information in an electronic health record, and to request corrections. The Privacy Rule is located at 45 CFR 4 164." 5 Part 160 and Subparts and E of Part https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-Α professionals/privacy/index.html 6

32. Under 45 C.F.R. § 164.502, a health care provider or business associate of a health
care provider "may not use or disclose 'protected health information' except as permitted or
required by" the HIPAA Privacy Rule.

33. Under 45 C.F.R. 160.103, the Privacy Rule defines "protected health information"
or PHI as "individually identifiable health information" that is "transmitted by electronic media;
maintained in electronic media; or transmitted or maintained in any other form or medium."

13 34. Under 45 C.F.R. § 160.103, the Privacy Rule defines "individually identifiable 14 health information" as "a subset of health information, including demographic information 15 collected from an individual" that is (1) "created or received by a health care provider;" (2) 16 "[r]elates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the 17 provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision 18 of health care to an individual;" and (3) either (a) identifies the individual; or (b) with respect to 19 which there is a reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual."

20 35. Under 45 C.F.R. § 164.514, the HIPAA de-identification rule states that "health 21 information is not individually identifiable only if' (1) an expert "determines that the risk is very 22 small that the information could be used, alone or in combination with other reasonably available 23 information, by an anticipated recipient to identify an individual who is a subject of the 24 information" and "documents the methods and results of the analysis that justify such 25 determination" or (2) "the following identifiers of the individual or of relatives, employers, or household members of the individual are removed: Names ... Medical record numbers; ... Account 26 27 numbers ... Device identifiers and serial numbers; ... Web Universal Resource Locators (URLs); 28 Internet Protocol (IP) address numbers; ... and any other unique identifying number, characteristic,

6

Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 9 of 35

or code." In addition, the covered entity must not "have actual knowledge that the information
 could be used alone or in combination with other information to identify an individual who is a
 subject of the information."

5	subject of the f	
4	36.	Under 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-6, any "person [individual or a corporation] who
5	knowingly and	in violation of this part—(1) uses or causes to be used a unique health identifiers;
6	[or] (2) obtains	s individually identifiable health information relating to an individual shall be
7	punished" by f	ine or, in certain circumstances, imprisonment, with increased penalties for "intent
8	to sell, transfer	r, or use individually identifiable health information for commercial advantage[.]"
9	The statute fur	ther provides that a "person shall be considered to have obtained or disclosed
10	individually ide	entifiable health information if the information is maintained by a covered entity
11	and the indi	vidual obtained or disclosed such information without authorization."
12	37.	Patient status alone is protected by HIPAA.
13	38.	Guidance from HHS instructs health care providers that patient status is protected
14	by HIPAA. In	Guidance Regarding Methods for De-identification of Protected Health Information
15	in Accordance	with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Privacy Rule, HHS
16	sets out:	
17		Identifying information alone, such as personal names, residential addresses, or phone numbers, would not necessarily be designated as PHI. For instance,
18		if such information was reported as part of a publicly accessible data source, such as a phone book, then this information would not be PHI because it is
19		not related to health data If such information would not be 1111 because it is condition, <i>health care provision</i> or payment data, such as an indication that
20		<i>the individual was treated at a certain clinic</i> , then this information would be PHI. ¹
21		In its guidance for Marketing, HHS further instructs:
22		The HIPAA Privacy Rule gives individuals important controls over whether
23		and how their protected health information is used and disclosed for marketing purposes. With limited exceptions, the Rule requires an
24		individual's written authorization before a use or disclosure of his or her protected health information can be made for marketing Simply put, a
25		covered entity may not sell protected health information to a business associate or any other third party for that party's own purposes. Moreover,
26		covered entities may not sell lists of patients to third parties without obtaining
27	¹ https://www.ł	hs.gov/sites/default/files/ocr/privacy/hipaa/understanding/coveredentities/De-
28	identification/h	hs_deid_guidance.pdf at 5 (emphasis added).
		7

1		authorization from each person on the list. ²
2	40.	HHS has previously instructed that HIPAA covers patient-status alone:
3		a. "The sale of a patient list to a marketing firm" is not permitted under HIPAA.
4		65 Fed. Reg. 82717 (Dec. 28, 2000);
5		b. "A covered entity must have the individual's prior written authorization to
6		use or disclose protected health information for marketing communications,"
7		which would include disclosure of mere patient status through a patient list.
8		67 Fed. Reg. 53186 (Aug. 14, 2002);
9		c. It would be a HIPAA violation "if a covered entity impermissibly disclosed
10		a list of patient names, addresses, and hospital identification numbers." 78
11		Fed. Reg. 5642 (Jan. 25, 2013); and
12		d. The only exception permitting a hospital to identify patient status without
13		express written authorization is to "maintain a directory of individuals in its
14		facility" that includes name, location, general condition, and religious
15		affiliation when used or disclosed to "members of the clergy" or "other
16		persons who ask for the individual by name." 45 C.F.R. § 164.510(1). Even
17		then, patients must be provided an opportunity to object to the disclosure of
18		the fact that they are a patient. 45 C.F.R. § 164.510(2).
19	41.	There is no HIPAA-exception for the Internet or online patient portals.
20	В.	FACEBOOK'S CONTRACTUAL PROMISES
21	42.	Every Facebook user is legally deemed to have agreed to the Terms, Data Policy,
22	and Cookie	Policy via a checkbox on the sign-up page; and the Terms, Data Policy, and Cookie
23	Policy are bi	inding upon Facebook and its users.
24	///	
25	///	
26		
27	² https://wwv	v.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ocr/privacy/hipaa/understanding/coveredentities/marketin
28		(emphasis added).
	 	8 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

43. The Facebook Data Policy expressly provides that Facebook "requires" businesses
 that use the Facebook Pixel "to have lawful rights to collect, use, and share your data before
 providing any data to [Facebook]."

4		
5		Information from partners.
6		Advertisers, <u>app</u> developers, and publishers can send us information through <u>Meta Business Tools</u> they use, including our social plug-ins (such
		as the Like button), Facebook Login, our <u>APIs and SDKs</u> , or the <u>Meta pixel</u> .
7		These partners provide information about your activities off of our
8		Products—including information about your device, websites you visit,
0		purchases you make, the ads you see, and how you use their services
9		—whether or not you have an account or are logged into our Products. For example, a game developer could use our API to tell us what games
10		you play, or a business could tell us about a purchase you made in its
11		store. We also receive information about your online and offline actions
11		and purchases from third-party data providers who have the rights to
12		provide us with your information.
13		Partners receive your data when you visit or use their services or through
14		third parties they work with. We require each of these partners to have
1.5		lawful rights to collect, use and share your data before providing any data
15		to us. <u>Learn more</u> about the types of partners we receive data from.
16		—
17		To learn more about how we use cookies in connection with Meta
1/		Business Tools, review the <u>Facebook Cookies Policy</u> and <u>Instagram</u> <u>Cookies Policy</u> .
18		
19		
20	44.	But Facebook does not "require" medical providers to have lawful rights to share
21	patient data	associated with their respective patient portals and appointment software before
22	sending it to	Facebook.
23	45.	Instead, Facebook merely includes a provision in its form contract which creates an
24	unenforced '	'honor system" for publishers, stating that, by using the Facebook Business Tools, the
25	publisher "re	epresent[s] and warrant[s] that [it has] provided robust and sufficient prominent notice
26	to users rega	rding the Business Tool Data collection, sharing, and usage."
27	///	
28	///	
20		
		9

	Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 12 of 35
1	3. Special Provisions Concerning the Use of Certain Business Tools
2	a. This section applies to your use of Business Tools to enable Facebook to store and access cookies or other information on an end user's device.
3	b. You (or partners acting on your behalf) may not place pixels associated with your Business Manager or ad account on websites that you do not own without our written permission.
4	c. You represent and warrant that you have provided robust and sufficiently prominent notice to users regarding the Business Tool Data collection, sharing and usage that includes, at a minimum:
5 6	i. For websites, a clear and prominent notice on each web page where our pixels are used that links to a clear explanation (a) that third parties, including Facebook, may use cookies, web beacons, and other storage technologies to collect or receive information from your websites and elsewhere on the Internet and use that information to provide measurement services and target ads, (b) how users can opt-out of the collection and use of information for ad targeting, and (c) where a user can access a mechanism for exercising such choice (e.g., providing links to: http://www.aboutads.info/choices and
7	http://www.youronlinechoices.eu/).
8	ii. For apps, a clear and prominent link that is easily accessible inside your app settings or any privacy policy and from within any store or website where your app is distributed that links to a clear explanation (a) that third parties, including Facebook, may collect or receive information from your app and other apps and use that information to provide measurement services and targeted ads, and (b) how and where users can opt-out of the collection and use of information for ad targeting.
9	d. In jurisdictions that require informed consent for storing and accessing cookies or other information on an end user's device (such as but not limited to the European Union), you must ensure, in a verifiable manner, that an end user provides all necessary consents
10	before you use Facebook Business Tools to enable the storage of and access to Facebook cookies or other information on the end user's device. (For suggestions on implementing consent mechanisms, visit Facebook's Cookie Consent Guide for Sites and Apps.)
11	
12	46. In reality, Facebook does not actually verify publishers have obtained adequate
13	consent per the contract. ³
14	47. Instead, the Facebook Pixel is blindly made available to any willing publisher
15	regardless of their privacy policies, consent processes, or the nature of their business.
16	48. Facebook's contract with medical providers for use of the Facebook Pixel does not
17	mention HIPAA at all.
18	49. Facebook does not take any action to discourage medical providers from using the
19	Facebook Pixel.
20	50. Facebook actively encourages medical providers to use the Facebook Pixel for their
21	marketing campaigns.
22	C. HOW THE PIXEL WORKS
23	51. Facebook operates the world's largest social media company.
24	52. Facebook maintains profiles on users that include users' real names, locations, email
25	addresses, friends, likes, and communications that Facebook associates with personal identifiers
26	including IP addresses, cookies, and device identifiers.
27	
28	³ In contrast, Facebook requires publishers in the European Union to provide "all necessary consents" in a "verifiable manner."
	10 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

53. Facebook also tracks non-users across the web through its widespread Internet
 marketing products and source code.

54. Facebook's revenue is derived almost entirely from selling targeted advertising to
Facebook users on Facebook.com and to all Internet users on non-Facebook sites that integrate
Facebook marketing source code on their websites.

55. Facebook Business is the division that provides advertising services to developers.
Facebook Business and the advertising tools it provides to developers are focused on trade and
commerce.

9 56. The Facebook Pixel, a product for Facebook Business, is a "piece of code" that lets
10 developers "measure, optimize and build audiences for ... ad campaigns."⁴

57. The Facebook Pixel is an invisible 1x1 web bug that Facebook makes available to
web-developers to help track ad-driven activity from Facebook and others on their website.

- 58. Key features of the Facebook Pixel include its ability to help developers:
- 14a."Measure cross-device conversions" and "understand how your cross-device15ads help influence conversion";
- b. "Optimize delivery to people likely to take action" and "ensure your ads are
 shown to the people most likely to take action"; and
- c. "Create custom audience from website visitors" and create "dynamic ads [to]
 help you automatically show website visitors the products they viewed on
 your website or related ones."

59. Facebook describes the Facebook Pixel as "a snippet of Javascript code" that "relies
on Facebook cookies, which enable [Facebook] to match ... website visitors to their respective
Facebook User accounts."

24 || / / /

13

- 25 ////
- 26 ////
- 27
- $28 \|_{4,1}$

 $^{4}\ https://www.facebook.com/business/learn/facebook-ads-pixel$

	60. Facebook further explains "How the Facebook Pixel Works" ⁵
	How the Facebook pixel works
	When someone visits your website and takes an action (for example, buying something), the Facebook pixel is triggered and reports this action. This way, you'll know when a customer took an action after seeing your Facebook ad. You'll also be able to reach this customer again by using a custom audience. When more and more conversions happen on your website, Facebook gets better at delivering your ads to people who are more likely to take certain actions. This is called conversion optimization.
	61. Facebook provides simple instructions for developers to set up the Facebook Pi
	Setting up the Facebook pixel
	If you have access to your website's code, you can add the Facebook pixel yourself. Simply place the Facebook pixel base code (what you see when you create your pixel) on all pages of your website. Then
	add standard events to the pixel code on the special pages of your website, such as your add-to-cart page or your purchase page. For full step-by-step instructions on adding the Facebook pixel to your site, visit the Help Center.
	Many people need the help of a developer to complete this step. If that's the case, simply email your
	Facebook pixel code to them, and they can easily add it to your site.
	Create your Facebook pixel to send to your developer, or install it yourself.
	Go to Ads Manager
	62. Facebook creates the Facebook code for each developer who installs it.
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
	s://www.facebook.com/business/learn/facebook-ads-pixel

Facebook recommends that the Pixel code be placed early in the source code for any
 given webpage or website to ensure that the user will be tracked:

3

4

5

6

7

8

Installing The Pixel

To install the pixel, we highly recommend that you add its base code between the opening and closing <head> tags on every page where you will be tracking website visitor actions. Most developers add it to their website's persistent header, so it can be used on all pages.

Placing the code within your <head> tags reduces the chances of browsers or third-party code blocking the pixel's execution. It also executes the code sooner, increasing the chance that your visitors are tracked before they leave your page.

9

64. By executing the code sooner, Facebook has designed the Pixel such that Facebook
receives the information about patient actions on the medical provider's properties
contemporaneous with their making.

65. As soon as a patient take any action on a webpage which includes the Facebook
Pixel—such as clicking a button to register, login, or logout of a patient portal or to create an
appointment—Facebook's source code commands the patient's computing device to re-direct the
content of the patient's communication to Facebook while the exchange of the communication
between the patient and the medical provider is still occurring.

18 66. By design, Facebook receives the content of a patient's patient portal sign-in
19 communication immediately *after* the patient clicks the log-in button and *before* the medical
20 provider receives it.

21 67. In *all* cases, the content of the patient's portal and appointment communications are
22 re-directed to Facebook while the communications are still occurring.

23 68. The cookies that Facebook identifies patients with include, but are not necessarily
24 limited to, cookies named: c_user, datr, fr, and _fbp.

69. The c_user cookie is a means of identification for Facebook users. The c_user cookie
value is the Facebook equivalent of a user identification number. Each Facebook user account has
one – and only one – unique c_user cookie. Facebook uses the c_user cookie to record user activities
and communications.

70. A skilled computer user can obtain the c_user cookie value for any Facebook user
 by (1) going to the user's Facebook page, (2) right-clicking on their mouse, (3) selecting 'View page
 source,' (4) executing a control-F function for "fb://profile," and (5) copying the number value that
 appears after "fb://profile" in the page source code of the target Facebook user's page.

71. It is even easier to find the Facebook account associated with a c_user cookie: one
simply needs to log-in to Facebook, and then type www.facebook.com/#, with # representing the
c_user cookie identifier. For example, the c_user cookie value for Mark Zuckerberg is 4. Logging
in to Facebook and typing www.facebook.com/4 in the web browser retrieves Mark Zuckerberg's
Facebook page: www.facebook.com/zuck.

The Facebook datr cookie identifies the patient's specific web browser from which
the patient is sending the communication. It is an identifier that is unique to the patient's specific
web browser and is therefore a means of identification for Facebook users.

13 73. Facebook keeps a record of every datr cookie identifier associated with each of its
14 users, and a Facebook user can obtain a redacted list of all datr cookies associated with his or her
15 Facebook account from Facebook.

16 74. Any Facebook user can view the specific datr cookie identifiers that Facebook has
17 associated with their account by using the Facebook Download Your Information tool.

The Facebook fr cookie is an encrypted combination of the c_user and datr cookies.⁶
The Facebook _fbp cookie is a Facebook identifier that is set by Facebook source
code and associated with Defendant's use of the Facebook Pixel. The _fbp cookie is a Facebook
cookie that masquerades as a first-party cookie to evade third party cookie blockers and share data
more directly between a medical provider and Facebook.

23 77. The medical provider or its developer then simply copy-paste the Facebook Pixel
24 code that Facebook creates and providers into the medical provider's web-property.

25 ////

26

27 ⁶ *See* Facebook Tracking Through Social Plug-ins: Technical Report prepared for the Belgian Privacy Commission, Mar. 27, 2015, available at

28 || https://securehomes.esat.kuleuven.be/~gacar/fb_tracking/fb_pluginsv1.0.pdf.

es.esat.kuleuven.be/~gacar/lb_	tracking/16_pluginsv1.0.pd
	14
CLASS ACTIO	ON COMPLAINT

78. Facebook expressly admits that the Pixel "log[s] when someone takes an action" such
 as "adding an item to their shopping cart or making a purchase."

3	
4	
5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Once you've set up the Meta Pixel, the Pixel will log when someone takes an action on your website.
11	Examples of actions include adding an item to their shopping cart or making a purchase. The Meta Pixel receives these actions, or events, which you can view on your Meta Pixel page in Events
12	Manager. From there, you'll be able to see the actions that your customers take. You'll also have
13	options to reach those customers again through future Facebook ads.
14	79. For medical providers, the actions that the Facebook Pixel logs include:
15	a. When a patient clicks to register for the patient portal;
16	b. When a patient clicks to log-in to the patient portal;
17	c. When a patient clicks to logout of the patient portal;
18	d. When a patient sets up an appointment;
19	e. When a patient clicks a button to call the provider; and
20	f. The specific communications a patient exchanges at the provider's property,
21	including those relating to specific providers, conditions, and treatments and
22	the timing of such actions, including whether they are made while a patient
23	is still logged-in to a patient portal or around the same time that the patient
24	has scheduled an appointment, called the medical provider, or logged in or
25	out of the patient portal.
26	///
27	///
28	///
	15
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 2

8

D. FACEBOOK PUBLICLY ACKNOWLEDGES THAT HEALTH-BASED ADVERTISING IS INAPPROPRIATE

3 80. Facebook has publicly acknowledged that targeted advertising based on health
4 information is not appropriate.

5 81. On November 9, 2021, Facebook announced that it was removing the ability to target
6 users on "topics people may perceive as sensitive, such as options referencing causes, organizations,
7 or public figures that relate to health[.]"⁷

82. Facebook's announcement was a public relations success:

9a.Reuters published a story headlined "Facebook plans to remove thousands of10sensitive ad-targeting options" and lead the story with a sentence about11Facebook's "plans to remove detailed ad-targeting options that refer to12'sensitive' topics, such as ads based on interactions with content around ...13health[.]"⁸

- b. The New York Times published a similar story with a similar headline, "Meta
 plans to remove thousands of sensitive ad-targeting categories: Ad buyers
 will no long be able to use topics such as health ... to target people[.]"⁹
 - c. Many more, similar, articles were published, giving Facebook's users the misimpression that Facebook would not allow targeting based on health

19 83. But Facebook did not change the most insidious types of targeting based on health:
20 those marketing campaigns from medical providers that disclose patient identities and their
21 individually identifiable health information to Facebook for the purpose of targeted marketing based
22 on their communications with their medical providers.

- 23 ////
- 24

17

18

25 ⁷ https://www.facebook.com/business/news/removing-certain-ad-targeting-options-and-expandingour-ad-controls

⁸ https://www.reuters.com/technology/facebook-removes-target-options-advertisers-some-topics 2021-11-09/

28 ⁹ https://www.nytimes.com/2021/11/09/technology/meta-facebook-ad-targeting.html

16

1	84.	Facebook	clarified	that	the	change	was	limited	to	"people's	interactions	with
2	content" on th	e Facebook	"platforn	n."								

85. Facebook then informed advertisers that they could still use "website custom
audiences and lookalike" to "help reach people who have already engaged with a business or group's
website or products." In the case of medical providers, the "people who have already engaged" are
patients.

7	
8	

9

E. FACEBOOK CHANGED ITS CONTRACTUAL PRIVACY PROMISES IN 2018

86. Prior to April 2018, Facebook's contract did not "require" partners to have the lawful rights to share user data before doing so.

10 11

87. Upon information and belief, Facebook changed its contract with users on or about April 19, 2018, which added a clause stating: "We require each of these partners to have lawful rights to collect, use and share your data before providing any data to us."

13 14

12

88. The following is a side-by-side comparison of the pre- and post-April 2018 contract provisions:

15					
	Before April 19, 2018	After April 19, 2018			
 16 17 18 19 20 	Information from websites and apps that use our Services. We collect information when you visit or use third-party websites and apps that use our Services (like when they offer our Like button or Facebook Log In or use our measurement and advertising services). This includes information about the websites and apps, as well as information the developer or publisher of the app or website provides to you or us. Information from third-party partners. We receive information about you and your activities on and off Facebook from third-party partners, such as information from a partner when we jointly offer services or from an advertiser about your	Information from partners. Advertisers, <u>app</u> developers, and publishers can send us information through <u>Meta Business Tools</u> they use, including our social plug-ins (such as the Like button), Facebook Login, our <u>APIs and SDKs</u> , or the <u>Meta pixel</u> . These partners provide information about your activities off of our Products—including information about your device, websites you visit, purchases you make, the ads you see, and how you use their services —whether or not you have an account or are logged into our Products. For example, a game developer could use our API to tell us what games you play, or a business could tell us about a purchase you made in its store. We also receive information about your online and offline actions and purchases from third-party data providers who have the rights to			
 21 22 23 24 25 	experiences or interactions with them.	Partners receive your data when you visit or use their services or through third parties they work with. We require each of these partners to have [awful rights to collect, use and share your data before providing any data to us] Learn more about the types of partners we receive data from. To learn more about how we use cookies in connection with Meta Business Tools, review the Facebook Cookies Policy and Instagram Cookies Policy.			
25 26					
27	111				
28	///	17			
	CLASS ACTI	ON COMPLAINT			

1

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

2 Plaintiffs file this as a class action on behalf of themselves and the following class: 89. 3 All Facebook users who are current or former patients of medical providers in the United States with web properties through which Facebook acquired patient 4 communications relating to medical provider patient portals, appointments, phone calls, and communications associated with patient portal users, for which neither 5 the medical provider nor Facebook obtained a HIPAA, or any other valid, consent. 6 90. Excluded from the Class are the Court and its personnel and the Defendant and its 7 officers, directors, employees, affiliates, legal representatives, predecessors, successors and 8 assigns, and any entity in which any of them have a controlling interest. 9 91. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder is impracticable. 92. 10 Common questions of law and fact are apt to drive resolution of the case, exist as to 11 all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting solely individual members 12 of the Class including, but not limited to, the following: 13 a. Whether the Facebook Pixel is designed to send individually identifiable 14 information to Facebook; Whether the Facebook Terms and Privacy Notice are valid contracts; 15 b. 16 Whether Facebook failed to require medical providers to have lawful rights c. 17 to share patient data with Facebook before deploying the Facebook Pixel; 18 d. Whether Facebook acquired the content of patient communications; 19 Whether the patient class provided Facebook with authorization to acquire e. 20 their communications with their medical providers, including through the 21 patient portal, appointment forms, and phone calls; f. 22 Whether the Facebook Pixel's presence and use on medical provider 23 websites where it discloses actions that patients take relating to patient 24 portals, appointments, and phone calls to their medical providers is highly 25 offensive; Whether Facebook's acquisition of the content of communications between 26 g. 27 patients and their medical providers occurred contemporaneous to their 28 making; 18 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

h. Whether Facebook breached its contract with users;

2 3

4

1

i.

Whether the information at issue has economic value; and

j. Whether Facebook unjustly profited from its collection of patient portal, appointment, and phone call information.

5
93. The named Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of other Class members, as
6
all members of the Class were similarly affected by Facebook's wrongful conduct in violation of
7
federal and California law, as complained of herein.

8 94. The named Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members
9 of the Class and has retained counsel that is competent and experienced in class action litigation.
10 The named Plaintiff has no interests that conflict with, or are otherwise antagonistic to, the interests
11 of, other Class members.

12 95. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient 13 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Further, as the 14 damages that individual Class members have suffered may be relatively small, the expense and 15 burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress 16 the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in management of this action as a class action.

17 VI. TOLLING

96. Any applicable statute of limitations has been tolled by Defendant's knowing and
active concealment of the misrepresentations and omissions alleged herein. Through no fault or
lack of diligence, Plaintiff and members of the Class were deceived and could not reasonably
discover Defendant's deception and unlawful conduct.

97. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not discover and did not know of any facts
that would have caused a reasonable person to suspect that Defendant was acting unlawfully and
in the manner alleged herein. As alleged herein, the representations made by Facebook were
material to Plaintiff and members of the Class at all relevant times. Within the time period of any
applicable statutes of limitations, Plaintiff and members of the Class could not have discovered
through the exercise of reasonable diligence the alleged wrongful conduct.

28 ////

1 98. At all times, Defendant is and was under a continuous duty to disclose to Plaintiff 2 and members of the Class the true nature of the disclosures being made and the lack of an actual 3 "requirement" before the data was shared with it. 4 99. Defendant knowingly, actively, affirmatively and/or negligently concealed the facts 5 alleged herein. Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably relied on Defendant's concealment. 6 100. For these reasons, all applicable statutes of limitation have been tolled based on the 7 discovery rule and Defendant's concealment, and Defendant is estopped from relying on any 8 statutes of limitations in defense of this action. 9 VII. **CAUSES OF ACTION** 10 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 11 **BREACH OF CONTRACT** 12 101. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all prior paragraphs as if fully stated herein. 13 102. Facebook requires users to click a box indicating that, "By clicking Sign Up, you 14 agree to our Terms, Data Policy and Cookies Policy." "Click-wrap agreements" such as those at issue herein are valid and binding 15 103. 16 contracts. 17 104. The Facebook Terms are binding on Facebook and its users. 18 105. The Facebook Data Policy is binding on Facebook and its users. 19 106. The Facebook Cookies Policy is binding on Facebook and its users. 20 107. The Facebook Data Policy promises users that Facebook "requires each of 21 [Facebook's] partners to have lawful rights to collect, use and share your data before providing any 22 data to [Facebook]." 23 108. Facebook breached this contractual promise, as described in detail above, by not requiring its partners that are medical providers to obtain patient consent before sharing patient 24 25 status and other data relating to online patient portal registration, logins, and logouts as well as appointment information with Facebook through the Facebook Pixel and through other means. 26 27 109. In addition to the express contract provision set forth above, an implied contract 28 existed between Facebook and its users that Facebook would not conspire with others to violate 20 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 23 of 35

1 Plaintiffs' legal rights to privacy in their individually identifiable health information.

2 110. Plaintiffs are Facebook account holders who used patient portals and/or
3 appointment-related functionality of their medical providers' respective web-properties through
4 which Facebook obtained their individually identifiable health information.

5

6

111. Plaintiff Doe used the MyMedStar patient portal by signing in and out of the portal to access medical records, lab results, and otherwise to communicate with his provider.

7 112. The patient health information that Facebook obtained in breach of the contract8 included:

9		a.	Patient identifiers including, but not limited to, email addresses, IP
10			addresses, persistent cookie identifiers, device identifiers, and browser
11			fingerprint information;
12		b.	the data and time of patient registrations for their medical providers' patient
13			portals;
14		c.	log-in and logout times for their medical providers' patient portals;
15		d.	the contents of communications that patients exchange inside their medical
16			providers' patient portals immediately before logging out of those portals;
17		e.	the contents of communications relating to appointments that patients made
18			with their medical providers; and
19		f.	the user's status as a patient of their medical provider.
20	113.	8. Facebook's breach caused Plaintiff and Class members the following damages:	
21		a.	Nominal damages for breach of contract;
22	b. General damages for invasion of their privacy rights in an amount to be		
23			determined by a jury without reference to specific pecuniary harm;
24		c.	Sensitive and confidential information including patient status and
25			appointments that Plaintiff and Class members intended to remain private
26			are no longer private;
27		d.	Facebook eroded the essential confidential nature of the patient-provider
28			relationship;
			21
			CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

	Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 24 of 35				
1	e. Facebook took something of value from Plaintiff and Class members and				
2	e. Facebook took something of value from Plaintiff and Class members and derived benefits therefrom without Plaintiff's and Class members'				
3	knowledge or informed consent and without sharing the benefit of such				
4	value;				
5	f. Benefit of the bargain damages in that Facebook's contract stated that				
6	payment for the service would consist of a more limited set of collection of				
7	personal information than that which Facebook actually charged.				
8	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION				
9					
10	114. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all other paragraphs as if fully stated herein.				
11	115. A valid contract exists between Plaintiffs and Facebook.				
12	116. The contract specifies that California law governs the parties' relationship.				
13	117. Facebook prevented Plaintiff and Class members from receiving the full benefit of				
14	the contract by intercepting the content of protected individually identifiable health information				
15	exchanged with medical providers.				
16	118. By doing so, Facebook abused its power to define terms of the contract, specifically				
17	the meaning of the term "require" in Facebook's promise that it would "require" partners to have				
18	lawful rights to share users' data with Facebook before doing so and then taking no action (and				
19	actually encouraging) medical providers to share protected health information without valid patient				
20	authorization.				
21	119. By doing so, Facebook did not act fairly and in good faith.				
22	120. Facebook's breach caused Plaintiff and Class members the following damages:				
23	a. Nominal damages for breach of contract;				
24	b. General damages for invasion of their privacy rights in an amount to be				
25	determined by a jury without reference to specific pecuniary harm;				
26	c. Sensitive and confidential information including patient status and				
27	appointments that Plaintiff and Class members intended to remain private				
28	are no longer private;				
	22 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT				

	Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 25 of 35		
1	d. Facebook eroded the essential confidential nature of the patient-provider		
2	relationship;		
3	e. Facebook took something of value from Plaintiff and Class members and		
4	derived benefits therefrom without Plaintiff's and Class members'		
5	knowledge or informed consent and without sharing the benefit of such		
6	value; and		
7	f. Benefit of the bargain damages in that Facebook's contract stated that		
8	payment for the service would consist of a more limited set of collection of		
9	personal information than that which Facebook actually charged.		
10	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
11	INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION—CONSTITUTIONAL INVASION OF PRIVACY		
12	121. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all other paragraphs as if fully stated herein.		
13	122. Article I, section 1 of the California Constitution provides:		
14 15	All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and		
16	privacy.		
17	Cal. Const. art. I, § 1 (emphasis added).		
18	123. Plaintiffs had no knowledge and did not consent or authorize Facebook to obtain the		
19	content of their communications with their medical providers as described herein.		
20	124. Plaintiffs enjoyed objectively reasonable expectations of privacy surrounding		
21	communications with their medical providers relating to the respective patient portals and		
22	appointments based on:		
23	a. The medical providers status as their health care providers and the		
24	reasonable expectations of privacy that attach to such relationships;		
25	b. HIPAA;		
26	c. the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; and		
27	d. Facebook's promise that it would "require" partners to have lawful		
28	permission to share their data before Facebook would collect it.		
	23 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

	Case 5:2	2-cv-(03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 26 of 35		
1	125.	Plair	tiffs' claims are based on the following private facts:		
2		a.	that Plaintiffs are patients of the various medical providers;		
3		b.	The specific dates and times Plaintiffs clicked to log-in or log-out of the		
4			various medical providers' patient portals;		
5		c.	The specific and detailed communications exchanged while logged-in to a		
6			patient portal; and		
7		d.	The specific dates and times where Plaintiffs requested appointments and		
8			from which doctor's or practice group pages such appointments were		
9			requested.		
10	126. Facebook's conduct was intentional and intruded on Plaintiff's and Class members'				
11	medical communications which constitute private conversations, matters, and data.				
12	127.	Face	book's conduct in acquiring patient portal and appointment communications		
13	would be high	hly off	ensive to a reasonable person because:		
14		a. Facebook conspired with Plaintiffs' medical providers to violate a cardinal			
15	rule of the provider-patient relationship;				
16	b. Facebook's conduct violated federal law designed to protect patient privacy;				
17		c.	Facebook's conduct violated the ECPA; and		
18		d.	Facebook's conduct violated the express promises it made to users.		
19	128.	Face	book's breach caused Plaintiff and Class members the following damages:		
20		a.	Nominal damages for breach of contract;		
21		b.	General damages for invasion of their privacy rights in an amount to be		
22			determined by a jury without reference to specific pecuniary harm;		
23		c.	Sensitive and confidential information including patient status and		
24			appointments that Plaintiff and Class members intended to remain private		
25			are no longer private;		
26		d.	Facebook eroded the essential confidential nature of the patient-provider		
27			relationship;		
28	///				
	 		24 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

	Case 5:2	2-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 27 of 35		
1		e. Facebook took something of value from Plaintiff and Class members and		
2		derived benefits therefrom without Plaintiff's and Class members'		
3		knowledge or informed consent and without sharing the benefit of such		
4		value; and		
5		f. Benefit of the bargain damages in that Facebook's contract stated that		
6		payment for the service would consist of a more limited set of collection of		
7		personal information than that which Facebook actually charged.		
8		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
9	VIOL	ATION OF THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT		
10	129.	Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all other paragraphs as if fully stated herein.		
11	130.	The Electronic Communications Privacy Act ("ECPA") prohibits the intentional		
12	interception of	of the contents of any electronic communication. 18 U.S.C. § 2511.		
13	131.	The ECPA protects both the sending and receipt of communications.		
14	132. 18 U.S.C. § 2520(a) provides a private right of action to any person whose electronic			
15	communication	ons are intercepted.		
16	133. Facebook intentionally intercepted the electronic communications that Plaintiffs			
17	exchanged with their respective medical providers on the providers properties where the Facebook			
18	Pixel was pre	sent.		
19	134.	The transmissions of data between Plaintiffs and their medical providers qualify as		
20	communication	ons under the ECPA's definition in 18 U.S.C. § 2510(12).		
21	135.	Facebook acquired patient communications with their medical providers as alleged		
22	herein contemporaneous with their making.			
23	136.	The intercepted communications include:		
24		a. the content of patient registrations for various patient portals, including		
25		clicks on buttons to "Register" or "Signup" for said portals;		
26		b. the content patient log-in and logout of the various patient portals, including		
27		clicks to "Sign-in," "Log-in," "Sign-out," or "Log-out."		
28	///			
	25			
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

	Case 5:2	2-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 28 of 35		
1		c. the contents of communications that patients exchange inside various patient portals immediately before logging out of those portals; and		
3		d. the contents of communications relating to appointments with medical		
4		providers.		
5	137.	The following constitute "devices" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 2510(5):		
6		a. The cookies Facebook used to track patients' communications;		
7		b. The patients' browsers;		
8		c. The patients' computing devices;		
9		d. Facebook's web-servers;		
10		e. The web-servers of the properties of the medical providers where the		
11		Facebook Pixel was present; and		
12		f. The Facebook Pixel source code deployed by Facebook to effectuate its		
13		acquisition of patient communications.		
14	138.	Facebook is not a party to patient communications with their medical providers.		
15	139.	Facebook received the content of patient communications through the surreptitious		
16	redirection of them from the patients' computing devices to Facebook.			
17	140. Patients did not consent to Facebook's acquisition of their patient portal,			
18	appointment, and phone call communications with their medical providers.			
19	141.	Facebook did not obtain legal authorization to obtain patient communications with		
20	their medical providers relating to patient portals, appointments, and phone calls.			
21	142. Facebook did not require any medical provider to obtain the lawful rights to share			
22	the content of patient communications relating to patient portals, appointments, and phone calls.			
23	143. Any purported consent that Facebook received from medical providers to obtain			
24	patient comm	unications content was not valid.		
25	144.	In acquiring the content of patient communications relating to patient portals,		
26	appointments	, and phone calls, Facebook had a purpose that was tortious, criminal, and designed		
27	to violate state constitution provisions including:			
28	///			
		26		

	Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 29 of 35			
1 2	a. A knowing intrusion into a private, place, conversation, or matter that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person;			
3	b. A violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-6, which is a criminal offensive punishable			
4	by fine or imprisonment;			
5	c. Violation of state unfair business practice statutes;			
6	d. Violation of HIPAA; and			
7	e. Violation of Article I, section 1 of the California Constitution.			
8	145. Facebook knew that such conduct would be highly offensive, as evidence by its			
9	announcement in DATE, that it would no longer allow advertising targeted based on health, yet			
10	continued to use the Facebook Pixel on medical provider properties for that purpose.			
11	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
12	THE CALIFORNIA INVASION OF PRIVACY ACT			
13	(Cal. Penal Code §§ 631 and 632 <u>)</u>			
14	146. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all other paragraphs as if fully stated herein.			
15	147. The California Invasion of Privacy Act (CIPA) is codified at Cal. Penal Code §§			
16	630-638. The Act begins with its statement of purpose: "The legislature hereby declares that			
17	advances in science and technology have led to the development of new devices and techniques for			
18	the purpose of eavesdropping upon private communications and that the invasion of privacy			
19	resulting from the continual and increasing use of such devices and techniques has created a serious			
20	threat to the free exercise of personal liberties and cannot be tolerated in a free and civilized			
21	society." Cal. Penal Code § 630.			
22	148. Cal. Penal Code § 631(a) provides, in pertinent part: "Any person who, by means of			
23	any machine, instrument, or contrivance, or in any other manner willfully and without the			
24	consent of all parties to the communication, or in any unauthorized manner, reads, or attempts to			
25	read, or to learn the contents or meaning of any message, report, or communication while the same			
26	is in transit or passing over any wire, line, or cable, or is being sent from, or received at any place			
27	within this state; or who uses, or attempts to use, in any manner, or for any purpose, or to			
28	communicate in any way, any information so obtained, or who aids, agrees with, employs, or			
	27 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT			

Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 30 of 35

conspires with any person or persons to lawfully do, or permit, or cause to be done any of the acts
 or things mentioned above in this section, is punishable by a fine not exceeding two thousand five
 hundred dollars."

4 149. Cal. Penal Code § 632 provides, in pertinent part, that it is unlawful for any person
5 to "intentionally and without the consent of all parties to a confidential communication," to "use[]
6 [a] recording device to ... record the confidential communication." As used in the statute, a
7 "confidential communication" is "any communication carried on in circumstances as may
8 reasonably indicate that any part to the communication desired it to be confined to the parties
9 thereto[.]"

10

150. Facebook is a "person" within the meaning of CIPA §§ 631 and 632.

11 151. Facebook did not have the consent of all parties to learn the contents of or record12 the confidential communications at issue.

13 152. Facebook is headquartered in California, designed and contrived and effectuated its
14 scheme to track patient communication at issue here from California, and has adopted California
15 substantive law to govern its relationship with users.

16 153. At all relevant times, Facebook's conduct alleged herein was without the17 authorization and consent of the Plaintiff and Class members.

18 154. Facebook's actions were designed to learn or attempt to learn the meaning of the19 patient portal and appointment communications patients exchanged with their medical providers.

20 155. Facebook's learning of or attempt to learn the contents of patient communications
21 occurred while they were in transit or in the process of being sent or received.

22 23

24

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

156. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all other paragraphs as if fully stated herein.

157. Facebook represented to Plaintiff and the members of the Class that a fact was true,
namely, that before receiving the confidential information at issue, Facebook "requires" business
"to have lawful rights to collect, use, and share [Plaintiffs' and Class members'] data before
providing any data" to Facebook.

1	158. Facebook's representation was not true.				
2	159. Although Facebook may have honestly believed that the representation was true	,			
3	Facebook had no reasonable grounds for believing the representation was true when it was made.				
4	160. Facebook intended that Plaintiff and the members of the Class rely on the	Э			
5	representation.				
6	161. Plaintiff and the members of the Class reasonably relied on Facebook's	S			
7	representation.				
8	162. Plaintiff and the Class were harmed as set forth above.				
9	163. Plaintiff and Class members' reliance on Facebook's representation was a	ı			
10	substantial factor in causing the harm.				
11	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
12	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW				
13	(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)				
14	164. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all other paragraphs as if fully stated herein.				
15	165. California Business and Professions Code section 17200 ("UCL") prohibits any	Į			
16	"unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading				
17	advertising"				
18	166. Facebook has engaged in unlawful, fraudulent, and unfair business acts and	1			
19	practices in violation of the UCL.				
20	167. Defendant has engaged in unlawful acts or practices under section 17200 by its	5			
21	violations of the California Constitution's right to privacy, ECPA and California Penal Code				
22	sections 631 and 632, through the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint.				
23	168. Defendant has engaged in fraudulent business acts or practices under section 17200)			
24	because its misrepresentations and omissions regarding its requirement that businesses have lawful				
25	rights to collect, use, and share Plaintiff's and Class members' data before providing any data to				
26	Defendant, and Defendant's receipt of the confidential information at issue, were intended to, were				
27	likely to, and did deceive reasonable consumers such as Plaintiff and the Class. The information				
28	Defendant misrepresented and concealed would be, and is, material to reasonable consumers	5			
	29 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	_			

because Defendant does not require businesses to have lawful rights to collect, use, and share 1 2 Plaintiff's and Class members' data before providing any data to Defendant and Defendant receives 3 the confidential information at issue nonetheless.

169. Defendant has engaged in unfair acts and practices under section 17200 based on 4 5 the acts and practices alleged herein, namely, that Defendant claims that it requires businesses to 6 "have lawful rights to collect, use, and share [Plaintiff's and Class members'] data before providing any data" to Defendant, but in reality knows (or should have known) that its Pixel tracking tool is 7 8 being improperly used on hospital websites resulting in the wrongful, contemporaneous, re-9 direction to Facebook of patient communications without the knowledge or authorization of Plaintiffs. 10

11

170. Defendant's actions offend public policy.

12 171. Defendant's conduct, misrepresentations and omissions have also impaired 13 competition within the health care market in that those actions have prevented Plaintiff and the 14 Class from making fully informed decisions about whether to communicate online with their healthcare providers and to use their healthcare providers' website in the first instance. 15

16 172. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered an injury in fact, including the loss of money 17 and/or property, as a result of Defendant's unfair, unlawful and/or deceptive practices, to wit, the 18 disclosure of their personally identifiable data which has value as is demonstrated by the use and 19 sale of it by Defendant. While only an identifiable "trifle" of injury is needed to be shown, as set 20 forth above Plaintiffs, patients, and the public at large value their private health information at more 21 than a trifle. And, sale of this confidential and valuable information to has now diminished the 22 value of such information to Plaintiff and the Class.

23 173. Defendant's actions caused damage to and loss of Plaintiff's and other patients' property right to control the dissemination and use of their personally identifiable patient data and 24 25 communications.

26 Defendant's actions caused damage to and loss of Plaintiff's and other patients' 174. 27 property rights to control the dissemination and use of the personally identifiable communications.

28

175. Defendant's representation that it requires businesses to "have lawful rights to

30

collect, use, and share [Plaintiff's and Class members'] data before providing any data" to
 Defendant was untrue. Again, had Plaintiff and Class members known these facts, they would not
 have used their health care provider's website.

4 176. The wrongful conduct alleged herein occurred, and continues to occur, in the
5 conduct of Defendant's business. Defendant's wrongful conduct is part of a pattern or generalized
6 course of conduct that is still perpetuated and repeated, in the State of California.

7 177. Plaintiff and the Class request that this Court enjoin Defendant from continuing its
8 unfair, unlawful, and/or deceptive practices and to restore to Plaintiff and the Class, in the form of
9 restitution, any money Defendant acquired through its unfair competition.

10

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

11

21

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

Certify the proposed Class, designating Plaintiff John Doe as the named
 representative of the Class, and designating the undersigned as Class Counsel;

Award compensatory damages, including statutory damages where available, to
Plaintiff and the Class against Defendant for all damages sustained as a result of Defendant's
wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon;

Award punitive damages on the causes of action that allow for them and in an amount
that will deter Defendant and others from like conduct;

4. Award attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law including, but not limited to,
California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5;

5. Award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and,

22 6. For such other, further, and different relief as the Court deems proper under the
23 circumstances.

24	DATED: June 17, 2022 KIESEL LAW LLP
25	
26	By: /s/ Jeffrey A. Koncius
27	Paul R. Kiesel
	Jeffrey A. Koncius
28	Nicole Ramirez
	31
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

	Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC	Document 1	Filed 06/17/22 Page 34 of 35
1			SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC
2			Jason 'Jay' Barnes (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) An Truong (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
3			Eric Johnson (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
4			GORNY DANDURAND, LC Stephen M. Gorny (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
5 6			THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C. Amy Gunn (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
7			
8			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		CLASS ACT	32 ION COMPLAINT

1 IX. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

2	Plaintiff, on behalf of himse	elf and the C	Class, demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in
3	this action so triable of right.		
4	DATED: June 17, 2022	KIE	SEL LAW LLP
5			
6		Deer	
7		By:	/s/ Jeffrey A. Koncius Paul R. Kiesel
8			Jeffrey A. Koncius Nicole Ramirez
9			SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC
10			Jason 'Jay' Barnes (to be admitted pro hac vice)
11			An Truong (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Eric Johnson (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
12			GORNY DANDURAND, LC
13			Stephen M. Gorny (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
14			THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C.
15			Amy Gunn (to be admitted pro hac vice)
16			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			33
		CLASS ACT	TON COMPLAINT

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 10/2020) Case 5:22-cv-03580-NC Document 1-1 Filed 06/17/22 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS		DEFEN	DANTS						
JOHN DOE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated				META PLATFORMS, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Baltimore County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)							
	y A. Koncius, Kiesel Law LLP, 8648 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, CA 90. 854-4444	211								
II.	BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHI r Diversity Case		INCI	PAL PA	ARTIES (Place an "X" in One B and One Box for Defen		aintiff	
1					PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF	
1	U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State		1	X 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4	× ⁴	
2	U.S. Government Defendant ×4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another S	tate	X 2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5	
	(marcure Cirizenship of Farnes in Hem III)		en or Subject of ign Country	a	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6	

IV. NATURE OF SUII (Place an "X" in One Box Only)													
CONTRACT TORTS		RTS											
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 	TO PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 98 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 97 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending X 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes								
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 448 Education	HABEAS CORPUS 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty OTHER 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee– Conditions of Confinement	Application 465 Other Immigration Actions										
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Appellate Court 6 Multidistrict (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File													
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite iurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. §1332 Brief description of cause: Violation of Wiretap Act; Intrusion; CA Invasion of Privacy Act; Negligence													
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: Yes													
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY (See instru			DOCKET NUMBER										
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2) (Place an "X" in One Box Only) × SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE													

NATION OF CUT

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Jeffrey A. Koncius

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.** a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) <u>United States defendant</u>. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) <u>Removed from State Court</u>. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) <u>Remanded from Appellate Court</u>. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Transfer</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Direct File</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. <u>Brief Description</u>: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment. If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.