

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
SPRINGFIELD DIVISION**

CHRISTINA O'BRIAN, individually,
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOSPITAL SISTERS HEALTH
SYSTEM ST. FRANCIS HOSPITAL,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:24-cv-03363

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

NOW COMES, CHRISTINA O'BRIAN ("Plaintiff"), individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her undersigned counsel, complaining of HOSPITAL SISTERS HEALTH SYSTEM ST. FRANCIS HOSPITAL ("Defendant"), as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action seeking redress for Defendant's violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*
2. "The primary purpose of the TCPA was to protect individuals from the harassment, invasion of privacy, inconvenience, nuisance, and other harms associated with unsolicited, automated calls." *Parchman v. SLM Corp.*, 896 F.3d 728, 738-39 (6th

Cir. 2018) citing Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2, 105 Stat. 2394 (1991).

3. As the Supreme Court recently observed, “Americans passionately disagree about many things. But they are largely united in their disdain for robocalls.” *Barr v. Am. Ass’n of Political Consultants*, 140 S. Ct. 2335, 2343 (2020).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this case arises under the TCPA, a federal statute.

5. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) as Plaintiff resides in the Central District of Illinois and a substantial portion of events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff’s claim occurred in this judicial district.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is a natural person, over 18-years-of-age, who at all times relevant resided in Montgomery County, Illinois.

7. Plaintiff is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

8. Defendant maintains its principal place of business in Litchfield, Illinois.

9. Defendant is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was the sole operator, possessor, and subscriber of the cellular telephone number ending in 4732.

11. At all times relevant, Plaintiff's number ending in 4732 was assigned to a cellular telephone service as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

12. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was financially responsible for her cellular telephone equipment and services.

13. In September 2024, Plaintiff started receiving automated calls from Defendant.

14. Confused as to why Defendant was calling, Plaintiff confirmed she had no outstanding balance owed to Defendant. Plaintiff requested the calls cease.

15. Defendant continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone.

16. Plaintiff again requested that Defendant stop calling her.

17. Despite Plaintiff's requests that Defendant cease all calls to her phone, Defendant continued calling Claimant.

18. Confused about the purpose of the calls, Plaintiff inquired as to why Defendant continued to call her. Defendant's representative could only respond that they were unsure and that it was an automated system.

19. Plaintiff *again* requested the automated calls cease.

20. Unfortunately for Plaintiff, Defendant continued placing automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone.

21. Defendant has left several prerecorded messages for Plaintiff after Plaintiff demanded the automated calls cease.

22. Upon information and belief, Respondent places its collection calls to Claimant using prerecorded voice technology.

23. All of Defendant's calls were placed from the phone number (800) 994-0368.

24. To date, Plaintiff has received numerous prerecorded phone calls from Defendant ("robocalls") after he initially requested that Defendant cease contact to her cellular phone.

25. Defendant's incessant robocalls have invaded Plaintiff's privacy and have caused Plaintiff actual harm, including but not limited to, aggravation that accompanies unsolicited robocalls, increased risk of personal injury resulting from the distraction caused by the robocalls, wear and tear to Plaintiff's cellular phone, loss of battery charge, loss of concentration, mental anguish, nuisance, the per-kilowatt electricity costs required to recharge Plaintiff's cellular telephone as a result of increased usage of Plaintiff's telephone services, and wasting Plaintiff's time.

26. Moreover, each time Defendant placed a robocall to Plaintiff's cellular phone, Defendant occupied Plaintiff's cellular phone such that Plaintiff was unable to receive other phone calls or otherwise utilize her cellular phone while her phone was ringing.

27. Due to Defendant's refusal to honor Plaintiff's requests that it cease contact with her, Plaintiff was forced to retain counsel and file this action to compel Defendant to cease its invasive practices.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

28. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated (“Putative Class”) defined as follows:

All persons throughout the United States (1) to whom Defendant placed, or caused to be placed, a call; (2) directed to a number assigned to a cellular telephone service; (3) using an artificial or prerecorded voice; (4) without his/her consent; (5) within the four years preceding the date of this Complaint through the date of class certification.

29. The following individuals are excluded from the Putative Class: (1) any Judge or Magistrate Judge presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, Defendant’s subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and their current or former employees, officers, and directors; (3) Plaintiff’s attorneys; (4) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Putative Class; (5) the legal representatives, successors, or assigns of any such excluded persons; and (6) persons whose claims against Defendant have been fully and finally adjudicated and/or released.

A. Numerosity

30. Upon information and belief, the members of the Putative Class are so numerous that joinder of them is impracticable.

31. The exact number of the members of the Putative Class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be determined through targeted discovery.

32. The members of the Putative Class are ascertainable because the Class is defined by reference to objective criteria.

33. The members of the Putative Class are identifiable in that their names, addresses, and telephone numbers can be identified in business records maintained by Defendant.

B. Commonality and Predominance

34. There are many questions of law and fact common to the claims of Plaintiff and the Putative Class.

35. Those questions predominate over any questions that may affect individual members of the Putative Class.

C. Typicality

36. Plaintiff's claims are typical of members of the Putative Class because Plaintiff and members of the Putative Class are entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's conduct.

D. Superiority and Manageability

37. This case is also appropriate for class certification as class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the efficient and fair adjudication of this controversy.

38. The damages suffered by the individual members of the Putative Class will likely be relatively small, especially given the burden and expense required for individual prosecution.

39. By contrast, a class action provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

40. Economies of effort, expense, and time will be fostered, and uniformity of decisions ensured.

E. Adequate Representation

41. Plaintiff will adequately and fairly represent and protect the interests of the Putative Class.

42. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of the Putative Class and Defendant has no defenses unique to Plaintiff.

43. Plaintiff has retained competent and experienced counsel in consumer class action litigation.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I:

**Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. § 227 *et. seq.*)
(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Members of the Putative Class)**

44. Defendant violated § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii) of the TCPA by placing numerous robocalls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone utilizing an artificial or prerecorded voice without Plaintiff's consent.

45. Upon the nature of Defendant's answering system and its dialing system repeatedly calling Plaintiff after she repeatedly demanded such calls cease, it is evident that Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice that automatically played upon Plaintiff answering the call or upon the call reaching Plaintiff's voicemail.

46. As pled above, Defendant did not have consent to place robocalls to Plaintiff's cellular phone as Plaintiff requested that Defendant cease *all* contact with her on multiple occasions.

47. Upon information and belief, Defendant does not maintain adequate policies and procedures to ensure compliance with the TCPA.

48. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew its collection practices were in violation of the TCPA yet continued to employ them to maximize efficiency and profits at the expense of Plaintiff and the Putative Class.

49. As pled above, Plaintiff was harmed by Defendant's unlawful collection calls.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CHRISTINA O'BRIAN, on behalf of herself and the members of the Putative Class, requests the following relief:

- a. an order granting certification of the proposed class, including the designation of Plaintiff as the named representative, and the appointment of the undersigned as Class Counsel;
- b. a judgment in her favor finding that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii);
- c. an order enjoining Defendant from placing further unlawful calls to Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class;
- d. an award of \$500.00 in damages to Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class for each such violation;

- e. an award of treble damages up to \$1,500.00 to Plaintiff and the members of the Putative Class for each such violation; and
- f. an award of such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Date: December 30, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTINA O'BRIAN

By: */s/ Alexander J. Taylor*

Alexander J. Taylor, Esq.
Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd
2500 S Highland Ave, Suite 200
Lombard, IL 60148
Telephone: (331) 272-1942
ataylor@sulaimanlaw.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Monday, 30 December 2024 09:12:37 PM Clerk, U.S. District Court, ILCD

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

CHRISTINA O'BRIAN, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd. 2500 S Highland Ave. Suite 200 Lombard, IL 60148

DEFENDANTS

HOSPITAL SISTERS HEALTH SYSTEM ST. FRANCIS HOSPITAL

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Table with 5 columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Contains various legal categories and checkboxes.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

Brief description of cause: Plaintiff seeking redress for Defendant's unlawful debt collection practices

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 12/30/2024 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD s:/ Alexander J. Taylor

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of Illinois

CHRISTINA O'BRIAN, individually, and on behalf of
all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

HOSPITAL SISTERS HEALTH SYSTEM ST.
FRANCIS HOSPITAL

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-03363

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) HOSPITAL SISTERS HEALTH SYSTEM ST. FRANCIS HOSPITAL
c/o REGISTERED AGENT
AMY K BULPITT
4936 LAVERNA RD
SPRINGFIELD ,IL 62707-9797

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Alexander J. Taylor
Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.
2500 S. Highland Avenue, Suite 200
Lombard, IL 60148

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-03363

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: