```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 3
 4
    SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
    COMMISSION,
 5
                   Plaintiff,
 6
                                 ) Civil Action No.
            VS.
 7
                                ) 1:23-cv-01559-ABJ
    BINANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED,
 8
    BAM TRADING SERVICES INC., )
    BAM MANAGEMENT US HOLDINGS,)
    INC., AND CHANGPENG ZHAO, )
 9
10
                  Defendants. )
11
12
13
                ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
14
                     CHRISTOPHER BLODGETT
15
                 Friday, December 15, 2023
                       Miami, Florida
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
    Reported by:
24
    Rebecca Callow,
    RMR, CRR, RPR
    Job No. 231215RJC
25
                                                                  1
```

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  | ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF                           |
| 3  | CHRISTOPHER BLODGETT, produced as a witness at the      |
| 4  | instance of the Plaintiff and duly sworn, was taken in  |
| 5  | the above-styled and numbered cause on the 15th day of  |
| 6  | December 2023, from 9:31 a.m. to 2:31 p.m., before      |
| 7  | Rebecca J. Callow, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified |
| 8  | Realtime Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter and |
| 9  | Notary Public for the State of Florida, reported by     |
| 10 | computerized stenotype machine at the offices of        |
| 11 | Winston & Strawn, 200 S. Biscayne Boulevard, Miami,     |
| 12 | Florida 33131, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil   |
| 13 | Procedure.  |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
|    | 2   |

```
1
                         APPEARANCES
 2
 3
    FOR PLAINTIFF:
 4
         Securities and Exchange Commission
 5
         100 Pearl Street
         Suite 20-100
 6
 7
         New York, New York 10004
         212.336.1100
 8
 9
              By: J. Emmett Murphy
10
                    emmettjoh@sec.gov
                    Elisa Solomon
11
12
    - and -
13
         Securities and Exchange Commission
         100 F Street NE
14
15
         Washington, D.C. 20549
16
              By: Jennifer Lynn Farer (remotely)
17
                    Hope Hall Augustini (remotely)
18
    FOR BAM ENTITIES DEFENDANTS AND THE WITNESS:
19
20
         Milbank LLP
21
         55 Hudson Yards
22
         New York, New York 10001
         212.530.5000
23
24
              By: Matthew Laroche
                   mlaroche@milbank.com
25
                                                                  3
```

```
1
                     APPEARANCES (Cont.)
 2
 3
    FOR DEFENDANT CHANGPENG ZHAO:
 4
         Latham & Watkins LLP
 5
         555 Eleventh Street NW
         Suite 1000
 6
 7
         Washington, D.C. 20004
         202.637.2200
 8
 9
              By: Natalie Hardwick Rao (remotely)
                   natalie.rao@lw.com
10
                   Eunice Muthoni Kaguba (remotely)
11
12
                   eunice.kabuga@lw.com
13
    FOR DEFENDANT BINANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED:
14
15
         Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP.
16
         1050 Connecticut Avenue NW
17
         Washington, D.C. 20036
18
         202.955.8500
19
              By: Daniel W. Nelson (remotely)
20
                   dnelson@gibsondunn.com
21
                    Tim Velenchuk (remotely)
22
                   tvelenchuk@gibsondunn.com
23
    ALSO PRESENT:
24
         Edwin Aragon, videographer
25
         Andrew Skolnik (remotely)
```

| 10:21 | 1  | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                      |    |
|-------|----|--|----|
|       | 2  | A. Yes.  |    |
|       | 3  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                       |    |
|       | 4  | Q. And have you been told as part of your            |    |
| 10:22 | 5  | responsibilities that you should help ensure that    |    |
|       | 6  | BHL does not have possession, custody, or control of |    |
|       | 7  | BAM's crypto assets?                                 |    |
|       | 8  | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                      |    |
|       | 9  | A. Yeah.   |    |
| 10:22 | 10 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                       |    |
|       | 11 | Q. You have been told that?                          |    |
|       | 12 | A. I don't recall, specifically, being told          |    |
|       | 13 | that. My understanding, a consent order is sort of   |    |
|       | 14 | to, among other things, allow transfer of assets to  |    |
| 10:22 | 15 | BHL or affiliated entities.                          |    |
|       | 16 | Q. And CZ would be considered among the              |    |
|       | 17 | affiliated entities?                                 |    |
|       | 18 | A. Correct.  |    |
|       | 19 | Q. Do you have a list of the affiliated              |    |
| 10:22 | 20 | entities that you should be mindful of in adhering   |    |
|       | 21 | to the consent order?                                |    |
|       | 22 | A. In the immediate aftermath of the consent         |    |
|       | 23 | order, once we understood the requirements thereof,  |    |
|       | 24 | senior leadership from across the company            |    |
| 10:23 | 25 | operations, compliance, risk, finance, other         |    |
|       |    |  | 48 |

| 10:23 | 1  | teams collaborated to develop the list.           |    |
|-------|----|---|----|
|       | 2  | Q. And does that list exist now?                  |    |
|       | 3  | A. Yes.   |    |
|       | 4  | Q. I mean, it sounds like something that was      |    |
| 10:23 | 5  | put together as a control.                        |    |
|       | 6  | A. Yeah. It's a spreadsheet with the UIDs for     |    |
|       | 7  | associated accounts.                              |    |
|       | 8  | MR. MURPHY: Counsel, if that has                  |    |
|       | 9  | not been produced, we'd ask that it be            |    |
| 10:23 | 10 | produced.   |    |
|       | 11 | MR. LAROCHE: Yeah. Understood.                    |    |
|       | 12 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                    |    |
|       | 13 | Q. So it describes accounts.                      |    |
|       | 14 | UIDs. What are UIDs?                              |    |
| 10:23 | 15 | A. Unique identification. It's a unique           |    |
|       | 16 | number associated with any account, individual,   |    |
|       | 17 | institutional, or otherwise.                      |    |
|       | 18 | Q. Are those crypto accounts?                     |    |
|       | 19 | A. Accounts on our platform. So if you had an     |    |
| 10:24 | 20 | account at Binance.US, you'd have a UID unique to |    |
|       | 21 | you. If you were a hedge fund, you'd have a UID   |    |
|       | 22 | unique to you.                                    |    |
|       | 23 | Q. Okay. So those were unique IDs within the      |    |
|       | 24 | PNK system tracking customer accounts?            |    |
| 10:24 | 25 | A. Correct.                                       |    |
|       |    |   | 49 |

| 10:24 | 1  | Q. What about a list of just Binance-related         |
|-------|----|--|
|       | 2  | entities, generally, that you should make sure       |
|       | 3  | you're not transferring assets to?                   |
|       | 4  | A. Okay. So perhaps I wasn't clear.                  |
| 10:24 | 5  | The list of UIDs also included the                   |
|       | 6  | names of the entities. And the reason UIDs are       |
|       | 7  | important is because blocking or disabling accounts, |
|       | 8  | as would be required to comply with a consent order, |
|       | 9  | is a relatively simple and routine process.          |
| 10:24 | 10 | If you have a UID, PNK has                           |
|       | 11 | well-established, long-standing tools that allow you |
|       | 12 | to, effectively, with the click of a button, disable |
|       | 13 | accounts.  |
|       | 14 | So we identified BHL-affiliated                      |
| 10:25 | 15 | entities and effectively went down the list and      |
|       | 16 | disabled them in compliance with the order.          |
|       | 17 | Q. So it sounds like a good control. I'm just        |
|       | 18 | trying to get a sense of the process here.           |
|       | 19 | It sounds like you started with a list               |
| 10:25 | 20 | of BHL-related entities and then compared it to the  |
|       | 21 | customer list.                                       |
|       | 22 | A. I don't remember the specific way we went         |
|       | 23 | about it. But, certainly, on day one, there were a   |
|       | 24 | number of very well-known BHL entities; institutions |
| 10:25 | 25 | like Sigma Chain.                                    |

| 11:08 | 1  | A. They're all over the world. We have a tech        |
|-------|----|--|
|       | 2  | hub, if you will, in Vancouver I think you recently  |
|       | 3  | visited. Shanghai. I believe we have resources in    |
|       | 4  | Singapore, Dubai, and obviously in the United States |
| 11:08 | 5  | as well.   |
|       | 6  | Q. So you have members of your engineering           |
|       | 7  | team sitting in Vancouver, Dubai, Singapore and      |
|       | 8  | did you say Shanghai?                                |
|       | 9  | A. If I didn't, I would have added that.             |
| 11:09 | 10 | Shanghai.  |
|       | 11 | Q. Do you know who leads up the engineering          |
|       | 12 | team?  |
|       | 13 | A. Frank Zhao.                                       |
|       | 14 | Q. And do you understand that he's a BAM             |
| 11:09 | 15 | employee?  |
|       | 16 | A. Yes.  |
|       | 17 | Q. Do you understand that all of the members         |
|       | 18 | of the engineering team that you referred to that    |
|       | 19 | are in excess of 50 people are BAM employees?        |
| 11:09 | 20 | A. So, similar to tech, I'm not in HR, so            |
|       | 21 | we're at the limit of my understanding.              |
|       | 22 | My understanding is we use a PEO, a                  |
|       | 23 | professional employment organization. I can't        |
|       | 24 | remember what the acronym stands for, but a PEO.     |
| 11:09 | 25 | So we contract a number of our technical folks,      |

| 11:09 | 1  | so, for all intents and purposes, they're employees |    |
|-------|----|---|----|
|       | 2  | of BAM.   |    |
|       | 3  | Q. But you referred, in the beginning of this       |    |
|       | 4  | deposition, to BHL being an entirely distinct       |    |
| 11:10 | 5  | entity. Right?                                      |    |
|       | 6  | A. I did.   |    |
|       | 7  | Q. And so you don't understand it to be the         |    |
|       | 8  | case that BHL employees are ultimately reporting up |    |
|       | 9  | to you.   |    |
| 11:10 | 10 | A. Correct.   |    |
|       | 11 | THE WITNESS: Sorry.                                 |    |
|       | 12 | MR. LAROCHE: Yeah. Can we                           |    |
|       | 13 | just just so that's clear. I wasn't                 |    |
|       | 14 | just clear on the question.                         |    |
| 11:10 | 15 | I think what you're saying is, he                   |    |
|       | 16 | doesn't he doesn't think that BHL                   |    |
|       | 17 | employees report to him. Is that the                |    |
|       | 18 | question?   |    |
|       | 19 | MR. MURPHY: Yes.                                    |    |
| 11:10 | 20 | MR. LAROCHE: Okay.                                  |    |
|       | 21 | A. That's an unequivocal, no. The PEO is not        |    |
|       | 22 | a BHL-affiliated entity.                            |    |
|       | 23 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |    |
|       | 24 | Q. What entity is the PEO?                          |    |
| 11:10 | 25 | A. What's it called? It's called Boran or           |    |
|       |    |   | 75 |

| 11:10 | 1  | Boron.   |    |
|-------|----|--|----|
|       | 2  | Q. And you said it's not a BHL-affiliated          |    |
|       | 3  | entity?  |    |
|       | 4  | A. Correct.  |    |
| 11:10 | 5  | Q. What's the basis of that understanding?         |    |
|       | 6  | A. I don't remember a specific conversation,       |    |
|       | 7  | but or who I had it with. I think it was someone   |    |
|       | 8  | in legal or compliance.                            |    |
|       | 9  | But my understanding is we had a                   |    |
| 11:11 | 10 | third-party investigation, what have you, of Boran |    |
|       | 11 | to confirm the point that it was an independent,   |    |
|       | 12 | i.e., non-affiliated entity.                       |    |
|       | 13 | Q. And I don't want you to get into                |    |
|       | 14 | communications with counsel.                       |    |
| 11:11 | 15 | Do you know who led this                           |    |
|       | 16 | investigation?                                     |    |
|       | 17 | A. I don't.  |    |
|       | 18 | Q. Do you know when it was completed?              |    |
|       | 19 | A. I don't.  |    |
| 11:11 | 20 | Q. Okay. I am going to                             |    |
|       | 21 | Do you know a person named Gerry Ho?               |    |
|       | 22 | A. Yes.  |    |
|       | 23 | Q. Can you tell me where he sits within the        |    |
|       | 24 | BAM reporting chart?                               |    |
| 11:12 | 25 | A. So he's head of product. He previously          |    |
|       |    |  | 76 |

| 12:23          | 1  | A. So I'm effectively signing the check. So I        |
|----------------|----|--|
|                | 2  | need to review, you know, their request. I need to   |
|                | 3  | confirm that it's approved by the appropriate        |
|                | 4  | parties.   |
| 12:23          | 5  | I also exercise some judgment just to                |
|                | 6  | gut-check on does the token and quantity, et cetera, |
|                | 7  | make sense, and then ultimately approve the          |
|                | 8  | transaction, or at least my part of it.              |
|                | 9  | Q. You said you need to make sure, confirm           |
| 12 <b>:</b> 23 | 10 | it's approved by the appropriate parties.            |
|                | 11 | What do you mean by that?                            |
|                | 12 | A. I think the best way to answer is just walk       |
|                | 13 | through a typical transaction.                       |
|                | 14 | Q. Great.  |
| 12:23          | 15 | A. Okay. So customer activity from the               |
|                | 16 | preceding day requires topping up a certain hot      |
|                | 17 | wallet balance. The clearing team will, you know,    |
|                | 18 | identify the requisite token and quantity, and the   |
|                | 19 | clearing team, typically Tao, will send for          |
| 12:24          | 20 | approval a summary of the requested transaction;     |
|                | 21 | again, token, quantity, you know, often the          |
|                | 22 | description of the what and the why.                 |
|                | 23 | And then the approvers are our CFO and               |
|                | 24 | our treasury, Rebecca Hirst and Sara Sisenwein.      |
| 12 <b>:</b> 24 | 25 | They will review and approve the transaction. Once   |

| 12:43 | 1  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                    |     |
|-------|----|---|-----|
|       | 2  | Q. Do you know the exact date that it             |     |
|       | 3  | converts?   |     |
|       | 4  | A. I don't. Late December, I think.               |     |
| 12:43 | 5  | Q. Are you aware of any discussions about         |     |
|       | 6  | potentially repaying CZ on the note?              |     |
|       | 7  | A. Yes.   |     |
|       | 8  | And, explicitly, how we're unable to              |     |
|       | 9  | do so given the consent order.                    |     |
| 12:43 | 10 | Q. Are you aware of any discussions by CZ, or     |     |
|       | 11 | any of his proxies, attempting to get paid on the |     |
|       | 12 | note?   |     |
|       | 13 | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                   |     |
|       | 14 | Go ahead.   |     |
| 12:43 | 15 | A. No.  |     |
|       | 16 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                    |     |
|       | 17 | Q. No discussions whatsoever?                     |     |
|       | 18 | A. That I'm aware of, no.                         |     |
|       | 19 | Q. Did you discuss the terms of the note with     |     |
| 12:44 | 20 | Brian Schroeder before he left the company?       |     |
|       | 21 | A. No.  |     |
|       | 22 | Q. Do you know the name of a woman name           |     |
|       | 23 | Brooke Xue, X-u-e?                                |     |
|       | 24 | A. No.  |     |
| 12:44 | 25 | Q. Are you aware of any other loans that BAM      |     |
|       |    |   | 121 |

| 12:44   | 1   | has obtained, say, since the consent order was      |     |
|---------|-----|---|-----|
|         | 2   | executed in June of 2023?                           |     |
|         | 3   | A. No.  |     |
|         | 4   | Q. Are you aware of any requests that CZ made       |     |
| 12:44   | 5   | to get repaid on the note within scratch that.      |     |
|         | 6   | Are you aware of any requests that CZ               |     |
|         | 7   | has made to get repaid on the note?                 |     |
|         | 8   | A. No.  |     |
|         | 9   | Q. And where did you get your understanding         |     |
| 12:45 1 | .0  | that CZ cannot be repaid on the note?               |     |
| 1       | .1  | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                     |     |
| 1       | .2  | A. I don't remember specifically.                   |     |
| 1       | .3  | But, certainly, following the consent               |     |
| 1       | 4   | order, someone I don't remember who made it         |     |
| 12:45 1 | .5  | abundantly clear that that would never happen under |     |
| 1       | . 6 | the consent order.                                  |     |
| 1       | .7  | MR. MURPHY: Okay. Let's go off                      |     |
| 1       | . 8 | the record.   |     |
| 1       | 9   | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the                     |     |
| 12:45 2 | 20  | record at 12:45.                                    |     |
| 2       | 21  | (Recess taken.)                                     |     |
| 2       | 22  | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on                     |     |
| 2       | 23  | the record at 1:36.                                 |     |
| 2       | 24  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |     |
| 01:36 2 | 25  | Q. Okay. Thanks for your time today,                |     |
|         |     |   | 122 |

| 02:03 | 1  | EXAMINATION  |     |
|-------|----|--|-----|
|       | 2  | BY MR. LAROCHE:                                      |     |
|       | 3  | Q. You've testified that you've held the roles       |     |
|       | 4  | of VP of operations and chief operating officer at   |     |
| 02:03 | 5  | BAM. Is that right?                                  |     |
|       | 6  | A. Yes.  |     |
|       | 7  | Q. In those roles, have you become familiar          |     |
|       | 8  | with how, if at all, the SEC's lawsuit and motion    |     |
|       | 9  | for a temporary restraining order has impacted BAM's |     |
| 02:04 | 10 | business?  |     |
|       | 11 | A. Yes.  |     |
|       | 12 | Q. Please describe how, if at all, the SEC's         |     |
|       | 13 | lawsuit and TRO have impacted BAM's business.        |     |
|       | 14 | A. So, at the highest level, it's dealt a            |     |
| 02:04 | 15 | near-mortal blow.                                    |     |
|       | 16 | In simple terms, I would categorize                  |     |
|       | 17 | the impacts in probably three buckets: people,       |     |
|       | 18 | trust, and economics.                                |     |
|       | 19 | On the people front, we've, sadly, had               |     |
| 02:04 | 20 | to terminate in excess of 200 people since June.     |     |
|       | 21 | Many honest, hardworking Americans. And with         |     |
|       | 22 | significant severance and termination-related costs  |     |
|       | 23 | attached thereto.                                    |     |
|       | 24 | On the trust side, there's multiple                  |     |
| 02:04 | 25 | components to this. Starting with customers, in the  |     |
|       |    |  | 145 |

| 02:05 1  | immediate aftermath of the TRO, we saw somewhere in  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | the neighborhood of \$1 billion of assets flee the   |
| 3        | platform, crypto and fiat.                           |
| 4        | As it's been widely reported, our                    |
| 02:05 5  | trading volumes and business more generally have     |
| 6        | imploded. And, you know, we see, even in things      |
| 7        | like customer reviews of our platform, many cite the |
| 8        | SEC's allegations, without evidence, of course, that |
| 9        | somehow we're bad actors and misusing customer       |
| 02:05 10 | funds; take your pick.                               |
| 11       | Moving from individual customers to                  |
| 12       | institutional, as we discussed previously, custody   |
| 13       | and security are both most important to the          |
| 14       | institutions.  |
| 02:05 15 | Again, the allegations of the SEC                    |
| 16       | severely undermined institutional trust in our       |
| 17       | platform. For example, prior to the TRO, we had, I   |
| 18       | believe, in excess of 20 market makers operating on  |
| 19       | the platform. Subsequent to that, the number is      |
| 02:06 20 | less than five.                                      |
| 21       | Moving from customers to partners.                   |
| 22       | Again, as it's been widely reported, we lost our     |
| 23       | fiat rail shortly after the TRO.                     |
| 24       | In the immediate wake of the TRO, our                |
| 02:06 25 | banks demanded drastic increases in collateral. But  |

| 02:06 | 1  | eventually, ultimately, they fully terminated the   |     |
|-------|----|---|-----|
|       | 2  | relationship. And as a result, our customers were   |     |
|       | 3  | prevented from depositing and withdrawing fiat to   |     |
|       | 4  | the platform, effectively choking the business.     |     |
| 02:06 | 5  | And since we lost those two banking                 |     |
|       | 6  | partners, we've been unable to find new partners to |     |
|       | 7  | welcome with us.                                    |     |
|       | 8  | And who can blame them? Because the                 |     |
|       | 9  | second it becomes known that they're working with   |     |
| 02:07 | 10 | Binance.US, they can reasonably expect a nasty      |     |
|       | 11 | subpoena from the SEC.                              |     |
|       | 12 | And even if there's been no                         |     |
|       | 13 | wrongdoing, tangling with the SEC involves          |     |
|       | 14 | considerable costs, time. It obviously carries      |     |
| 02:07 | 15 | immense reputational harm.                          |     |
|       | 16 | And then I think                                    |     |
|       | 17 | MS. SOLOMON: Counsel, we'll let                     |     |
|       | 18 | this proceed, but none of this appears to           |     |
|       | 19 | be relevant to the question at hand. I              |     |
| 02:07 | 20 | mean, I'm not directing you not to speak,           |     |
|       | 21 | but   |     |
|       | 22 | THE WITNESS: He's asking about                      |     |
|       | 23 | the impact, and I'm describing the impact.          |     |
|       | 24 | MS. SOLOMON: I understand.                          |     |
| 02:07 | 25 | And I'm directing the objection                     |     |
|       |    |   | 147 |

| 02:07 | 1  | to your counsel.                                     |
|-------|----|--|
|       | 2  | But, ultimately, this isn't                          |
|       | 3  | really relevant to the custody of assets,            |
|       | 4  | as we've said is the topic here.                     |
| 02:07 | 5  | THE WITNESS: Of course.                              |
|       | 6  | MR. LAROCHE: Understand.                             |
|       | 7  | Understand the objection.                            |
|       | 8  | You can keep going.                                  |
|       | 9  | THE WITNESS: Sure.                                   |
| 02:07 | 10 | A. And then, obviously, trust with the               |
|       | 11 | regulators has been severely undermined, again, with |
|       | 12 | no actual wrongdoing.                                |
|       | 13 | And the last category, economic.                     |
|       | 14 | Again, a lot falls under this bucket. Revenues have  |
| 02:08 | 15 | imploded on the order of 75 percent-plus in the      |
|       | 16 | period since the TRO. And our operating costs        |
|       | 17 | across the board have exploded.                      |
|       | 18 | As fond as I am of Mr. Laroche, our                  |
|       | 19 | external legal fees alone are in the neighborhood of |
| 02:08 | 20 | \$10 million. Our auditor expenses have more than    |
|       | 21 | 10X'd. Our surety bonds have more than 5X or 6X.     |
|       | 22 | Things like D&O insurance, even, have exploded in    |
|       | 23 | price.   |
|       | 24 | Q. So let me ask just a few follow-up                |
| 02:08 | 25 | questions.   |

| 02:08 | 1  | You said that one of the impacts                    |     |
|-------|----|---|-----|
|       | 2  | following the TRO has been a reduction in force.    |     |
|       | 3  | A. Correct.   |     |
|       | 4  | Q. Can you just explain how much of the             |     |
| 02:08 | 5  | reductions have been since the TRO was filed?       |     |
|       | 6  | MR. MURPHY: So I'll also just                       |     |
|       | 7  | restate the objection to the long speeches.         |     |
|       | 8  | But go ahead.                                       |     |
|       | 9  | MR. LAROCHE: Sure.                                  |     |
| 02:08 | 10 | A. So in excess of 200 people, more than            |     |
|       | 11 | two-thirds of the company.                          |     |
|       | 12 | BY MR. LAROCHE:                                     |     |
|       | 13 | Q. And why did BAM reduce its force by              |     |
|       | 14 | two-thirds of the company?                          |     |
| 02:09 | 15 | A. When your top line contracts in excess of        |     |
|       | 16 | 75 percent, unfortunately, you need to make         |     |
|       | 17 | difficult decisions to bring costs in line with new |     |
|       | 18 | business realities.                                 |     |
|       | 19 | Q. What impact, if any, has BAM's reduction in      |     |
| 02:09 | 20 | force had on its ability to respond to the SEC's    |     |
|       | 21 | discovery requests?                                 |     |
|       | 22 | A. This came up previously. Teams like              |     |
|       | 23 | finance, legal, compliance have been stretched to   |     |
|       | 24 | the limit, obviously, responding to owners'         |     |
| 02:09 | 25 | requests. And I'm sure the response times have been |     |
|       |    |   | 149 |

| 02:09 | 1  | impacted directly as a result.                      |
|-------|----|---|
|       | 2  | Q. I think one of the other impacts you've          |
|       | 3  | described is the relationships BAM's                |
|       | 4  | relationships with its customers and partners.      |
| 02:09 | 5  | A. Yes.   |
|       | 6  | Q. Can you explain how those relationships          |
|       | 7  | have been impacted?                                 |
|       | 8  | A. I mean, in simple terms, to banks, we're         |
|       | 9  | radioactive for the reasons articulated previously. |
| 02:10 | 10 | Why would you want to risk the ire of               |
|       | 11 | the SEC to work with us and all the concomitant     |
|       | 12 | costs and annoyances?                               |
|       | 13 | Q. How do you know that?                            |
|       | 14 | A. Because we've heard it directly from our         |
| 02:10 | 15 | banking partners. They're very wary of the          |
|       | 16 | reputational and direct costs of working with us.   |
|       | 17 | Q. And I think the last impact you talked           |
|       | 18 | about was economic.                                 |
|       | 19 | Can you just talk about how the                     |
| 02:10 | 20 | economics have changed for the business since the   |
|       | 21 | lawsuit and TRO were filed?                         |
|       | 22 | A. Sure. So, again, our top line, our               |
|       | 23 | revenue, has shrunk by more than 75 percent, which  |
|       | 24 | is a dramatic contraction, particularly given the   |
| 02:10 | 25 | relatively short time period. So our top line is    |

| 02:10 | 1  | shrinking.   |     |
|-------|----|--|-----|
|       | 2  | And, unfortunately, things like OpEx,                |     |
|       | 3  | lower on the income statement, have exploded as a    |     |
|       | 4  | result of, again, things like direct legal expenses. |     |
| 02:11 | 5  | So less revenue, more costs equals far higher losses |     |
|       | 6  | for a business.                                      |     |
|       | 7  | Q. You mentioned things such as the audit            |     |
|       | 8  | costs increasing.                                    |     |
|       | 9  | A. Yeah.   |     |
| 02:11 | 10 | Q. Why have the audit costs increased?               |     |
|       | 11 | A. Perceived risk of working with us.                |     |
|       | 12 | An auditor's got to put their name and               |     |
|       | 13 | reputation on the line, so to speak, and the risk    |     |
|       | 14 | has just exploded since the TRO for them.            |     |
| 02:11 | 15 | And the cost, as I mentioned, is, I                  |     |
|       | 16 | believe, 10X in, you know, the year since our last   |     |
|       | 17 | audit.   |     |
|       | 18 | MR. LAROCHE: I have nothing                          |     |
|       | 19 | further.   |     |
| 02:11 | 20 | MR. MURPHY: So we're just going                      |     |
|       | 21 | to take a very quick break and we'll                 |     |
|       | 22 | before we close this out.                            |     |
|       | 23 | We can go off the record now.                        |     |
|       | 24 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the                      |     |
| 02:12 | 25 | record at 2:12.                                      |     |
|       |    |  | 151 |

| 02:12 | 1  | (Recess taken.)                                     |     |
|-------|----|---|-----|
|       | 2  | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on                    |     |
|       | 3  | the record at 2:18.                                 |     |
|       | 4  | FURTHER EXAMINATION                                 |     |
| 02:18 | 5  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |     |
|       | 6  | Q. Mr. Blodgett, you gave a lengthy answer in       |     |
|       | 7  | response to your counsel's question about hardships |     |
|       | 8  | that BAM has been going through, and I just wanted  |     |
|       | 9  | to address I wanted to address a few follow-up      |     |
| 02:18 | 10 | questions on that.                                  |     |
|       | 11 | Do you remember earlier we talked                   |     |
|       | 12 | about the conference that you spoke at? This was    |     |
|       | 13 | around November 2022. Right?                        |     |
|       | 14 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry,                        |     |
| 02:18 | 15 | Counsel. Your microphone.                           |     |
|       | 16 | MR. MURPHY: Oh. Sorry.                              |     |
|       | 17 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |     |
|       | 18 | Q. Do you remember we talked about that             |     |
|       | 19 | conference?   |     |
| 02:18 | 20 | A. Yes.   |     |
|       | 21 | Q. And do you remember we talked about your         |     |
|       | 22 | reference to a war chest that Binance had?          |     |
|       | 23 | A. Yes.   |     |
|       | 24 | Q. And this was before the \$250 million from       |     |
| 02:18 | 25 | CZ. Right?  |     |
|       |    |   | 152 |

| 02:18 | 1  | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                     |
|-------|----|---|
|       | 2  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |
|       | 3  | Q. Isn't it true that you got \$250 million         |
|       | 4  | because the company was not flush with cash at that |
| 02:19 | 5  | time?   |
|       | 6  | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                     |
|       | 7  | A. No.  |
|       | 8  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |
|       | 9  | Q. So what was the purpose of the                   |
| 02:19 | 10 | \$250 million?                                      |
|       | 11 | A. I mean, to fund general operational and          |
|       | 12 | growth initiatives.                                 |
|       | 13 | But I would not say it's true because               |
|       | 14 | I don't know what our balance sheet looked like     |
| 02:19 | 15 | before or after.                                    |
|       | 16 | Q. So you just made a bunch of statements           |
|       | 17 | about top-line revenue going down, but you don't    |
|       | 18 | know what the actual balance sheet looked like      |
|       | 19 | before the TRO was filed.                           |
| 02:19 | 20 | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                     |
|       | 21 | A. I couldn't rattle numbers off the top of my      |
|       | 22 | head.   |
|       | 23 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                      |
|       | 24 | Q. You referred to concerns from institutional      |
| 02:19 | 25 | investors.  |
|       |    | 1 - 0   |

| 02:19 | 1  | A. Yes.   |     |
|-------|----|---|-----|
|       | 2  | Q. What are those which institutional             |     |
|       | 3  | investors communicated concerns to you?           |     |
|       | 4  | A. As I mentioned, the majority of our market     |     |
| 02:19 | 5  | makers on the platform left the platform, stopped |     |
|       | 6  | operating. So I can get you a list of them, but   |     |
|       | 7  | do you want names?                                |     |
|       | 8  | Q. Well, you're aware of some market makers       |     |
|       | 9  | that the SEC has alleged have been taking part in |     |
| 02:20 | 10 | loss trading.                                     |     |
|       | 11 | Do you remember those allegations?                |     |
|       | 12 | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                   |     |
|       | 13 | A. Yes.   |     |
|       | 14 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                    |     |
| 02:20 | 15 | Q. Do you have any basis to doubt those?          |     |
|       | 16 | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                   |     |
|       | 17 | A. Any basis to doubt what?                       |     |
|       | 18 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                    |     |
|       | 19 | Q. Those allegations.                             |     |
| 02:20 | 20 | MR. LAROCHE: You're asking for                    |     |
|       | 21 | his assessment of the merits of the               |     |
|       | 22 | underlying claim? I mean, like                    |     |
|       | 23 | BY MR. MURPHY:                                    |     |
|       | 24 | Q. You referred in your answer to allegations     |     |
| 02:20 | 25 | with no evidence. Correct?                        |     |
|       |    |   | 154 |

| 02:20 | 1  | A. Correct.                                    |
|-------|----|--|
|       | 2  | MR. LAROCHE: He's talking about                |
|       | 3  | the TRO.                                       |
|       | 4  | BY MR. MURPHY:                                 |
| 02:20 | 5  | Q. So do you have any doubt did you read       |
|       | 6  | the TRO?                                       |
|       | 7  | A. Not line for line.                          |
|       | 8  | Q. Did you read it at all?                     |
|       | 9  | A. I'm sure I scanned it at one point.         |
| 02:20 | 10 | Q. Are there any allegations you can identify  |
|       | 11 | in the TRO that you believe are untrue?        |
|       | 12 | A. Those relating to commingling or misuse of  |
|       | 13 | customer funds.                                |
|       | 14 | Q. Do you understand that BAM commingles       |
| 02:21 | 15 | customer funds with its own assets?            |
|       | 16 | A. I don't.                                    |
|       | 17 | Q. You don't know one way or the other?        |
|       | 18 | A. Correct.                                    |
|       | 19 | Q. So you don't know if it's false.            |
| 02:21 | 20 | MR. LAROCHE: Objection to form.                |
|       | 21 | Misstates his testimony.                       |
|       | 22 | A. My understanding of the TRO, and the dearth |
|       | 23 | of the evidence, is largely largely based on   |
|       | 24 | conversation with counsel.                     |
|       | 25 | \\\  |
|       |    | 155  |